Inside the Courts An Update From Skadden Securities Litigators

If you have any questions regarding the matters discussed in this memorandum, please contact the attorneys listed on the last page or call your regular Skadden contact.

This memorandum is provided by Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP and its affiliates for educational and informational purposes only and is not intended and should not be construed as legal advice. This memorandum is considered advertising under applicable state laws.

Four Times Square New York, NY 10036 212.735.3000

300 S. Grand Ave., Suite 3400 Los Angeles, CA 90071 213.687.5000

In Malouf, Tenth Circuit Applies Supreme Court's Lorenzo Decision

On August 13, 2019, in the first case by a U.S. Court of Appeals to apply the U.S. Supreme Court's recent decision in *Lorenzo v. SEC*, 139 S. Ct. 1094 (2019), the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit held that the defendant could be liable under Rules 10b-5(a) and (c) for knowingly failing to correct another party's material misstatements in connection with a securities transaction. *See Malouf v. SEC*, No. 16-9546, 2019 WL 3788225 (10th Cir. Aug. 13, 2019).

Dennis Malouf was an executive at two firms: a securities brokerage and an investment adviser (UASNM). Malouf sold his interest in the brokerage in a transaction that compensated him in installments based on the commissions the brokerage earned on securities sales. Afterward, Malouf steered UASNM clients to the brokerage without disclosing to the clients or UASNM his financial interest in the brokerage and despite knowing that UASNM had represented that Malouf did not have any financial conflicts. After learning of Malouf's conflicts of interest, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) brought enforcement proceedings, and an administrative law judge found that Malouf had violated, among other provisions, Rules 10b-5(a) and (c), which prohibit fraudulent schemes and artifices.

The Tenth Circuit affirmed. Applying *Lorenzo*, which held that a defendant can be liable under Rules 10b-5(a) and (c) for knowingly disseminating material misstatements made by others, the Tenth Circuit reasoned that Malouf's knowledge "that a conflict existed" and "that UASNM was telling its clients that he was independent," combined with his failure "to correct UASNM's statements," constituted an unlawful fraudulent scheme. The court rejected Malouf's argument that the SEC had improperly collapsed the distinction between provisions prohibiting false or misleading statements in connection with securities transactions, which apply only to the persons or entities who themselves "make" the unlawful statements, and provisions prohibiting fraudulent schemes, which purportedly have no such limitations.

Malouf is significant as the first post-*Lorenzo* circuit court case to address whether a knowing failure to correct another's material misstatements can trigger liability under Rule 10b-5. Like *Lorenzo*, *Malouf* was decided in the context of an SEC enforcement action, and therefore, it does not necessarily reflect how *Lorenzo* may apply in a private action context. Nevertheless, plaintiffs may point to *Malouf* to suggest that *Lorenzo* broadened the universe of parties with potential Rule 10b-5 exposure.

Inside the Courts An Update From Skadden Securities Litigators

Contacts

Jay B. Kasner

Partner / New York 212.735.2628 jay.kasner@skadden.com

Peter B. Morrison

Partner / Los Angeles 213.687.5304 peter.morrison@skadden.com

Scott D. Musoff

Partner / New York 212.735.7852 scott.musoff@skadden.com

Susan L. Saltzstein Partner / New York 212.735.4132 susan.saltzstein@skadden.com

Virginia Milstead

Counsel / Los Angeles 213.687.5592 virginia.milstead@skadden.com