

# The Practitioner's Guide to Global Investigations

Volume I: Global Investigations in the  
United Kingdom and the United States

SEVENTH EDITION

---

**Editors**

Judith Seddon, Eleanor Davison, Christopher J Morvillo, Luke Tolaini,  
Celeste Koeleveld, F Joseph Warin, Winston Y Chan

**2023**

# **The Practitioner's Guide to Global Investigations**

---

## **Volume I: Global Investigations in the United Kingdom and the United States**

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd  
This article was first published in December 2022  
For further information please contact [insight@globalinvestigationsreview.com](mailto:insight@globalinvestigationsreview.com)

# **The Practitioner's Guide to Global Investigations**

---

Seventh Edition

## **Editors**

Judith Seddon

Eleanor Davison

Christopher J Morvillo

Luke Tolaini

Celeste Koeleveld

F Joseph Warin

Winston Y Chan

## Contents

Published in the United Kingdom  
by Law Business Research Ltd, London  
Holborn Gate, 330 High Holborn, London, WC1V 7QT  
© 2023 Law Business Research Ltd  
[www.globalinvestigationsreview.com](http://www.globalinvestigationsreview.com)

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided was accurate as at November 2022, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to:  
[natalie.hacker@lbresearch.com](mailto:natalie.hacker@lbresearch.com)  
Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher:  
[david.samuels@lbresearch.com](mailto:david.samuels@lbresearch.com)

ISBN 978-1-83862-911-3

Printed in Great Britain by  
Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire  
Tel: 0844 2480 112

# Acknowledgements

Addleshaw Goddard LLP  
Akrivis Law Group, PLLC  
Anagnostopoulos  
Baker McKenzie  
BakerHostetler  
BCL Solicitors LLP  
BDO USA, LLP  
Bennett Jones LLP  
Brown Rudnick LLP  
Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP  
Campos Mellos Advogados (in association with DLA Piper)  
Clifford Chance  
Cloth Fair Chambers  
Cooley LLP  
Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP  
Debevoise & Plimpton LLP  
Dechert LLP  
Díaz Reus Abogados  
DLA Piper  
Famsville Solicitors  
FerradaNehme  
Fornari e Associati  
Fountain Court Chambers  
Fox Williams LLP  
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP

Acknowledgements

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Goodwin

Herbert Smith Freehills

Homburger

Jenner & Block London LLP

Jones Day

Kingsley Napley LLP

Latham & Watkins

Law Offices of Panag and Babu

Linklaters LLP

McDermott Will & Emery UK LLP

McGuireWoods

Marval O'Farrell Mairal

Matheson

Meredith Connell

Mishcon de Reya LLP

Moroğlu Arseven

Navacelle

Paul Hastings LLP

Pinsent Masons

Rebaza, Alcázar & De Las Casas

Reed Smith LLP

Ropes & Gray LLP

Shearman & Sterling LLP

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP

Sullivan & Cromwell LLP

Uría Menéndez Abogados, SLP

Walden Macht & Haran LLP

Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP

Withersworldwide

# Publisher's Note

*The Practitioner's Guide to Global Investigations* is published by Global Investigations Review ([www.globalinvestigationsreview.com](http://www.globalinvestigationsreview.com)) – a news and analysis service for lawyers and related professionals who specialise in cross-border white-collar crime investigations.

The Guide was suggested by the editors to fill a gap in the literature – namely, how does one conduct (or conduct oneself) in such an investigation, and what should one have in mind at various times?

It is published annually as a two-volume work and is also available online and in PDF format.

## **The volumes**

This Guide is in two volumes. Volume I takes the reader through the issues and risks faced at every stage in the life cycle of a serious corporate investigation, from the discovery of a potential problem through its exploration (either by the company itself, a law firm or government officials) all the way to final resolution – be that in a regulatory proceeding, a criminal hearing, civil litigation, an employment tribunal, a trial in the court of public opinion, or, just occasionally, inside the company's own four walls. As such it uses the position in the two most active jurisdictions for investigations of corporate misfeasance – the United States and the United Kingdom – to illustrate the practices and thought processes of cutting-edge practitioners, on the basis that others can learn much from their approach, and there is a read-across to the position elsewhere.

Volume II takes a granular look at law, regulation, enforcement and best practice in the jurisdictions around the world with the most active corporate investigations spaces, highlighting, among other things, where they vary from the norm.

## **Online**

The Guide is available at [www.globalinvestigationsreview.com](http://www.globalinvestigationsreview.com). Containing the most up-to-date versions of the chapters in Volume I, the website also allows visitors to quickly compare answers to questions in Volume II across all the jurisdictions covered.

The publisher would like to thank the editors for their exceptional energy, vision and intellectual rigour in devising and maintaining this work. Together we welcome any comments or suggestions from readers on how to improve it. Please write to us at: [insight@globalinvestigationsreview.com](mailto:insight@globalinvestigationsreview.com).

# Preface

## **The history of the global investigation**

For over a decade, the number and profile of multi-agency, multi-jurisdictional regulatory and criminal investigations have risen exponentially. Naturally, this global phenomenon exposes companies – and their employees – to greater risk of hostile encounters with foreign law enforcers and regulators than ever. This is partly owing to the continued globalisation of commerce, the increasing enthusiasm of some prosecutors to use expansive theories of corporate criminal liability to exact exorbitant penalties as a deterrent and public pressure to hold individuals accountable for the misconduct. The globalisation of corporate law enforcement has also spawned greater coordination between law enforcement agencies, domestically and across borders. As a result, the pace and complexity of cross-border corporate investigations has markedly increased and created an environment in which the potential consequences, direct and collateral, for individuals and businesses, are unprecedented.

## **The Guide**

To aid practitioners faced with the challenges of steering a course through a cross-border investigation, this Guide brings together the perspectives of leading experts from across the globe.

The chapters in Volume I cover, in depth, the broad spectrum of law, practice and procedure applicable to investigations in the United Kingdom and United States. The volume tracks the development of a serious allegation (originating from an internal or external source) through all its stages, flagging the key risks and challenges at each step; it provides expert insight into the fact-gathering phase, document preservation and collection, witness interviews, and the complexities of cross-border privilege issues; it discusses strategies to resolve international probes successfully and manage government enforcers and corporate reputation throughout; and it covers the major regulatory and compliance issues that investigations invariably raise.

In Volume II, local experts from major jurisdictions across the globe respond to a common and comprehensive set of questions designed to identify the local nuances of law and practice that practitioners may encounter in responding to a cross-border investigation.

In the first edition, we signalled our intention to update and expand both parts of the book as the rules evolve and enforcers' appetites change. The Guide continues to grow in substance and geographical scope. By its third edition, it had outgrown the original



single-book format. The two parts of the Guide now have separate covers, but the hard copy should still be viewed – and used – as a single reference work. All chapters are made available online at [www.globalinvestigationsreview.com](http://www.globalinvestigationsreview.com) and in other digital formats.

Volume I, which is bracketed by comprehensive tables of law and a thematic index, has been revised to reflect developments during the past year. These range from the introduction of compliance certifications now being required by the US Department of Justice from chief executive officers and chief compliance officers, at the conclusion of a monitoring, to the effect that the company's compliance programme is, broadly speaking, fit for purpose, to the DOJ's recent statements regarding its interest in corporate compensation systems that incentivise compliance by rewarding good behaviour and clawing back compensation for wrongdoing; to changes being brought about in the United Kingdom by the long-awaited Economic Crime (Transparency and Enforcement) Act 2022, whose introduction was accelerated by Russia's invasion of Ukraine on 24 February 2022. Most notable of the changes introduced was the removal of the requirement for the UK sanctions regulator, the Office for Financial Sanctions Implementation, to show that a person knew, or had reasonable cause to suspect, that they were in breach of sanctions, for a civil monetary penalty to be imposed, bringing the UK legal position into line with the position in the United States. Together with the increase in the sanctions targeting Russia, and a sharpened regulatory focus on sanctions controls, we can expect to see greater enforcement for breaches. Having expanded Volume I for the 2022 edition to incorporate ESG, we decided against commissioning further chapters. Instead we have chosen to consolidate and build on some of the newer chapters featuring rapid developments.

The questionnaire for Volume II continues to allow readers to gauge the developments in each jurisdiction profiled. It carries regional overviews that give insight into cultural issues and regional coordination by authorities. The second volume now covers 25 jurisdictions in Africa, the Americas, the Asia-Pacific region and Europe. As corporate investigations and enforcer co-operation cross more borders, we anticipate Volume II will become increasingly valuable to our readers: external and in-house counsel; compliance and accounting professionals; and prosecutors and regulators operating in this complex environment.

**Judith Seddon, Eleanor Davison, Christopher J Morvillo, Luke Tolaini,  
Celeste Koeleveld, F Joseph Warin and Winston Y Chan**

December 2022

London, New York, San Francisco and Washington, DC

# Contents

Acknowledgements .....	i
Publisher's Note .....	iii
Preface .....	v
Contents .....	vii
Table of Cases .....	xxi
Table of Legislation .....	xlix

## **VOLUME I GLOBAL INVESTIGATIONS IN THE UNITED KINGDOM AND THE UNITED STATES**

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
	<i>Judith Seddon, Eleanor Davison, Christopher J Morvillo, Luke Tolaini, Celeste Koeleveld, F Joseph Warin and Winston Y Chan</i>	
1.1	Bases of corporate criminal liability	1
1.2	Double jeopardy	11
1.3	The stages of an investigation	23
<b>2</b>	<b>The Evolution of Risk Management in Global Investigations .....</b>	<b>31</b>
	<i>William H Devaney, Joanna Ludlam, Mark Banks and Aleesha Fowler</i>	
2.1	Introduction	31
2.2	Sources and triggers of corporate investigations	31
2.3	ESG issues	41
2.4	Corporate legal and compliance functions: who should investigate?	43

<b>3</b>	<b>Self-Reporting to the Authorities and Other Disclosure Obligations: The UK Perspective.....</b>	<b>44</b>
	<i>Judith Seddon and Andris Ivanovs</i>	
3.1	Introduction	44
3.2	Culture and whistleblowing	46
3.3	The evolution of the link between self-reporting and a DPA	48
3.4	Obligatory self-reporting	49
3.5	Voluntary self-reporting to the SFO	57
3.6	Advantages of self-reporting	58
3.7	Risks in self-reporting	67
3.8	Practical considerations, step by step	72
<b>4</b>	<b>Self-Reporting to the Authorities and Other Disclosure Obligations: The US Perspective.....</b>	<b>76</b>
	<i>F Joseph Warin, Winston Y Chan, Chris Jones and Duncan Taylor</i>	
4.1	Introduction	76
4.2	Mandatory self-reporting to authorities	77
4.3	Voluntary self-reporting to authorities	79
4.4	Risks in voluntarily self-reporting	88
4.5	Risks in choosing not to self-report	90
4.6	Briefing the board	91
4.7	Conclusion	92
<b>5</b>	<b>Whistleblowers: The UK Perspective .....</b>	<b>93</b>
	<i>Alison Wilson, Sinead Casey, Elly Proudlock and Nick Marshall</i>	
5.1	Introduction	93
5.2	The legal framework	93
5.3	The corporate perspective: representing the firm	101
5.4	The individual perspective: representing the individual	107
<b>6</b>	<b>Whistleblowers: The US Perspective .....</b>	<b>110</b>
	<i>Daniel Silver and Benjamin A Berringer</i>	
6.1	Overview of US whistleblower statutes	110
6.2	The corporate perspective: preparation and response	119
6.3	The whistleblower’s perspective: representing whistleblowers	124
6.4	Filing a qui tam action under the False Claims Act	130

<b>7</b>	<b>Beginning an Internal Investigation: The UK Perspective.....</b>	<b>136</b>
	<i>Simon Airey and James Dobias</i>	
7.1	Introduction	136
7.2	Trigger points for internal investigations	136
7.3	Whether to notify the authorities	137
7.4	Whether and when to launch an internal investigation	139
7.5	Whether to instruct external legal counsel	141
7.6	Oversight and management of the investigation	141
7.7	Scoping the investigation	142
7.8	Document preservation, collection and review	143
<b>8</b>	<b>Beginning an Internal Investigation: The US Perspective.....</b>	<b>148</b>
	<i>Bruce E Yannett and David Sarratt</i>	
8.1	Introduction	148
8.2	Assessing whether an internal investigation is necessary	148
8.3	Identifying the client	153
8.4	Control of the investigation: in-house or external counsel	154
8.5	Determining the scope of the investigation	155
8.6	Document preservation, collection and review	157
8.7	Documents located abroad	160
<b>9</b>	<b>Directors' Duties: The UK Perspective .....</b>	<b>163</b>
	<i>Nichola Peters, Michelle de Kluyver and Jaya Gupta</i>	
9.1	Introduction	163
9.2	Sources of directors' duties and responsibilities under UK law	164
9.3	Expectations, not obligations	178
9.4	Conclusion	178
<b>10</b>	<b>Directors' Duties: The US Perspective.....</b>	<b>179</b>
	<i>Avi Weitzman, John Nowak, Jena Sold and Amanda Pober</i>	
10.1	Introduction	179
10.2	Directors' fiduciary duties	179
10.3	Judicial review and regulatory enforcement of director acts	186
10.4	Emerging areas of board focus and responsibility	191
10.5	Strategic considerations for directors	194

<b>11</b>	<b>Data Protection .....</b>	<b>197</b>
	<i>Stuart Alford KC, Serrin A Turner, Gail E Crawford, Hayley Pizzey, Mair Williams and Matthew Valenti</i>	
11.1	Introduction	197
11.2	Internal investigations: UK perspective	199
11.3	Internal investigations: US perspective	207
11.4	Investigations by authorities: UK perspective	209
11.5	Investigations by authorities: US perspective	211
11.6	Whistleblowers	213
11.7	Collecting, storing and accessing data: practical considerations	215
<b>12</b>	<b>Witness Interviews in Internal Investigations: The UK Perspective ....</b>	<b>216</b>
	<i>Caroline Day and Louise Hodges</i>	
12.1	Introduction	216
12.2	Types of interviews	217
12.3	Deciding whether authorities should be consulted	218
12.4	Providing details of the interviews to the authorities	220
12.5	Identifying witnesses and the order of interviews	223
12.6	When to interview	225
12.7	Planning for an interview	227
12.8	Conducting the interview: formalities and separate counsel	229
12.9	Conducting the interview: whether to caution the witness	231
12.10	Conducting the interview: record-keeping	231
12.11	Legal privilege in witness interviews	232
12.12	Conducting the interview: employee amnesty and self-incrimination	238
12.13	Considerations when interviewing former employees	239
12.14	Considerations when interviewing employees abroad	240
12.15	Key points	241
<b>13</b>	<b>Witness Interviews in Internal Investigations: The US Perspective ....</b>	<b>243</b>
	<i>John Nathanson, Katherine Stoller and Cáitrlín McKiernan</i>	
13.1	Introduction	243
13.2	Preparing for the interview	243
13.3	Conducting the interview	251
13.4	Memorialising the findings	252
13.5	Conclusion	254

<b>14</b>	<b>Forensic Accounting Skills .....</b>	<b>255</b>
	<i>Glenn Pomerantz and Paul Peterson</i>	
14.1	Introduction	255
14.2	Regulator expectations	256
14.3	Preservation, mitigation and stabilisation	257
14.4	e-Discovery and litigation holds	257
14.5	Violation of internal controls	258
14.6	Forensic data science and analytics	260
14.7	Analysis of financial data	263
14.8	Analysis of non-financial records	264
14.9	Use of external data in an investigation	267
14.10	Review of supporting documents and records	270
14.11	Tracing assets and other methods of recovery	271
14.12	Cryptocurrencies	272
14.13	Environmental, social and governance issues	273
14.14	Conclusion	274
<b>15</b>	<b>Co-operating with the Authorities: The UK Perspective .....</b>	<b>275</b>
	<i>Matthew Bruce, Ali Kirby-Harris, Ben Morgan and Ali Sallaway</i>	
15.1	Introduction	275
15.2	The status of the corporate and other initial considerations	276
15.3	What does co-operation mean?	277
15.4	Co-operation can lead to reduced penalties	286
15.5	Compliance	289
15.6	New management	289
15.7	Companies tend to co-operate for a number of reasons	290
15.8	Multi-agency and cross-border investigations	291
15.9	Strategies for dealing with multiple authorities	292
15.10	Conclusion	292
<b>16</b>	<b>Co-operating with the Authorities: The US Perspective .....</b>	<b>293</b>
	<i>John D Buretta and Megan Y Lew</i>	
16.1	Introduction	293
16.2	What is co-operation?	294
16.3	Key benefits and drawbacks to co-operation	308
16.4	Special challenges with multi-agency and cross-border investigations	317

<b>17</b>	<b>Production of Information to the Authorities.....</b>	<b>323</b>
	<i>Caroline Black, Clare Putnam Pozos, Chloe Binding and Carla Graff</i>	
17.1	Introduction	323
17.2	Production of documents to the authorities	324
17.3	Documents obtained through dawn raids, arrest and search	342
17.4	Informal disclosure requests: voluntary production and co-operation	344
17.5	Privilege considerations	355
17.6	Protecting confidential information	359
17.7	Conclusion	361
<b>18</b>	<b>Privilege: The UK Perspective.....</b>	<b>362</b>
	<i>Tamara Oppenheimer KC, Rebecca Loveridge and Samuel Rabinowitz</i>	
18.1	Introduction	362
18.2	Legal professional privilege: general principles	362
18.3	Legal advice privilege	369
18.4	Litigation privilege	382
18.5	Common interest privilege	391
18.6	Without prejudice privilege	394
18.7	Exceptions to privilege	398
18.8	Loss of privilege and waiver	405
18.9	Maintaining privilege: practical issues	415
<b>19</b>	<b>Privilege: The US Perspective.....</b>	<b>422</b>
	<i>Richard M Strassberg and Meghan K Spillane</i>	
19.1	Privilege in law enforcement investigations	422
19.2	Identifying the client	430
19.3	Maintaining privilege	432
19.4	Waiving privilege	439
19.5	Selective waiver	445
19.6	Taint teams	448
19.7	Disclosure to third parties	449
19.8	Expert witnesses	455

<b>20</b>	<b>Negotiating Global Settlements: The UK Perspective .....</b>	<b>458</b>
	<i>Nicholas Purnell KC, Brian Spiro, Jessica Chappatte and Eamon McCarthy-Keen</i>	
20.1	Introduction	458
20.2	Initial considerations	464
20.3	Legal considerations	484
20.4	Practical issues arising from negotiation of UK DPAs	486
20.5	Resolving parallel investigations	493
<b>21</b>	<b>Negotiating Global Settlements: The US Perspective.....</b>	<b>496</b>
	<i>Nicolas Bourtin</i>	
21.1	Introduction	496
21.2	Strategic considerations	496
21.3	Legal considerations	502
21.4	Forms of resolution	506
21.5	Key settlement terms	512
21.6	Resolving parallel investigations	521
<b>22</b>	<b>Parallel Civil Litigation: The UK Perspective.....</b>	<b>525</b>
	<i>Nichola Peters and Michelle de Kluuver</i>	
22.1	Introduction	525
22.2	Stay of proceedings	525
22.3	Multi-party litigation	527
22.4	Derivative claims and unfair prejudice petitions	529
22.5	Securities litigation	530
22.6	Other private litigation	531
22.7	Evidentiary issues	538
22.8	Practical considerations	542
22.9	Concurrent settlements	543
22.10	Conclusion	544



<b>23</b>	<b>Parallel Civil Litigation: The US Perspective.....</b>	<b>545</b>
	<i>Sam Amir Toossi and Farhad Alavi</i>	
23.1	Introduction	545
23.2	Parallel civil actions brought by the government	546
23.3	Parallel civil actions brought by private parties	549
23.4	Discovery differences in civil and criminal cases	554
23.5	Evidentiary issues	556
23.6	Applications for a stay of civil proceedings	558
23.7	Insurance	561
23.8	Conclusion	561
<b>24</b>	<b>Monitorships.....</b>	<b>562</b>
	<i>Robin Barclay KC, Nico Leslie, Christopher J Morvillo, Celeste Koeleveld, Meredith George and Benjamin A Berringer</i>	
24.1	Introduction	562
24.2	Evolution of the modern monitor	564
24.3	Circumstances requiring a monitor	571
24.4	Selecting a monitor	573
24.5	The role of the monitor	579
24.6	Costs and other considerations	588
24.7	Conclusion	590
<b>25</b>	<b>Fines, Disgorgement, Injunctions, Debarment: The UK Perspective....</b>	<b>591</b>
	<i>Tom Epps, Andrew Love, Julia Maskell and Benjamin Sharrock</i>	
25.1	Criminal financial penalties	591
25.2	Compensation	592
25.3	Confiscation	592
25.4	Fine	594
25.5	Guilty plea	596
25.6	Costs	596
25.7	Director disqualifications	597
25.8	Civil recovery orders	598
25.9	Criminal restraint orders	599
25.10	Serious crime prevention orders	600
25.11	Regulatory financial penalties and other remedies	601
25.12	Withdrawing a firm's authorisation	603
25.13	Approved persons	603
25.14	Restitution orders	604
25.15	Debarment	605
25.16	Outcomes under a DPA	606

<b>26</b>	<b>Fines, Disgorgement, Injunctions, Debarment: The US Perspective ....</b>	<b>608</b>
	<i>Matthew Kutcher, Alexandra Eber, Matt K Nguyen, Wazhma Sadat and Kimberley Bishop</i>	
26.1	Introduction	608
26.2	Standard criminal fines and penalties available under federal law	610
26.3	Civil penalties	613
26.4	Disgorgement and prejudgment interest	614
26.5	Injunctions	615
26.6	Other consequences	616
26.7	Remedies under specific statutes	617
<b>27</b>	<b>Extraterritoriality: The UK Perspective .....</b>	<b>625</b>
	<i>Jessica Lee and Chloë Kealey</i>	
27.1	Overview	625
27.2	The Bribery Act 2010	626
27.3	The Proceeds of Crime Act 2002	628
27.4	Tax evasion and the Criminal Finances Act 2017	633
27.5	Financial sanctions	634
27.6	Mutual legal assistance, cross-border production and the extraterritorial authority of UK enforcement agencies	638
27.7	Corporate transparency	640
<b>28</b>	<b>Extraterritoriality: The US Perspective .....</b>	<b>643</b>
	<i>James P Loonam and Ryan J Andreoli</i>	
28.1	Extraterritorial reach of US laws	643
28.2	Securities laws	644
28.3	Criminal versus civil cases	651
28.4	Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act	654
28.5	Wire fraud	655
28.6	Commodity Exchange Act	657
28.7	Antitrust	661
28.8	Foreign Corrupt Practices Act	664
28.9	Sanctions	668
28.10	Money laundering	670
28.11	Power to obtain evidence located overseas	672
28.12	Conclusion	674

<b>29</b>	<b>Sanctions: The UK Perspective .....</b>	<b>675</b>
	<i>Rita Mitchell, Simon Osborn-King and Yannis Yuen</i>	
29.1	Introduction	675
29.2	Overview of the UK sanctions regime	676
29.3	Offences and penalties	680
29.4	Sanctions investigations	682
29.5	Best practices in investigations	684
29.6	Trends and key issues	687
<b>30</b>	<b>Sanctions: The US Perspective.....</b>	<b>691</b>
	<i>David Mortlock, Britt Mosman, Nikki Cronin and Ahmad El-Gamal</i>	
30.1	Overview of the US sanctions regime	691
30.2	Offences and penalties	699
30.3	Commencement of sanctions investigations	700
30.4	Enforcement	701
30.5	Trends and key issues	707
<b>31</b>	<b>Cybersecurity .....</b>	<b>709</b>
	<i>Francesca Titus, Andrew Thornton-Dibb, Mehboob Dossa, William Boddy and Oscar Ratcliffe</i>	
31.1	Introduction	709
31.2	Legal framework	715
31.3	Proactive cybersecurity	722
31.4	Conducting an effective investigation into a cyber breach	723
31.5	Enforcement	724
<b>32</b>	<b>Environmental, Social and Governance Investigations .....</b>	<b>728</b>
	<i>Emily Goddard, Anna Kirkpatrick and Ellen Lake</i>	
32.1	Introduction	728
32.2	ESG issues and investigation triggers	728
32.3	Legal and regulatory frameworks	733
32.4	Particularities of ESG-related investigations	737

<b>33</b>	<b>Compliance .....</b>	<b>743</b>
	<i>Alison Pople KC, Johanna Walsh and Mellissa Curzon-Berners</i>	
33.1	Introduction	743
33.2	UK criminal liability for corporate compliance failures	744
33.3	UK regulatory liability for corporate compliance failures	747
33.4	Compliance guidance	748
33.5	The interplay between culture and effective compliance	755
33.6	The impact of compliance on prosecutorial decision-making	756
33.7	Key compliance considerations from previous resolutions	758
33.8	Conclusion	762
<b>34</b>	<b>Publicity: The UK Perspective .....</b>	<b>763</b>
	<i>Kevin Roberts, Duncan Grieve and Charlotte Glaser</i>	
34.1	Introduction	763
34.2	Before the commencement of an investigation or prosecution	763
34.3	Following the commencement of an investigation or prosecution	765
34.4	Following the conclusion of an investigation or prosecution	766
34.5	Legislation governing the publication of information	767
34.6	The changing landscape: remote hearings and open justice	773
<b>35</b>	<b>Publicity: The US Perspective.....</b>	<b>775</b>
	<i>Jodi Avergun and Cheryl Risell</i>	
35.1	Restrictions in a criminal investigation or trial	775
35.2	Social media and the press	786
35.3	Risks and rewards of publicity	790
<b>36</b>	<b>Employee Rights: The UK Perspective .....</b>	<b>793</b>
	<i>James Carlton, Sona Ganatra and David Murphy</i>	
36.1	Contractual and statutory employee rights	793
36.2	Representation	797
36.3	Indemnification and insurance coverage	800
36.4	Privilege concerns for employees and other individuals	802

<b>37</b>	<b>Employee Rights: The US Perspective .....</b>	<b>804</b>
	<i>Milton L Williams, Avni P Patel and Jacob Gardener</i>	
37.1	Introduction	804
37.2	The right to be free from retaliation	805
37.3	The right to representation	807
37.4	The right to privacy	809
37.5	Covid-19	811
37.6	Indemnification	813
37.7	Situations where indemnification may cease	816
37.8	Privilege concerns for employees	817
<b>38</b>	<b>Representing Individuals in Interviews: The UK Perspective .....</b>	<b>819</b>
	<i>Natalie Sherborn, Carl Newman, Perveen Hill, Anthony Hanratty and Sophie Gilford</i>	
38.1	Introduction	819
38.2	Interviews in corporate internal investigations	819
38.3	Interviews of witnesses in law enforcement investigations	823
38.4	Interviews of suspects in law enforcement investigations	825
<b>39</b>	<b>Representing Individuals in Interviews: The US Perspective.....</b>	<b>830</b>
	<i>Christopher LaVigne, Martin Auerbach and Georges Lederman</i>	
39.1	Introduction	830
39.2	Distinguishing witnesses, subjects and targets	830
39.3	Privilege against self-incrimination	832
39.4	Interviews by company counsel	834
39.5	Interviews by law enforcement	837
39.6	Preparing for interviews	839
39.7	Notes and recordings of interviews	840
<b>40</b>	<b>Individuals in Cross-Border Investigations or Proceedings: The UK Perspective.....</b>	<b>841</b>
	<i>Richard Sallybanks, Anoushka Warlow and Greta Barkle</i>	
40.1	Introduction	841
40.2	Cross-border co-operation	841
40.3	Practical issues	843
40.4	Extradition	850
40.5	Settlement considerations	855
40.6	Reputational considerations	856

<b>41</b>	<b>Individuals in Cross-Border Investigations or Proceedings: The US Perspective .....</b>	<b>858</b>
	<i>Amanda Raad, Michael McGovern, Meghan Gilligan Palermo, Abraham Lee, Chloe Gordils and Ross MacPherson</i>	
41.1	Introduction	858
41.2	Preliminary considerations	859
41.3	Extradition	862
41.4	Strategic considerations	872
41.5	Evidentiary issues	879
41.6	Asset freezing, seizure and forfeiture	882
41.7	Collateral consequences	884
41.8	The human element: client-centred lawyering	884
<b>42</b>	<b>Individual Penalties and Third-Party Rights: The UK Perspective.....</b>	<b>885</b>
	<i>Elizabeth Robertson, Vanessa McGoldrick and Jason Williamson</i>	
42.1	Individuals: criminal liability	885
42.2	Individuals: regulatory liability	896
42.3	Other issues: UK third-party rights	897
<b>43</b>	<b>Individual Penalties and Third-Party Rights: The US Perspective .....</b>	<b>899</b>
	<i>Victoria L Weatherford and Tera N Coleman</i>	
43.1	Investigative actors	899
43.2	Prosecutorial discretion	901
43.3	Sources of penalties and sentencing	908
43.4	US third-party rights	912
<b>44</b>	<b>Extradition .....</b>	<b>916</b>
	<i>Ben Brandon and Aaron Watkins</i>	
44.1	Introduction	916
44.2	Bases for extradition	917
44.3	Core concepts	918
44.4	Trends in extradition	921
44.5	Contemporary issues in extradition	925
	<b>Appendix 1: About the Authors of Volume I.....</b>	<b>933</b>
	<b>Appendix 2: Contributors' Contact Details .....</b>	<b>983</b>
	<b>Index to Volume I.....</b>	<b>991</b>

# Table of Cases

## United Kingdom

A v. B and Financial Reporting Council [2020] EWHC 1491 (Ch), [2020] 1 WLR 3989.....	18.2.6
A v. B and Financial Reporting Council [2020] EWHC 1492 (Ch), [2020] 6 WLUK 173 .....	18.3.3
A v. UBS AG unreported 1 November 2019 ET .....	18.3.2.1, 18.3.3
Accident Exchange Ltd v. McLean [2018] 4 WLR 26 QBD (Comm) .....	18.5, 18.7.1
Addlesee v. Dentons Europe LLP [2020] Ch 243 CA (Civ Div).....	18.2.3, 18.7.1
Aegis Blaze, The [1986] 1 Lloyd's Rep 203 CA (Civ Div) .....	18.4.2
AFWEL case. <i>See</i> Serious Fraud Office v. Amec Foster Wheeler Energy Ltd	
Ainsworth v. Wilding [1900] 2 Ch 315 Ch D .....	18.3.1
AJ & DJ, Re unreported 9 December 1992 CA (Civ Div).....	25.9
Akcinė Bendrovė Bankas Snoras (in Bankruptcy) v. Antonov [2013] EWHC 131 (Comm).....	22.2.1
Akhmedova v. Akhmedov [2020] 4 WLR 15 Fam Div.....	18.7.1
Al-Fayed v. Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis [2002] EWCA Civ 780 .....	18.8.3
Alfred Crompton Amusement Machines Ltd v. Customs & Excise Commissioners (No.2) [1974] AC 405 HL.....	18.3.2.1
Allen v. Financial Conduct Authority [2014] UKUT 0348 (TCC) .....	22.7.2
Anderson v. Bank of British Columbia (1876) 2 Ch D 644 CA .....	18.2.4
Arnott, ex p. Chief Official Receiver, Re (1888) 60 LT 109.....	18.2.2
Ashburton v. Pape [1913] 2 Ch 469 CA .....	18.2.3
Astex v. Astrazeneca [2016] EWHC 2759 (Ch).....	18.3.2.2
Attorney-General v. Guardian Newspapers Ltd (No.2) [1990] 1 AC 109 HL .....	18.8
Attorney General's Reference (No.2 of 1999) [2000] 2 Cr App R 207 CA (Crim Div) .....	1.1.1
B v. Auckland District Law Society [2003] 2 AC 736 PC .....	18.2.3, 18.7.2, 18.8.1
Babula v. Waltham Forest College [2007] EWCA Civ. 174.....	5.2.1.3
Balabel v. Air India [1988] Ch 317 CA (Civ Div) .....	18.3.1, 18.3.2.2, 18.3.3
Balaz v. Slovakia [2021] EWHC 1862 (Admin).....	27.3.1
Bank of Nova Scotia v. Hellenic Mutual War Risks Association (Bermuda) Ltd (The Good Luck) [1992] 2 Lloyd's Rep 540 QBD (Comm) .....	18.3.1, 18.5
Banque Keyser Ullman SA v. Skandia (UK) Insurance Co Ltd [1986] 1 Lloyd's Rep 336 CA (Civ Div) .....	18.7.1
Barclays Bank Plc v. Eustice [1995] 1 WLR 1238 CA (Civ Div) .....	18.7.1
Barings Plc (No.5), Re, Secretary of State for Trade and Industry v. Baker [1999] 1 B.C.L.C. 433 Ch D (Companies Ct).....	9.2.1.4
Barnetson v. Framlington [2007] 1 WLR 2443 CA (Civ Div).....	18.6
Barrowfen Properties v. Patel [2020] EWHC 2536 (Ch).....	18.7.1
Barton and Booth v. R. <i>See</i> R. v. Barton and Booth	
BBGP Managing General Partner Ltd v. Babcock and Brown [2011] Ch 296 Ch D .....	18.7.1

Table of Cases

Belhaj v, DPP [2018] EWHC 513 (Admin).....	18.8.1
Berezovsky v. Hine [2011] EWCA Civ 1089.....	18.8.1
Berkeley Square Holdings v. Lancer Property Asset Management Ltd [2021] EWCA Civ 551.....	18.6
Bilta (UK) Ltd (In Liquidation) v. Royal Bank of Scotland [2017] EWHC 3535 (Ch).....	12.11, 18.4.3, 20.2.2.1, 22.7.3, 27.6
Bloomberg LP v. ZXC [2022] UKSC 5 .....	22.6.5, 34.5.1.2, 40.6
Bolkiah v. KPMG [1999] 2 AC 222 HL.....	18.2.6
Bolton Engineering Co v. Graham. <i>See</i> HL Bolton Engineering Co Ltd v. TJ Graham & Sons Ltd	
Bourns Inc v. Raychem Corp [1999] 3 All ER 154 CA (Civ. Div).....	18.2.1, 18.2.2
Bowman v. Fels [2005] 1 WLR 3083 CA (Civ Div).....	18.7.2
Bradcrowne Ltd, Re [2002] B.C.C. 428, [2001] 1 BCLC 547 Ch D (Companies Ct) .....	9.2.1.4
Bradford & Bingley Plc v. Rashid [2006] 1 WLR 2066 HL.....	18.6
British Home Stores Ltd v. Burchell [1978] 7 WLUK 138 EAT .....	12.12
Brown aka Bajinja v. Rwanda, Secretary of State for the Home Department [2009] EWHC 770 (Admin).....	40.4.4.1
Bunbury v. Bunbury (1839) 2 Beav 173 Ct of Ch .....	18.3.2.1
Burn v. Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust [2021] EWCA Civ 1791.....	36.1.1.2
Bursill v. Tanner (1885) 16 QBD 1 CA.....	18.2.2
Butler v. Board of Trade [1971] 1 Ch 680 Ch D.....	18.7.1
Buttes Gas and Oil Co v. Hammer (No.3) [1981] QB 223 CA (Civ Div).....	18.4.1, 18.5
Calcraft v. Guest [1898] 1 QB 759 CA.....	18.2.3
Campbell, Ex p. <i>See</i> Cathcart Ex p. Campbell, Re	
Candey Ltd v. Boshah [2022] 4 WLR 84 CA (Civ Div).....	18.2.6, 18.7.1, 18.8
Cape Intermediate Holdings Ltd v. Dring (for and on behalf of Asbestos Victims Support Groups Forum UK) [2019] UKSC 38 .....	34.5.1.1
Cathcart Ex p. Campbell, Re (1869-70) L.R. 5 Ch. App. 703 CA in Ch.....	18.2.2
Cheng v. Governor of Pentonville Prison [1973] AC 931 HL.....	44.3.3
Chesterton Global and Verman v. Nurmohamed [2017] EWCA Civ. 979 .....	5.2.1.3
China Export & Credit Insurance Corp v. Emerald Energy Resources Ltd [2018] EWHC 1503 (Comm).....	22.2.1
Clyde & Co LLP v. Bates van Winkelhof [2014] UKSC 32.....	5.2.1
Coleman Taymar Ltd v. Oakes [2001] 2 BCLC 749 Ch D .....	9.2.1.4
Commercial Union Assurance Co Plc v. Mander [1996] 2 Lloyd's Rep 640 QBD (Comm).....	18.5
Continental Assurance Co of London Plc (In Liquidation), Re [2001] All ER (D) 229 Ch D .....	9.2.1.4
Conway v. Prince Arthur Ikpechukwu Eze [2019] EWCA Civ 88.....	22.6.1
Crawford v. Suffolk Mental Health Partnership NHS Trust [2012] IRLR 402 CA (Civ Div).....	36.1.2
Crescent Farm (Sidcup) Sports Ltd v. Sterling Offices Ltd [1972] Ch 553 Ch D.....	18.7.1
Criminal Practice Directions 2015. <i>See</i> Practice Direction (CA (Crim Div): Criminal Proceedings: General Matters)	
Curless v. Shell Ltd [2019] EWCA Civ 1710.....	18.7.1
Dadourian Group International v. Simms [2008] EWHC 1784 (Ch).....	18.3.2.1
Dechert LLP v. ENRC. <i>See</i> Eurasian Natural Resources Corp Ltd v. Dechert LLP	
Depp v. News Group Newspapers Ltd [2020] EWHC 2911 (QB).....	34.5.1.2
Derby & Co Ltd v. Weldon (No.7) [1990] 1 WLR 1156 Ch D .....	18.7.1
Devani v. Kenya [2015] EWHC 3535 (Admin).....	40.4.2
Director of the Serious Fraud Office cases. <i>See</i> Serious Fraud Office cases	
Dixons Stores Group v. Thames Television [1993] 1 All ER 349 QBD.....	18.6



Table of Cases

Dormeuil Trade Mark [1983] RPC 131 Ch D .....	18.3.2.1
Dubai Aluminium Co Ltd v. Al Alawi [1999] 1 WLR 1964 QBD (Comm) .....	18.7.1
Dubai Bank v. Galadari (No.6) Times 22 April 1991.....	18.7.1
Duomatic Ltd, Re [1969] 2 Ch. 365, [1969] 2 WLR 114, (1968) 112 SJ 922 Ch D .....	9.2.1.3, 9.2.1.4
Eclairs Group Ltd v. JKC Oil & Gas Plc [2015] UKSC 71; [2016] 3 All ER 641 .....	9.2.1.1
ECU Group Plc v. HSBC Bank Plc [2018] EWHC 3045 .....	22.7.3
EMW Law LLP v. Halborg [2017] EWHC 1014 (Ch).....	18.5
Environment Agency v. St Regis Paper Co Ltd [2012] 1 Cr App R 177 CA (Crim Div).....	1.1.1
Equitable Life Assurance Society v. Hyman [2002] 1 AC 408, [2000] 3 WLR 529, [2001] Lloyd's Rep. IR 99 HL .....	9.2.1.4
Eurasian Natural Resources Corp Ltd v. Dechert LLP [2016] EWCA Civ 375, [2016] 3 Costs LO 327 .....	18.8, 18.8.1, 18.9.2
Eurasian Natural Resources Corp Ltd v. Dechert LLP [2022] EWHC 1138 (Comm), [2022] 4 WLUK 367 .....	20.5.3
Fadairo v. Suit Supply UK Lime Street Ltd [2014] ICR D11 (EAT).....	18.8.3
Financial Conduct Authority v. Macris [2017] UKSC 19 .....	40.6, 42.3
Financial Reporting Council Ltd v. Frasers Group Plc (formerly Sports Direct International Plc) [2020] EWHC 2607 (Ch) .....	18.4.3
Financial Reporting Council Ltd v. Sports Direct International [2020] 2 WLR 1256 CA (Civ Div).....	18.3.1, 18.3.4, 18.7.2, 18.8.1
Financial Services Authority v. Amro International [2010] EWCA Civ. 123 .....	17.2.3.2
Financial Services Authority v. Anderson [2010] EWHC 308 (Ch).....	22.2.1
Fofana v. Deputy Prosecutor Thubin, Tribunal de Grande Instance de Meaux, France [2006] EWHC 744 (Admin) .....	1.2.1, 44.3.4
Ford v. FSA. <i>See</i> R. (on the application of Ford) v. Financial Services Authority	
Foreign and Commonwealth Office v. Bamieh [2019] EWCA Civ. 803.....	5.3.4.5
Formica Ltd v. Export Credits Guarantee Department [1995] 1 Lloyd's Rep 692 QBD (Comm).....	18.5
Forster v. Friedland unreported 10 November 1993 CA (Civ Div) .....	18.6
Gamlen Chemical Co (UK) Ltd v. Rochem Ltd (No.2) unreported 7 December 1979 CA (Civ Div) .....	18.7.1
GE Capital Corporate Finance Group v. Bankers Trust Co [1995] 1 WLR 172 CA (Civ Div) .....	18.9.3
General Accident Fire and Life Corp v. Tanter [1984] 1 WLR 100 QBD (Comm).....	18.8.2
General Mediterranean Holdings SA v. Patel [2000] 1 WLR 272 QBD (Comm).....	18.2.3, 18.7.2
Gibson v. Pride Mobility Products Ltd [2017] CAT 9.....	22.3.2
Gilham v. Ministry of Justice [2019] UKSC 44 .....	5.2.1
Gillard v. Bates (1840) 6 M & W 547 Ex Ct .....	18.2.2
Goddard v. Nationwide Building Society [1987] QB 670 CA .....	18.2.3
Gomez v. Secretary of State for the Home Department [2000] INLR 549 IAT .....	44.3.3
Gotha City v. Sotheby's [1998] 1 WLR 114 CA (Civ. Div).....	17.5.1, 18.8, 18.8.1
Great Atlantic Insurance Co v. Home Insurance Co [1981] 1 WLR 529 CA (Civ Div) ....	18.8, 18.8.2
Greenough v. Gaskell (1833) 1 M&K 98 Ct of Ch.....	18.3.1
GSL case. <i>See</i> Serious Fraud Office v. Güralp Systems Ltd	
Guardian News and Media Ltd, Re [2010] UKSC 1 .....	34.5.1.2
Guinness Peat Properties v. Fitzroy Robinson Partnership [1987] 1 WLR 1027 CA (Civ Div) .....	18.4.2
Harmony Shipping v. Saudi Europe Line [1979] 1 WLR 1380 CA (Civ Div).....	18.2.6
Hellenic Mutual War Risks Association (Bermuda) Ltd v. Harrison (The Sagheera) [1997]1 Lloyd's Rep 160 QBD (Comm) .....	18.4.2, 18.5

HH v. Deputy Prosecutor of the Italian Republic, Genoa. <i>See</i> R. (on the application of HH) v. Westminster City Magistrates' Court	
Highgrade Traders Ltd, Re [1984] BCLC 151 CA (Civ. Div).....	18.2, 18.4.1, 18.4.3
Hilton v. Barker Booth & Eastwood [2005] 1 WLR 567 HL .....	18.2.2
HL Bolton Engineering Co Ltd v. TJ Graham & Sons Ltd [1957] 1 QB 159 CA.....	12.5, 20.1
HM Treasury v. Ahmed. <i>See</i> Guardian News and Media Ltd, Re	
Hollington v. F Hewthorn & Co Ltd [1943] KB 587 CA .....	22.7.1
Hotel Portfolio II UK Ltd v. SMA Investment Holdings Ltd [2019] EWHC 1754 (Comm)....	18.7.1
Howard Smith Ltd v. Ampol Petroleum Ltd [1974] A.C. 821 PC .....	9.2.1.1
Hunt (as liquidator of System Building Services Group Ltd) v. Michie [2020] EWHC 54 (Ch).....	9.2.1.2
Ibrahim v. HCA International Ltd [2019] EWCA Civ 2007 .....	22.6.7
Isesini v. Westrip Holdings Ltd [2009] EWHC 2526 (Ch), [2010] BCC 420 .....	9.2.1.4
International Business Machines Corp v. Phoenix International (Computers) Ltd [1995] 1 All ER 413 Ch D .....	18.3.2.1
International Power Industries, Re [1985] BCLC 128.....	18.2.6
Istil Group Inc v. Zahoor [2003] EWHC 165 (Ch), [2003] 2 All E.R. 252.....	18.2.2
Item Software (UK) Ltd v. Fassihi [2004] EWCA Civ. 1244.....	9.2.1.2
Ivey v. Genting Casinos (UK) Ltd t/a Crockfords [2017] UKSC 67 .....	27.4
Jedinak v. Czech Republic [2016] EWHC 3525 (Admin).....	27.3.1
JSC BTA Bank v. Ablyazov [2014] EWHC 2788 (Comm).....	18.7.1
JSC BTA Bank v. Ablyazov [2018] EWHC 1368 (Comm).....	22.7.1
Khuja v. Times Newspapers Ltd [2017] UKSC 49.....	34.5.1.1, 34.5.1.2, 34.5.2.1
Kuwait Airways Corporation v. Iraqi Airways Company [2005] 1 WLR 2734 CA (Civ Div)....	18.7.1
Kyla Shipping Co Ltd v. Freight Trading Ltd [2022] EWHC 376 (Comm).....	18.4.3, 18.8.2
L (a Minor) (Police Investigation: Privilege), Re [1997] AC 16 HL.....	18.2, 18.2.4, 18.4.2
Lambeth LBC v. Agoreyo [2019] EWCA Civ 322.....	36.1.1.2
Lee v. SW Thames Health Authority [1985] 1 WLR 845 CA.....	18.2.6
Lennards Carrying Co and Asiatic Petroleum [1915] AC 705 HL .....	12.5, 20.1
Levy v. Pope (1829) M & M 410 (Assizes) .....	18.2.2
LM v. Lewisham LBC [2009] UKUT 204 .....	18.4.2
Lonrho Ltd v. Shell Petroleum Co Ltd (No.1) [1980] 1 WLR 627 HL.....	17.2.3.1
Lonsdale v. NatWest [2018] EWHC 1843 (QB).....	3.4.1
Loreley Financing (Jersey) No 30 Ltd v. Credit Suisse Securities (Europe) Ltd [2022] EWHC 1136, [2022] 4 WLR 67 QBD (Comm) .....	18.2, 18.4.1
Love v. United States [2018] EWHC 172 (Admin) .....	40.4.4.3, 44.5.1
Lyell v. Kennedy (No.3) (1884) 27 Ch D 1 CA.....	18.3.2.2, 18.4.1
MAC Hotels Ltd v. Rider Levett Bucknall UK Ltd [2010] EWHC 767 (TCC).....	18.8.2
Macfarlan v. Rolt (1872) LR 14 Eq 580 Ct of Ch .....	18.3.2.1
Macris v. FCA. <i>See</i> Financial Conduct Authority v. Macris	
Mariana v. BHP Group Plc [2021] EWCA Civ 1156 .....	32.4.5
Mayor and Corporation of Bristol v. Cox (1884) 26 Ch D 678 Ch D .....	18.4.2
McE v. Prison Service of Northern Ireland [2009] 1 AC 908 HL.....	18.2.3, 18.7.1, 18.7.2
Meridian Global Funds Management Asia Ltd v. Securities Commission [1995] 2 AC 500 PC.....	1.1.1, 20.1
Mezey v. South West London & St George's Mental Health NHS Trust [2010] IRLR 512 CA (Civ Div) .....	36.1.1.2
Mid-East Sales v. Engineering & Trading Co PVT Ltd [2014] EWHC 892 (Comm) .....	18.8.2
Minter v. Priest [1930] AC 558 HL.....	18.3.2.1
Motorola Solutions Inc v. Hytera Communications Cord Ltd [2021] EWCA Civ 11 .....	18.6
Mustad v. Dosen [1964] 1 WLR 109 HL .....	18.8

Table of Cases

National Crime Agency v. A [2018] EWHC 2534 (Admin) .....	42.1.3
National Crime Agency v. Baker [2020] EWHC 822 (Admin).....	42.1.3
National Crime Agency v. Hajiyeva [2020] EWCA Civ 108.....	27.3.2, 42.1.3
National Crime Agency v. Hussain [2020] EWHC 432 (Admin).....	27.3.2
National Crime Agency v. N [2017] EWCA Civ. 253 .....	3.4.1
National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc v. ABB Ltd. <i>See</i> Secretary of State for Health v. Servier Laboratories Ltd	
Nationwide Anglia Building Society v. Various Solicitors [1999] PNLR 52 Ch D.....	18.2.6
Nationwide Anglia Building Society v. Various Solicitors (No.2) [1998] 3 WLUK 580 Ch D....	18.2.6
Navigator Equities Ltd v. Deripaska [2022] EWHC 374 (Comm) .....	18.2.3
Nea Karteria Maritime Co v. Atlantic and Great Lakes Steamship Corp (No.1) [1981] Com LR 138 .....	18.8.2
Nederlandse Reassurantie Groep Holding NV v. Bacon & Woodrow [1995] 1 All ER 976 QBD (Comm).....	18.8.1
Norris v. United States [2008] 1 A.C. 920 HL.....	44.3.1
North West Anglia NHS Foundation Trust v. Gregg [2019] EWCA Civ 387 .....	36.1.1.3
O'Rourke v. Darbishire [1920] AC 581 HL.....	18.7.1
Oceanbulk Shipping & Trading SA v. TMT Asia [2011] 1 AC 662 SC .....	18.6
Okhiria v. Royal Mail [2014] 7 WLUK 279 EAT .....	12.12
Okpabi v. Royal Dutch Shell Plc [2021] UKSC 3 .....	32.4.5
Omers Administration Corp v. Tesco Plc [2019] EWHC 109 (Ch).....	3.7.2.2, 17.6, 27.2.2
Oxfordshire CC v. M [1994] Fam 151 CA .....	18.2.4
Panton v. Financial Institutions Services Ltd [2003] UKPC 95.....	22.2.1
Paragon Finance v. Freshfields [1999] 1 WLR 1183 CA (Civ Div) .....	18.8, 18.8.2
Pascall v. Galinski [1970] 1 QB 38 CA (Civ. Div) .....	18.2.2
Patel v. Mirza [2016] UKSC 42 .....	22.6.1
PCP Capital Partners LLP v. Barclays Bank Plc [2020] EWHC 1393 (Comm).....	18.8.1, 18.8.2, 20.2.2.1, 20.3.1, 20.5
Pearce v. Foster (1885) 15 QBD 114 CA .....	18.2.3, 18.2.4, 18.3.1
Pearse v. Pearse (1846) 1 De G & Sm 12 Ct of Ch.....	18.2.4
Perry v. Serious Organised Crime Agency [2012] UKSC 35 .....	27.3.2
Phoenix Contracts (Leicester) Ltd, Re [2010] EWHC 2375 (Ch).....	9.2.1.2
Pickett v. Balkind [2022] 4 WLR 88 QBD (TCC).....	18.8.3
PJSC Tatneft v. Bogolyubov [2020] EWHC 2437 (Comm).....	18.3.2.1, 18.8.2
Polakowski v. Westminster [2021] EWHC 53 (Admin).....	40.4, 44.5.4
Practice Direction (CA (Crim Div): Costs in Criminal Proceedings) [2015] EWCA Crim 1568.....	25.6
Practice Direction (CA (Crim Div): Criminal Proceedings: General Matters) [2015] EWCA Crim 1567.....	25.6
Price Waterhouse (a firm) v. BCCI Holdings (Luxembourg) SA [1992] BCLC 583 Ch D...18.4.3	
Property Alliance Group Ltd v. Royal Bank of Scotland Plc [2015] EWHC 1557 (Ch), [2016] 1 WLR 992.....	18.3.3, 18.6, 18.8, 18.8.1, 22.7.1, 22.8.2, 22.9
Property Alliance Group Ltd v. Royal Bank of Scotland Plc [2015] EWHC 3187 (Ch) .....	22.7.3
Qatar v. Banque Havilland SA and Bolely [2021] EWHC 2172 (Comm).....	12.11, 18.9.4, 20.3.1
R. v. A Ltd, X, Y [2016] EWCA Crim 1469.....	1.1.1
R. v. Akle (Ziad) [2021] EWCA Crim 1879.....	42.1
R. v. Alstom Network UK Ltd [2019] EWCA Crim 1318 .....	33.7
R. v. Andrewes [2022] UKSC 24.....	25.3
R. v. Andrews Weatherfoil (1972) 56 Cr App R 31 CA.....	12.5, 20.1
R. v. BAE Systems Plc [2010] EW Misc 16, [2010] 12 WLUK 752 (CC).....	20.2.1.3

Table of Cases

R. v. Barclays Plc and Barclays Bank Plc [2020] Lloyd's Rep. F.C. 325 Crown Ct (Southwark).....	20.1, 20.5
R. v. Barton and Booth [2020] EWCA Crim 575.....	27.4
R. v. Bayliss (1993) 98 Cr App R 235 CA (Crim Div).....	12.9
R. v. Bond (Paul) [2022] EWCA Crim 427.....	42.1
R. v. Brown (Edward) [2016] 1 WLR 1141 CA (Crim Div).....	18.7.1
R. v. Central Criminal Court, ex p. Francis & Francis [1989] AC 346 HL.....	18.2.6, 18.7.1
R. v. Clifford [2014] EWCA Crim 2245.....	25.1
R. v. Cox and Railton (1884) 14 QBD 153 Crown Cases Res.....	18.7.1
R. v. Creggy [2008] EWCA Crim 394.....	42.1.6
R. v. Daniels [2010] EWCA Crim 2740.....	18.2.5
R. v. Derby Magistrates Court, ex p. B [1996] AC 487 HL.....	18.2.3, 18.2.6
R. v. Director of the Serious Fraud Office, ex p. Saunders [1988] Crim LR 837 DC.....	12.9
R. v. Director of the Serious Fraud Squad, ex p. Johnson [1993] COD 58.....	38.3.2
R. v. Dougall [2010] EWCA Crim 1048.....	20.1, 20.2.1.3, 40.5, 42.1.1
R. v. George unreported 7 December 2009.....	18.2.5
R. v. Ghosh [1982] EWCA Crim 2.....	27.4
R. v. Goodyear [2005] EWCA Crim 888.....	20.2.1.3, 25.4
R. v. Green (Ricky) [2019] EWCA Crim 411.....	38.4.1
R. v. H [2011] EWCA Crim 2753.....	25.1
R. v. Harvey [2015] UKSC 73, [2016] 4 All ER 521.....	25.3
R. v. Inland Revenue Commissioners, ex p. Lorimer [2000] STC 751 QBD.....	18.7.2
R. v. Innospec Ltd [2010] 3 WLUK 784, [2010] Lloyd's Rep FC 462, [2010] Crim LR 665 Crown Ct (Southwark).....	20.1, 20.2.1.1, 20.2.1.3, 20.5, 24.2.2, 24.3
R. v. Luckhurst [2022] UKSC 23.....	25.9
R. v. May [2008] UKHL 28.....	42.1.4
R. v. Milsom (Paul) unreported 7 March 2013 Crown Ct (Southwark).....	42.1.1, 42.1.4
R. v. National Westminster Bank Plc unreported 13 December 2021 Crown Ct (Southwark).....	3.4.4, 33.2.4
R. v. Pabon [2018] EWCA Crim 420.....	27.4
R. v. Panel on Takeovers and Mergers, ex p. Fayed [1992] BCC 524 CA (Civ Div).....	22.2.1
R. v. Papachristos and Kerrison unreported 13 May 2013 Crown Ct (Southwark).....	18.8.2, 20.2.4
R. v. Peterborough Justices, ex p. Hicks [1977] 1 WLR 1371 DC.....	18.2.6, 18.3.1
R. v. Rogers [2014] EWCA Crim 1680.....	27.3.1
R. v. Rollins [2010] UKSC 39.....	25.11
R. v. Sale [2013] EWCA Crim 1306.....	25.3
R. v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex p. Daly [2001] 2 AC 532 HL.....	18.7.2
R. v. Secretary of State for the Home Department, ex p. Simms [2000] 2 AC 115 HL.....	18.7.2
R. v. Secretary of State for Transport ex p. Factortame Ltd (Discovery) (1997) 9 Admin LR 591 QBD.....	18.8.2
R. v. Skansen Interiors Ltd unreported February 2018 Crown Ct (Southwark).....	3.5, 3.6.1, 27.2.2, 33.2.1, 33.7
R. v. Smith (Wallace Duncan) (No.4) [2004] EWCA Crim 631, [2004] 2 Cr App R 17.....	27.3.1
R. v. Sweett Group Plc unreported 2016 Crown Ct (Southwark).....	12.4, 15.3.2, 33.2.1
R. v. Tompkins (1977) 67 Cr App R 181 CA (Crim Div).....	18.2.3
R. v. Turner (Elliott Vincent) [2013] EWCA Crim 643.....	18.7.2
R. v. Twaites and Brown (1990) 92 Cr App R 106 CA (Crim Div).....	12.9, 38.2.3
R. v. Underwood [2004] EWCA Crim 2256.....	20.2.1.3
R. v. Varley, Jenkins, Kalaris and Boath [2019] EWCA Crim 1074.....	20.5, 42.1
R. v. Waya [2012] UKSC 51, [2012] 3 WLR 1138.....	25.3, 42.1.4

Table of Cases

R. v. Welcher [2007] EWCA Crim 480 .....	12.9, 38.2.3
R. v. Whiteley (Stephen) [2022] EWCA Crim 1143 .....	42.1
R. (for and on behalf of the Health and Safety Executive) v. Jukes [2018] EWCA Crim 176 .....	12.11, 18.4.2
R. (on the application of AFP Lord) v. Director of The Serious Fraud Office [2015] EWHC 865 (Admin) .....	38.3.3
R. (on the application of AL) v. Serious Fraud Office [2018] EWHC 856.....	1.3.1, 12.4, 12.11, 15.3.7, 18.3.2.2, 20.2.4, 36.4.1.2
R. (on the application of Corner House Research) v. Serious Fraud Office [2008] EWHC 714 (Admin) .....	1.2.3
R. (on the application of Energy Financing Team) v. Bow Street Magistrates' Court [2005] EWHC 1626 (Admin), [2006] 1 WLR 1316 .....	40.3.5
R. (on the application of Ford) v. Financial Services Authority [2011] EWHC 2583 (Admin) .....	18.8.3
R. (on the application of Ford) v. Financial Services Authority [2012] EWHC 997 (Admin) .....	18.8.3
R. (on the application of Gibson) v. Secretary of State for Justice [2018] UKSC 2.....	42.1.4
R. (on the application of Guardian News and Media Ltd) v. City of Westminster Magistrates' Court [2012] EWCA Civ 420 .....	34.5.3
R. (on the application of HH) v. Westminster City Magistrates' Court [2012] UKSC 25, [2012] 3 W.L.R. 90 .....	40.4.4.1
R. (on the application of Howe) v. South Durham Magistrates Court [2004] EWHC 362 (Admin), [2005] RTR 4 .....	18.2.2
R. (on the application of Jet2.com Ltd) v. Civil Aviation Authority [2020] EWCA Civ. 35, [2020] Q.B. 1027.....	12.11, 18.2, 18.3, 18.3.2.2, 18.3.3, 18.3.4, 18.8.2, 18.9.1, 36.4.2.1
R. (on the application of Jimenez) v. First Tier Tribunal (Tax Chamber) [2019] EWCA Civ. 51, [2019] 1 WLR 2956.....	17.2.3.1, 27.6
R. (on the application of KBR Inc) v. Serious Fraud Office [2018] EWHC 2368 (Admin) .....	1.3.1, 15.8, 17.2.3.1, 27.6
R. (on the application of KBR Inc) v. Serious Fraud Office [2021] UKSC 2, [2022] AC 519 .....	1.3.1, 7.8.4, 17.2.3.1, 27.6, 40.3.6
R. (on the application of McKenzie) v. Director of the Serious Fraud Office [2016] EWHC 102.....	17.3, 18.9.5
R. (on the application of Miller Gardner Solicitors) v. Minshull St Crown Court [2002] EWHC 3077 (Admin) .....	18.2.2
R. (on the application of Morgan Grenfell & Co Ltd) v. Special Commissioner of Income Tax [2003] 1 AC 563 HL .....	18.2.3, 18.7.2
R. (on the application of Prudential Plc) v. Special Commissioner of Income Tax [2013] 2 AC 185 SC .....	18.3.2.1
Raiffeisen Bank International v. Asia Coal Energy Ventures Ltd [2020] 1 WLR 2298 CA (Civ Div) .....	18.7.2, 18.8
Raithatha (as liquidator of Halal Monitoring Committee Ltd) v. Baig [2017] All ER (D) 244 Ch D (Companies Ct).....	9.2.1.4
Rawlinson & Hunter Trustees SA v. Akers [2014] EWCA Civ 136.....	18.4.2, 18.4.3, 20.2.4
Rawlinson & Hunter Trustees SA v. Director of the Serious Fraud Office [2014] EWCA Civ 1129.....	18.4.3, 18.8.3
RBS Rights Issue Litigation, Re [2016] EWHC 3161 (Ch), [2017] 1 WLR 1991.....	12.11, 15.3.7, 18.2.1, 18.3.2.2, 18.9.1, 18.9.4, 22.5, 22.7.3

Table of Cases

Reed Executive Plc v. Reed Business Information Ltd [2004] 1 WLR 3026 CA (Civ Div).....	18.6
Revenue and Customs Commissioners v. Holland [2010] UKSC 51.....	25.7
Richard v. British Broadcasting Corp [2018] EWHC 1837 (Ch).....	34.5.1.2, 40.6
Rihan v. Ernst and Young Global Ltd [2020] EWHC 901 (QB).....	5.2.1.4
Robert Hitchens Ltd v. International Computers Ltd [1996] 12 WLUK 141 CA (Civ Div).....	18.2.4, 18.5
Rogers v. Hoyle [2014] EWCA Civ 257.....	22.7.1
Saxton, Re [1962] 1 WLR 968 CA.....	18.2.4
Sayers v. Clarke Walker [2002] EWHC Ch 60.....	18.2.5
Schneider v. Leigh [1955] 2 QB 195 CA.....	18.2.6
Scott v. Scott [1913] AC 417 HL.....	34.5.1.2
Scott v. United States [2018] EWHC 2021 (Admin).....	40.4.4.3, 44.5.1
Scottish Lion Insurance v. Goodrich Corp [2001] CSIH 18.....	18.8.1
Secretary of State for Health v. Servier Laboratories Ltd [2013] EWCA Civ. 1234, [2014] 1 WLR 4383.....	17.2.3.4
Secretary of State for Trade & Industry v. Baker [1998] Ch 356 Ch D (Companies Ct) .....	18.2.4
Serious Fraud Office v. AB Ltd and CD Ltd unreported 19 July 2021 Crown Ct (Southwark).....	1.1.1, 33.2.1, 33.7
Serious Fraud Office v. Airbus SE [2020] 1 WLUK 435 Crown Ct (Southwark) .....	3.6.1.1, 3.6.1.2, 12.4, 15.3.5, 15.8, 17.2.1.3, 17.2.3.2, 17.4.1, 17.4.3, 17.4.4, 20.1, 20.2.1.2, 20.2.2.2, 20.4, 20.5.2, 24.2.2, 25.16, 33.2.1, 33.5, 33.7, 42.1
Serious Fraud Office v. Airline Services Ltd unreported October 2020 Crown Ct (Southwark) .....	3.6.1.3, 12.4, 17.4.3, 20.2.1.2, 20.4, 25.16, 33.2.1, 33.5, 33.7, 42.1
Serious Fraud Office v. Amec Foster Wheeler Energy Ltd unreported 1 July 2021 Crown Ct (Southwark) .....	1.1.1, 3.2, 9.3, 15.2, 15.3.2, 15.4, 15.6, 15.8, 17.2.3.2, 17.4.1, 20.1, 20.2.1.2, 20.4, 24.2.2, 25.16, 33.2.1, 33.5, 33.7, 42.1
Serious Fraud Office v. Barclays Plc [2018] EWHC 3055 (QB), [2020] 1 Cr App R 28.....	1.1.1, 12.5, 20.1, 20.5
Serious Fraud Office v. DePuy International Ltd unreported 8 April 2011 .....	1.2.1
Serious Fraud Office v. Eurasian National Resources Corp (ENRC) [2017] EWHC 1017 (QB), [2017] 1 WLR 4205.....	12.11, 15.3.7, 18.3.2.2, 18.3.3, 18.4.2, 18.9.1, 18.9.4, 20.2.2.1, 22.7.3
Serious Fraud Office v. Eurasian National Resources Corp (ENRC) [2018] EWCA Civ. 2006, [2019] 1 W.L.R. 791 .....	1.3.1, 3.7.2.2, 3.7.2.3, 12.11, 15.3.7, 17.4.4, 17.5.1, 18.2.5, 18.3.2.2, 18.3.3, 18.4.1, 18.4.2, 18.4.3, 18.9, 18.9.1, 18.9.4, 20.2.2.1, 20.2.4, 22.7.3, 36.4.2.1, 38.2.3, 40.3.5

Table of Cases

<p>Serious Fraud Office v. G4S Care and Justice (UK) Ltd [2020] 7 WLUK 303  Crown Ct (Southwark) .....</p>	<p>1.1.1, 1.3.4, 3.6.1.2,  3.7, 3.7.4, 12.4, 15.4,  17.4.1, 17.4.3, 17.4.4,  20.1, 20.2.1.2, 20.3.2,  20.4, 24.2.2, 25.16,  33.4.1.5, 33.7, 42.1</p>
<p>Serious Fraud Office v. Glencore Energy UK Ltd [2022] 10 WLUK 459  Crown Ct (Southwark) .....</p>	<p>17.2.3.2</p>
<p>Serious Fraud Office v. Güralp Systems Ltd [2019] 10 WLUK 864, [2020] Lloyd’s  Rep. F.C. 90 Crown Ct (Southwark).12.3, 20.1, 20.2.1.2, 20.3.2, 20.4, 25.16, 33.2.1, 33.7, 42.1</p>	
<p>Serious Fraud Office v. ICBC Standard Bank Plc. <i>See</i> Serious Fraud Office v. Standard  Bank Plc (now ICBC Standard Bank Plc)</p>	
<p>Serious Fraud Office v. Petrofac Ltd unreported 4 October 2021  Crown Ct (Southwark) .....</p>	<p>15.4, 20.2.1.3</p>
<p>Serious Fraud Office v. Rolls-Royce Plc [2017] 1 WLUK 189,  [2017] Lloyd’s Rep FC 249 Crown Ct (Southwark).....</p>	<p>1.1.1, 3.6.1.1, 3.7.3, 12.4,  15.3.2, 15.3.3, 15.3.5, 15.3.6,  15.3.7, 15.4, 17.2.1.3, 17.4.1,  17.4.3, 18.2.5, 20.1, 20.2.1.2,  20.2.2.2, 20.4, 24.5.2, 25.16,  33.2.1, 33.5, 33.7, 42.1</p>
<p>Serious Fraud Office v. Saleh [2018] EWHC 1012 (QB) .....</p>	<p>25.8</p>
<p>Serious Fraud Office v. Sarclad Ltd. <i>See</i> Serious Fraud Office v. XYZ Ltd (Sarclad Ltd case)</p>	
<p>Serious Fraud Office v. Serco Geografix Ltd [2019] 7 WLUK 45,  [2019] Lloyd’s Rep FC 518 Crown Ct (Southwark).....</p>	<p>1.1.1, 12.3, 17.4.3, 20.2.1.2,  20.4, 24.2.2, 24.5.2,  25.16, 33.7, 34.5.2.3, 42.1</p>
<p>Serious Fraud Office v. Standard Bank Plc (now ICBC Standard Bank Plc)  [2016] Lloyd’s Rep FC 102 Crown Ct (Southwark).....</p>	<p>3.4.1, 12.4, 15.3.3, 15.3.5,  15.3.7, 17.4.3, 20.1, 20.2.1.2,  20.2.2.2, 20.4, 24.2.2, 25.16,  33.2.1, 33.7, 42.1</p>
<p>Serious Fraud Office v. Tesco Stores Ltd [2017] 4 WLUK 558,  [2019] Lloyd’s Rep FC 283 Crown Ct (Southwark).....</p>	<p>1.1.1, 17.4.3, 20.2.1.2,  20.4, 20.5.1, 24.2.2,  25.16, 33.5, 33.7, 40.5, 42.1</p>
<p>Serious Fraud Office v. XYZ Ltd (Sarclad Ltd case) [2016] 7 WLUK 220,  [2016] Lloyd’s Rep FC 509 Crown Ct (Southwark).....</p>	<p>1.1.1, 3.6.1, 3.6.1.3, 12.4,  12.11, 15.3.3, 15.3.5, 15.3.7,  15.6, 17.4.3, 17.4.4, 20.1,  20.2.1.2, 20.4, 24.2.2, 25.16,  33.2.1, 33.5, 33.7, 40.5, 42.1</p>
<p>Shankaran v. India (2014) EWHC 957 (Admin).....</p>	<p>40.4.4.1</p>
<p>Shepherd v. Fox Williams LLP [2014] EWHC 1224 (QB) .....</p>	<p>36.4.2.2</p>
<p>Siam Commercial Bank Plc v. Nopporn Suppipat [2022] EWHC 381 (Comm) .....</p>	<p>18.2.1, 18.8.3</p>
<p>SL Claimants v. Tesco Plc [2019] EWHC 3315 (Ch) .....</p>	<p>18.8</p>
<p>Soma Oil &amp; Gas Ltd v. Serious Fraud Office [2016] EWHC 2471 (Admin) .....</p>	<p>3.7</p>
<p>Somatra v. Sinclair Roche &amp; Temperley [2000] 1 WLR 2453 CA (Civ Div) .....</p>	<p>18.6</p>

Table of Cases

Southwark and Vauxhall Water Co v. Quick (1878) 3 QBD 315 CA.....	18.4.1
Standard Life Assurance Ltd v. Topland Col Ltd [2011] 1 WLR 2162 Ch D.....	17.6
Sulaiman v. France [2016] EWHC 2868 (Admin) .....	27.3.1
Sumitomo Corp v. Credit Lyonnais Rouse Ltd [2002] 1 WLR 479 CA (Civ Div).....	18.2.4
Superintendent of HMP Foxhill & United States v. Kozeny [2012] UKPC 10.....	41.3.4
Svenska Handelsbanken v. Sun Alliance and London Insurance Plc [1995] 2 Lloyd's Rep 84 QBD (Comm) .....	18.5
Tatneft v. Bogolyubov. <i>See</i> PJSC Tatneft v. Bogolyubov	
Taylor Goodchild Ltd v. Taylor [2021] EWCA Civ 1135.....	22.4.2
Taylor v. Forster (1825) 2 C&P 195 Assizes.....	18.3.2.1
Taylor v. United States [2007] EWHC 2527 (Admin) .....	40.4.4.3
Tchenguiz v. Director of the Serious Fraud Office (Non-Party Disclosure). <i>See</i> Rawlinson & Hunter Trustees SA v. Akers	
Tchenguiz v. Grant Thornton UK LLP [2017] EWHC 310 (Comm).....	22.7.3
Tesco Stores Ltd v. Office of Fair Trading [2012] CAT 6 .....	18.4.2
Tesco Supermarkets Ltd v. Natrass [1972] AC 153 HL .....	1.1.1, 12.5, 20.1, 38.2.1
Three Rivers DC v. Governor and Company of the Bank of England (No.5) [2003] EWCA Civ. 474 ....	12.11, 18.3.1, 18.3.2.2, 18.4.1, 18.4.3, 18.9, 18.9.1, 20.2.4, 36.4.2.1
Three Rivers DC v. Bank of England (No.6) [2005] 1 AC 610 HL.....	18.2, 18.2.2, 18.2.3, 18.2.4, 18.2.5, 18.3, 18.3.1, 18.3.2.2, 18.3.3, 18.4.1, 18.4.2
Timis v. Osipov. [2018] EWCA Civ. 2321 .....	5.2.1.4
Treacy v. DPP [1971] AC 537 HL.....	20.1
Unilever Plc v. Procter & Gamble Co [2000] 1 WLR 2436 CA (Civ Div).....	18.6
United States v. McDaid [2020] EWHC 1527 (Admin).....	40.4.4.3
United States v. Philip Morris Inc (No.1) [2004] EWCA Civ 330, [2004] 3 WLUK 609 .....	18.4.2
United States v. Taylor. <i>See</i> Taylor v. United States	
USP Strategies Plc v. London General Holdings Ltd [2004] EWHC (Ch) 373.....	18.3.1, 18.5, 18.8, 18.8.1
Various Claimants v. News Group Newspapers Ltd [2021] EWHC 680 (Ch).....	18.7.1
Vedanta Resources PLC v. Lungowe [2019] UKSC 20 .....	32.4.5
Ventouris v. Mountain [1991] 1 WLR 607 CA (Civ Div) .....	18.3.1, 18.3.2.2, 18.7.1
Victorygame Ltd v. Ahuja Investments Ltd [2021] EWCA Civ 993.....	18.2.3, 18.4.3, 18.7.1
Visx Inc v. Nidex Co Ltd [1999] FSR 91 CA (Civ Div).....	18.2.4
Walker v. Wilsher (1889) 23 QBD 335 CA .....	18.6
Walter Hugh Merricks CBE v. Mastercard Inc [2021] CAT 28.....	22.3.2
Waugh v. British Railways Board [1980] AC 521 HL .....	18.2, 18.2.4, 18.4, 18.4.3
Wentworth v. Lloyd (1864) 10 HLC 589 HL.....	18.2.5
West London Pipeline v. Total UK [2008] 2 CLC 258 QBD (Comm).....	18.9.3, 18.9.4
WH Holding Ltd and West Ham United Football Club Ltd v. E20 Stadium LLP [2018] EWCA Civ 2652.....	18.4.3, 18.9.3, 18.9.4
Wheeler v. Le Marchant (1881) 17 Ch D 675 CA .....	18.3.2.1
Wilden Pump Engineering Co v. Fusfield [1985] FSR 159 CA (Civ Div).....	18.3.2.1
William Hill Organisation Ltd v. Tucker [1998] IRLR 313 CA (Civ Div) .....	36.1.1.1
Winterthur Swiss Insurance Co v. AG (Manchester) Ltd (in liquidation) (TAG Group Litigation) [2006] EWHC 839 (Comm).....	18.5
Woodward v. Abbey National Plc [2006] EWCA Civ. 822.....	5.2.1.1
ZXC v. Bloomberg LP. <i>See</i> Bloomberg LP v. ZXC	



**United States**

100Reporters LLC v. Department of Justice (No.14-1264-RC), (D.D.C. 31 March 2017).....	24.5.5
100Reporters LLC v. Department of Justice, 316 F. Supp. 3d 124 (D.D.C. 2018).....	21.5.2
159 MP Corp v. Redbridge Bedford, LLC (App. Div. 31 January 2018).....	23.3.3
7 W. 57th St. Realty Co, LLC v. Citigroup, Inc (S.D.N.Y. 31 March 2015), affirmed, 771 F. App'x 498 (2d Cir. 2019) .....	21.5.4
ABF Capital Management. v. Askin Capital (S.D.N.Y. 10 February 2000) .....	21.3.1
Absolute Activist Value Master Fund Ltd v. Ficeto, 677 F.3d 60 (2d Cir. 2012) .....	28.2.2
Acerra v. Trulieve Cannabis Corp (No.4:20-cv-186-RH-MJF), (N.D. Fla. 18 March 2021) .....	28.2.2
Ahmad v. Wigen, 726 F. Supp. 389 (S.D.N.Y. 1989).....	41.3.5
Ahmad v. Wigen, 910 F.2d 1063 (2d Cir. 1990).....	41.3.5
Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Bank of America Corp (S.D.N.Y. 20 January 2017).....	21.3.1
Albertson's, Inc v. Amalgamated Sugar Co, 503 F.2d 459 (10th Cir. 1974).....	23.3.1
Americas Mining Corp v. Theriault, 51 A.3d 1213 (Del. SC 2012) .....	10.3.3
Anderson v. Binance (No.1:20-cv-2803-ALC), (S.D.N.Y. 31 March 2022) .....	28.2.2
Anderson v. Hannaford Bros. Co, 659 F.3d 151 (1st Cir. 2011) .....	31.5.3
Anthem, Inc Data Breach Litigation, Re, 162 F. Supp. 3d 953 (N.D. Cal. 2016).....	31.5.3
Antitrust Grand Jury, Re, 805 F.2d 155 (6th Cir. 1986) .....	19.1.1
Aphria, Inc Securities Litigation, Re (No.18-cv-11376-GBD), (S.D.N.Y. 30 August 2022) .....	28.2.2
Arden Way Associates v. Boesky, 660 F. Supp. 1494 (S.D.N.Y. 1987).....	23.6
Arizona v. Washington, 434 U.S. 497 (1978).....	35.3.1
Arnold v. Society for Savings Bancorp, Inc, 678 A.2d 533 (Del. SC 1996) .....	10.2.1
Aronson v. Lewis, 473 A.2d 805 (Del. SC 1984) .....	10.2.1, 10.3.2
Arthur Andersen LLP v. United States, 544 U.S. 696 (2005).....	1.1.2
Artukovic v. Rison, 784 F.2d 1354 (9th Cir. 1986) .....	41.3.2
Asadi v. G.E. Energy (USA) LLC (No.4:12-345), (S.D. Tex. 28 June 2012) .....	6.2.3
Asia Global Crossing, Ltd, Re, 322 B.R. 247 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2005) .....	19.3.4
Astra Aktiebolag v. Adrx Pharmaceuticals, Inc, 208 F.R.D. 92 (S.D.N.Y. 2022) .....	41.5.1
Atlantic Specialty Insurance Co v. Midwest Crane Repair, LLC (D. Kan. 31 August 2020).....	23.4
A-Valey Engineers, Inc v. Board of Chosen Freeholders of City of Camden, 106 F. Supp. 2d 711 (D.N.J. 2000) .....	23.3.3
Babbitt v. Sweet Home Chapter of Communities for a Greater Oregon, 515 U.S. 687 (1995).....	28.3
Bamford v. Penfold, L.P. (No.2019-0005-JTL), (Del. Ch. 24 June 2022), reargument granted in part (Del. Ch. 10 August 2022) .....	10.3.1
Banco Safra S.A. Cayman Islands Branch v. Samarco Mineracao S.A. (No.19-3976-cv), (2d Cir. 4 March 2021).....	28.2.2
Banneker Ventures, LLC v. Graham (No.13-391 (RMC)) (D.D.C. 16 May 2017).....	19.1.2
Barnes v. Andrews, 298 F. 614 (S.D.N.Y. 1924) .....	10.2.1
Bascuñán v. Elsaca, 927 F.3d 108 (2d Cir. 2019) .....	28.5
Baxter v. Palmigiano, 425 U.S. 308 (1976).....	23.5.1, 39.3, 43.4
Bear Stearns Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates Litigation, Re, 851 F. Supp. 2d 746 (S.D.N.Y. 2012).....	21.5.4
Beck v. Hirschag (Cal. Ct. App. 11 April 2011).....	19.8
Beckerich v. St. Elizabeth Medical Center (E.D. Ky. 24 September 2021) .....	37.5
Bellis v. United States, 417 U.S. 85 (1974).....	23.5.1
Berkley Custom Insurance Managers v. York Risk Services. Group, Inc (No.18-CV-9297 (LJL)) (S.D.N.Y. 10 September 2020) .....	13.4.3
Bevill, Bresler & Schulman Asset Management Corp, Re, 805 F.2d 120 (3d Cir. 1986) .....	19.1.3, 19.2, 37.8
Boeing Co Derivative Litigation (No.CV.2019-0907-MTZ) (Del. Ch. 7 September 2021)....	10.2.3.1

Table of Cases

Bordenkircher v. Hayes, 434 U.S. 357 (1978) .....43.2.1.1

Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963).....23.4

Braswell v. United States, 487 U.S. 99 (1988).....41.5.3

Breed v. Jones, 421 U.S. 519 (1975) .....1.2.2

Bridges v. Houston Methodist Hospital (S.D. Tex. 12 June 2021) .....37.5

Brown v. Trigg, 791 F.2d 598, 601 (7th Cir. 1986).....19.7

Bushmaker v. A. W. Chesterton Co (No.09-CV-726-SLC), (W.D. Wis. 1 March 2013).....35.1.3

Cadence Pharmaceuticals, Inc v. Fresenius Kabi USA, LLC, 996 F. Supp. 2d 1015  
(S.D. Cal. 2014).....41.5.1

Calvin Klein Trademark Trust v. Wachner, 198 F.R.D. 53 (S.D.N.Y. 2000).....19.7

Caremark International Inc Derivative Litigation, 698 A.2d 959  
(Del. Ch. 1996) ..... 10.2.1, 10.2.3.1, 10.2.3.3, 10.4.1

Carter v. Welles-Bowen Realty, Inc, 736 F.3d 722 (6th Cir. 2013) .....28.3

Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation, Re (N.D. Cal. 26 March 2014).....11.5

Cavello Bay Reinsurance Ltd v. Stein, 986 F.3d 161 (2d Cir. 2021) .....28.2.2

Chan Seong-I Extradition Request. *See* Extradition of Chan Seong-I

Charlton v. Kelly, 229 U.S. 447 (1913).....41.3.4

Chevron Corp v. Pennzoil Co, 974 F.2d 1156 (9th Cir. 1992) ..... 19.1.1, 19.7.1

Cicel (Beijing) Science & Technology Co v. Misonix, Inc (No.17CV1642),  
(E.D.N.Y. 11 April 2019) .....17.5.1

Cinerama, Inc v. Technicolor, Inc, 663 A.2d 1156 (Del. SC 1995).....10.3

Citigroup Inc Shareholder Litigation (No.19827) (Del. Ch. 5 June 2003).....10.2.3.3

City of Pontiac Policemen’s & Firemen’s Retirement System v. UBS AG, 752 F.3d 173  
(2d Cir. 2014) .....28.2.2

City of Roseville Employees’ Retirement System v. Apple Inc (4:19-cv-02033)  
(N.D. Cal. 3 August 2022) .....19.3.4

Claim for an Award in Connection with [Redacted], Re (Exchange Act Release  
No.82996) (SEC 5 April 2018).....6.3.1

Claim for an Award in Connection with [Redacted], Re (Exchange Act Release  
No.84125), (SEC 14 September 2018) .....6.3.3

Claims for an Award in Connection with [Redacted], Re (Exchange Act Release  
No.77530, 113 SEC Docket 4529), (SEC 5 April 2016).....6.3

Claims for an Award in Connection with [Redacted] (Exchange Act Release  
No.85412) (SEC 26 March 2019) .....6.3.3

Clark v. City of Munster, 115 F.R.D. 609 (N.D. Ind. 1987) .....19.7

Clark v. United States, 289 U.S. 1 (1933) .....19.1.1

Clark Equipment Co v. Lift Parts Manufacturing Co (No.82 C 4585)  
(N.D. Ill. 1 October 1985).....19.3.2

Cohen v. United States (No.18-MJ-3161), (S.D.N.Y. 26 April 2018) .....8.6.3

Collins v. Loisel, 262 U.S. 426 (1923).....41.3.5

Collins v. Loisel (Collins II), 259 U.S. 309 (1922).....41.3.2

Collins v. Miller, 252 U.S. 364 (1920).....41.3.5

Columbia/HCA Healthcare Corp Billing Practices Litigation, Re, 293 F.3d 289  
(6th Cir. 2002).....19.5

Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. Deutsche Bank AG (S.D.N.Y. 20  
October 2016) .....21.4.1

Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. Newell, 301 F.R.D. 348 (N.D. Ill. 2014).....19.8

Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. Vision Finance Partners, LLC, 19 F.  
Supp. 3d 1126 (S.D. Fla. 2016) .....28.6

Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. Weintraub, 471 U.S. 343 (1985).....19.2

Table of Cases

Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. WorldWideMarkets, Ltd  
(No.21-cv-20715-KM-LDW), (D.N.J. 18 August 2022).....28.6

Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. WorldWideMarkets, Ltd  
(No.21-cv-20715-KM-LDW), (D.N.J. 9 September 2022) .....28.6

Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. Zepeda (No.22-18) (C.D. Cal. 12 May 2022) .... 17.2.1.2

Conopco, Inc v. Wein (S.D.N.Y.4 April 2007) .....21.3.1

Coordinated Pretrial Proceedings in Petroleum Products Antitrust Litigation, Re,  
658 F.2d 1355 (9th Cir. 1981).....19.3.2

Copper Market Antitrust Litigation, Re, 200 F.R.D. 213 (S.D.N.Y. 2001) .....19.7

Corwin v. KKR Financial Holdings LLC, 125 A.3d 304 (Del. SC 2015) .....10.3.3

County of Erie, Re, 473 F.3d 413 (2d Cir. 2007).....19.3.4

Creel v. Ecolab, Inc (Del. Ch. 31 October 2018) .....23.3.4

David B. Shaev Profit Sharing Account v. Armstrong (No.1449-N), (Del. Ch. 13  
February 2006) ..... 10.2.3.3

Davis v. City of New York (10 Civ. 0699), (S.D.N.Y. 28 April 2015).....24.1

Deal v. Spears, 980 F.2d 1153 (8th Cir. 1992) .....37.4

Dellwood Farms, Inc v. Cargill, Inc, 128 F.3d 1122 (7th Cir. 1997) .....19.5

Deluca v. GPB Auto. Portfolio, LP (S.D.N.Y. 14 December 2020).....23.6

Department of Education v. National Collegiate Athletic Association. *See* United States  
Department of Education v. National Collegiate Athletic Association

Depuy Orthopaedics, Inc Pinnacle Hip Implant Product Liability Litigation, Re  
(No.11 MD 2244), (N.D. Tex. 15 May 2013) .....24.5.5

Digex, Inc Shareholders, Re, 789 A.2d 1176 (Del. Ch. 2000) .....10.2.1

Digital Realty Trust, Inc v. Somers, 138 S. Ct. 767 (2018) ..... 6.1.1, 6.3.1, 37.2

Dimas-Martinez v. Arkansas, 385 S.W.3d 238 (Ark. SC 2011) .....35.2.2

Disney Derivative Litigation. *See* Walt Disney Co Derivative Litigation, Re

Diversified Industries Inc v. Meredith, 572 F.2d 596 (en banc) (8th Cir. 1977) .....19.5

Doe v. Sipper, 869 F. Supp. 2d 113 (D.D.C. 2012) .....23.6

Drummond Co v. Conrad & Scherer, LLP, 885 F.3d 1324 (11th Cir. 2018).....19.1.1

Durling v. Papa John’s International, Inc (No.16 Civ. 3592 (CS) (JCM)),  
(S.D.N.Y. 24 January 2018).....19.7

Eastman v. Select Committee to Investigate the January 6 Attack on the US Capitol  
(No.8:22-cv-00099-DOC-DFM), (Order re Privilege of Documents Dated  
January 4-7 2021) (C.D. Cal.).....19.3.4

El-Masri v. United States, 479 F.3d 296 (4th Cir. 2007) ..... 17.2.3.6

Endicott Johnson Corp v. Perkins, 317 U.S. 501 (1943) ..... 17.2.1.2

Erickson v. Hocking Technical College (No.2:17-cv-360), (S.D. Ohio 27 March 2018) .....19.3.1

Estes v. Texas, 381 U.S. 532 (1965).....35.1.1

European Community v. RJR Nabisco, Inc. *See* RJR Nabisco, Inc v. European Community

Export-Import Bank of the United States v. Asia Pulp & Paper Co, 232 F.R.D. 103  
(S.D.N.Y. 2005) .....19.7

Extradition of Chan Seong-I, 346 F. Supp. 2d 1149 (D.N.M. 2004) .....41.3.4

Extradition of Mackin, Re, 668 F.2d 122 (2d Cir. 1981).....41.3.5

Extradition of Tafoya, Re, 572 F. Supp. 95 (W.D. Tex. 1983).....41.3.5

F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd v. Empagran S.A., 542 U.S. 155 (2004) .....28.7

Federal Communications Commission v. American Broadcast Co, 347 U.S. 284 (1954).....28.3

Federal Savings & Loan Insurance Corp v. Molinaro, 889 F.2d 899 (9th Cir. 1989) .....23.6

Federal Trade Commission v. D-Link Systems, Inc (No.3:17-cv-00039-JD),  
(N.D. 2 July 2019).....17.2.3.3

Federal Trade Commission v. GlaxoSmithKline, 294 F.3d 141 (D.C. Cir. 2002).....19.7

Table of Cases

Federal Trade Commission v. Hunt Foods & Industries, Inc, 178 F. Supp. 448  
 (S.D. Cal. 1959), affirmed 286 F.2d 803 (9th Cir. 1960) .....43.1.3

Federal Trade Commission v. Mytel International, Inc (C.D.C.A. 2022) .....23.6

Federal Trade Commission v. Wyndham Worldwide Corp, 799 F.3d 236 (3d Cir. 2015) .....31.5.3

Feldman v. Law Enforcement Associates Corp, 752 F.3d 339 (4th Cir. 2014) ..... 6.1.1, 6.2.3

Finkbeiner v. Geisinger Clinic (M.D. Pa. 26 August 2022) .....37.5

Fiswick v. United States, 329 U.S. 211 (1946) .....21.3.2

Fluor Intercontinental, Inc, Re, 803 Fed. Appx. 697 (4th Cir. 2020) .....19.5

Francis v. United Jersey Bank, 432 A.2d 814 (N.J. SC 1981) .....10.2.1

Franklin’s Budget Car Sales, Inc, Re (FTC File No.102-3094, No.C-4371)  
 (FTC 3 October 2012).....31.5.3

Fraser v. Fiduciary Trust Co International, 396 F. App’x 734 (2d Cir. 2010) .....6.2.3

Fraser v. Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co, 352 F.3d 107 (3d Cir. 2003) .....11.3

Friedman v. Bache Halsey Stuart Shields, Inc, 738 F.2d 1336 (D.C. Cir. 2009) .....23.4

FrontPoint Asian Event Driven Fund, L.P. v. Citibank, N.A. (No.16-cv-5263-AKH),  
 (S.D.N.Y. 18 August 2017) .....28.5

Fund Liquidation Holdings LLC v. UBS AG (No.15-cv-5844-GBD),  
 (S.D.N.Y. 30 September 2021) .....28.7

Funke v. Federal Express Corp (ARB No.09-004, ALJ No.2007-SOX-043),  
 (ARB 8 July 2011).....6.1.1

Gall v. United States, 552 U.S. 38 (2007) .....43.3

Galvin v. Pepe (No.09-cv-104-PB), (D.N.H. 5 August 2010).....19.8

Gamble v. United States, 139 S. Ct. 1960 (2019) ..... 1.2.2, 43.3.1

Gantler v. Stephens, 965 A.2d 695 (Del. SC 2009) .....10.2.1

Garfield (on behalf of ODP Corp) v. Allen, 277 A.3d 296 (Del. Ch. 2022).....10.2.3.1

Garrett v. Garden City Hotel, Inc (No.05-CV-0962), (E.D.N.Y. 19 April 2007).....6.2.3

Garrity v. New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493 (1967)..... 19.3.1, 41.4.2.2

Geders v. United States, 425 U.S. 80 (1976) .....35.1.3

Genberg v. Porter, 882 F.3d 1249 (10th Cir. 2018).....6.1.1

General Motors LLC Ignition Switch Litigation, Re, 80 F. Supp. 3d 521  
 (S.D.N.Y. 2015) ..... 8.4, 17.5.1, 19.3.1

General Motors LLC Ignition Switch Litigation, Re (S.D.N.Y. 30 November 2015).....21.3.1

General Motors LLC Ignition Switch Litigation, Re (S.D.N.Y. 18 August 2016).....21.3.1

Gentile v. State Bar of Nevada, 501 U.S. 1030 (1991) ..... 35.1.1, 35.3.2

Gerstein v. Pugh, 420 U.S. 103 (1975).....41.6

G-I Holdings, Inc, Re, 218 F.R.D. 428 (D.N.J. 2003).....19.7

Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972) .....23.4

Gilman v. Marsh & McLennan Cos, 826 F.3d 69 (2d Cir. 2016)..... 13.2.5, 37.1

Giunta v. Dingman, 893 F.3d 73 (2d Cir. 2018) .....28.2.2

GMR Transcription Services, Inc, Re (FTC File No.122-3095, No.C-4482),  
 (FTC 3 February 2014) .....31.5.3

Goldman Sachs Group, Inc Securities Litigation (No.1:10-cv-03461-PAC),  
 (S.D.N.Y. 6 April 2015) .....21.2

Google, LLC v. Starovikov (No.21-cv-10260-DLC), (S.D.N.Y. 27 April 2022) .....28.4

Gorman-Bakos v. Cornell Coop. Extension, 252 F.3d 545 (2d Cir. 2001) .....6.2.3

Gramercy Distressed Opportunity Fund II, L.P. v. Bakhmatyuk (No.21-cv-223-F),  
 (D. Wyo. 7 July 2022).....28.5

Grand Jury Investigation, Re, 772 N.E.2d 9 (Mass. 2002) .....19.1.1

Grand Jury Investigation, Re (No.17-2336), (D. D.C. 2 October 2017) .....19.5

Grand Jury Proceeding, Re, 691 F.2d 1384 (11th Cir. 1982).....28.11

Grand Jury Proceedings, Re, 532 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. 1976) ..... 11.1, 11.5

Table of Cases

Grand Jury Proceedings, Re, 102 F.3d 748 (4th Cir. 1996) .....	19.1.1
Grand Jury Proceedings, Re, 87 F.3d 377 (9th Cir. 1996) .....	19.1.1
Grand Jury Proceedings, Re, 219 F.3d 175 (2d Cir. 2000).....	19.2, 19.7.2
Grand Jury Proceedings, Re (No.M-11-189 (LAP)), (S.D.N.Y. 3 October 2001).....	19.7
Grand Jury Subpoena, Re, 223 F.3d 213 (3d Cir. 2000) .....	19.1.1
Grand Jury Subpoena, Re, 218 F. Supp. 2d 544 (S.D.N.Y. 2002).....	11.1
Grand Jury Subpoena Dated March 24, 2003 Directed to (A) Grand Jury Witness Firm and (B) Grand Jury Witness, Re, 265 F. Supp. 2d 321 (S.D.N.Y. 2003) .....	41.8
Grand Jury Subpoena Duces Tecum, Re, 406 F. Supp. 381 (S.D.N.Y. 1975) .....	19.1.3
Grand Jury Subpoena Duces Tecum, Re, 731 F.2d 1032 (2d Cir. 1984) .....	19.1.1
Grand Jury Subpoena: Under Seal, Re, 415 F.3d 333 (4th Cir. 2005) .....	13.3.1, 41.4.4
Grand Jury Subpoenas, Re, 265 F. Supp. 2d 321 (S.D.N.Y. 2003) .....	19.7, 35.1.6, 35.3.2
Grand Jury Subpoenas, Re, 454 F.3d 511 (6th Cir. 2006) .....	19.6
Grand Jury Subpoenas Duces Tecum, Re, 773 F.2d 204 (8th Cir. 1985).....	19.1.1
Grand Jury, Re, 23 F.4th 1088 (9th Cir. 2021) .....	19.3.4
Griffin v. Maryland, 19 A.3d 415 (Md. CA 2011) .....	35.2.1
Griggs-Ryan v. Smith, 904 F.2d 112 (1st Cir. 1990) .....	37.4
Grunewald v. United States, 353 U.S. 391 (1957).....	39.3
Gruss v. Zwirn (S.D.N.Y. 10 July 2013) .....	21.3.1
Guiffre v. Maxwell (No.15 Civ. 7433 (RWS)), (S.D.N.Y. 2 May 2016) .....	19.7
Guth v. Loft, Inc, 5 A.2d 503 (Del. SC 1939).....	10.2.2
Guttman v. Huang, 823 A.2d 492 (Del. Ch. 2003) .....	10.2.2, 10.2.3.1
Haines v. Liggett Group, 975 F.2d 81 (3d Cir. 1992) .....	19.1.1
Hamilton v. Carell, 243 F.3d 992 (6th Cir. 2001).....	1.1.2
Hannaford Bros. Co Customer Data Security Breach Litigation, Re, 613 F. Supp. 2d 108 (D. Me. 2009).....	31.5.3
Hanover Insurance Co, v. Plaquemines Parish Government, 304 F.R.D. 494 (E.D. La. 2015) ....	13.3.1
Harbor Healthcare Systems, L.P. v. United States, 5 F.4th 593 (5th Cir. 2021) .....	19.6
Hartford Fire Insurance Co v. California, 509 U.S. 764 (1993).....	28.7
Haugh v. Schroder Investment Management North America, Inc (No.02 Civ. 7955 (DLC)), (S.D.N.Y. 25 August 2003).....	19.7, 35.1.6, 41.8
Haxhijaj v. Hackman, 528 F.3d 282 (4th Cir. 2008) .....	41.3.2
Hechinger Investment Co, Re, 285 B.R. 601 (D. Del. 2002).....	19.2
Henry Schein Practice Solicitors, Inc, Re (FTC File No.142-3161, No.C-4575), (FTC 20 May 2016) .....	31.5.3
Herbal Supplements Marketing & Sales Practices Litigation, Re (N.D. Ill. 19 May 2017).....	21.5.4
Hermelin v. K-V Pharm. Co, 54 A.3d 1093 (Del. Ch. 2012) .....	37.6.2.2
Hertzberg v. Veneman, 273 F. Supp. 2d 67 (D.D.C. 2003).....	19.1.2
Hickman v. Taylor, 329 U.S. 495 (1947) .....	19.1.2
Hill v. Cosby (No.15-1658) (W.D. Pa. 21 June 2016) .....	35.3.1
Hill v. Hunt (N.D. Tex. 4 September 2008) .....	19.3.1
Holsworth v. Bprotocol Foundation (No.20-cv-2810-AKH), (S.D.N.Y. 22 February 2021).....	28.2.2
Homestore, Inc v. Tafeen, 888 A.2d 204 (Del. 2005).....	23.3.4
Hong v. Securities and Exchange Commission (2d Cir. 2022) .....	6.1.1
Hooker v. Klein, 573 F.2d 1360 (9th Cir. 1978) .....	41.3.5
Hudson v. United States, 522 U.S. 93 (1997).....	1.2.2
Huff Energy Fund, L.P. v. Gershen (No.CV. 11116-VCS), (Del. Ch. 29 September 2016).....	10.3.3
Hughes v. Hu (No.2019-0112-JTL), (Del. Ch. 27 April 2020) .....	10.2.3.1
Hutton v. National Board of Examiners in Optometry, Inc, 892 F.3d 612 (4th Cir. 2018) .....	31.5.3
iAnthus Cap. Holdings, Inc Securities Litigation (No.20-cv-3135-LAK), (S.D.N.Y. 30 August 2021) .....	28.2.2

Table of Cases

Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation, Re (S.D.N.Y. 12 June 2004).....21.3.1

Intuniv Antitrust Litigation (D. Mass. 24 July 2020) .....23.3.3

IQL-Riggig, LLC v. Kingsbridge Technologies (No.19 CV 6155), (N.D. Ill. 29 March 2021)..... 19.7

Janus Capital Group, Inc v. First Derivative Traders, 564 U.S. 135 (2011) .....10.3.5

John Doe Corp, Re, 675 F.2d 482 (2d Cir. 1982) .....8.4

Johnson v. Bethany Hospice and Palliative Care LLC (No.20-11624),  
 (11th Cir. 26 April 2021) ..... 6.4

Johnson v. Greater Southeast Community Hospital Corp, 951 F.2d 1268 (D.C. Cir. 1991)..... 35.1.2

Johnson v. Tyson Foods, Inc (W.D. Tenn. 15 June 2022) .....37.5

Jones v. Federated Financial Reserve Corp, 144 F.3d 961 (6th Cir. 1998) .....1.1.2

Judson Atkinson Candies, Inc v. Latini-Hohberger Dhimantec, Inc, 529 F.3d 371  
 (7th Cir. 2008).....19.2

Kahn v. Lynch Communication System, Inc, 638 A.2d 1110 (Del. SC 1994).....10.3.3

Kahn v. M&F Worldwide Corp (C.A. No.6566) (Del. SC 14 March 2014).....10.3.3

Kajberouni v. Bear Valley Community Services District (E.D. Cal. 21 April 2022) .....37.6.2.2

Kaley v. United States, 571 U.S. 320 (2014) .....41.6

Kashi v. Gratsos, 790 F.2d 1050 (2d Cir. 1986) .....39.3

Kastigar v. United States, 406 U.S. 441 (1972) ..... 41.4.2.1, 43.4

KBR, Inc, Re. (Exchange Act Release No.74619, 111 SEC Docket 917),  
 (SEC 1 April 2015)..... 6.2.2, 6.2.4

Keating v. Office of Thrift Supervision, 45 F.3d 322 (9th Cir. 1995) .....23.6

Keeper of the Records, Re, 348 F.3d 16 (1st Cir. 2003)..... 19.4

Kellher v. City of Reading (No.CIV.A.01-3386), (E.D. Pa. 29 May 2022) .....41.5.3

Kellogg, Brown & Root, Inc, Re, 756 F.3d 754 (D.C. Cir. 2014) ..... 8.4, 17.5.1, 19.3.1

Khazin v. TD Ameritrade Holding Corp, 773 F.3d 488 (3d Cir. 2014) .....6.2.3

Kiobel v. Royal Dutch Petroleum Co, 569 U.S. 108 (2013) .....28.1

Kirby Extradition Request. *See* Requested Extradition of Kirby, Re

Kokesh v. Securities and Exchange Commission, 137 S. Ct. 1635 (2017) .....21.5.1

Koumoulis v. Independent Financial Marketing Group Inc, 295 F.R.D. 28  
 (E.D.N.Y. 2013), *aff'd* in part, 29 F. Supp. 3d 142 (E.D.N.Y. 2014).....19.3.3

Lagos v. United States, 138 S. Ct. 1684 (2018).....16.3.3

Laperriere v. Vesta Insurance Group, 526 F.3d 715 (11th Cir. 2008).....10.3.5

Lawson v. FMR LLC, 571 U.S. 429, (2014).....6.1.1

Lawson v. PPG Architectural Finishes, Inc, 503 P.3d 659 (SC Cal. 2002).....6.1.4

Laydon v. Coöperatieve Rabobank U.A. (Nos.20-3626(L), 20-3775 (XAP)),  
 (2d Cir. 18 October 2022) .....28.6

Laydon v. Mizuho Bank, Ltd (No.12-cv-3419-GBD), (S.D.N.Y. 31 March 2015) .....28.5

Lazette v. Kulmatycki, 949 F. Supp. 2d 748 (N.D. Ohio 2013) ..... 11.3

Leasco Data Processing Equip. Corp v. Maxwell, 468 F.2d 1326 (2d Cir. 1972).....28.2.1

Lebanon County Employees' Retirement Fund v. AmerisourceBergen Corp,  
 (C.A. No.2019-0527-JTL), (Del. Ch. 13 January 2020).....10.3.2

Leegin Creative Leather Products, Inc v. PSKS, Inc, 551 U.S. 877 (2007) .....28.7

Leocal v. Ashcroft, 543 U.S. 1 (2004) .....28.3

Levanthal v. Knappek, 266 F.3d 64 (2d Cir. 2001) .....41.5.3

Li Tao Hu, Re (ARB No.2017-0068, ALJ No.2017-SOX-00019), (ARB 8 September 2019).... 6.2.3

LiButti v. United States, 107 F.3d 110 (2d Cir. 1997) .....39.3

Linde Thomson Langworthy Kohn & Van Dyke, P.C. v. Resolution Trust Corp, 5 F.3d  
 1508 (D.C. Cir. 1993) .....43.1.3

Lipsky v. Commonwealth United Corp, 551 F.2d 887 (2d Cir. 1976).....21.5.4

Liu v. Securities and Exchange Commission, 140 S. Ct. 1936 (2020) .....21.5.1

Liu Meng-Lin v. Siemens AG, 763 F.3d 175 (2d Cir. 2014).....6.2.3

Table of Cases

Livingston v. Wyeth Inc, (No.1:03CV00919), (M.D.N.C. 28 July 2006).....6.2.3

Loginovskaya v. Batratchenko, 936 F. Supp. 2d 357 (S.D.N.Y. 2013), affirmed,  
764 F.3d 266 (2d Cir. 2014) .....28.6

Lotes Co, Ltd v. Hon Hai Precision Industry Co, 753 F.3d 395 (2d Cir. 2014) .....28.7

Mackin Extradition Request. *See* Extradition of Mackin, Re

Mahony v. KeySpan Corp (No.04 CV. 554), (E.D.N.Y. 12 March 2007) .....6.2.3

Malloy v. Hogan, 378 U.S. 1 (1964).....43.4

Manrique, Re (No.3:19-mj-71055'MAG), (N.D. Cal. 19 March 2020) .....41.2.1

Marchand v. Barnhill, 212 A.3d 805 (Del. SC 2019) .....10.2.3.1

Martin Marietta Corp, Re, 856 F.2d 619 (4th Cir. 1988).....19.5

Martinez v. Illinois, 134 S. Ct. 2070 (2014).....1.2.2

Match Group, Inc Derivative Litigation, Re (No.2020-0505-MTZ),  
(Del. Ch. 1 September 2022) .....10.3.1

MAXXAM, Inc/Federated Development Shareholders Litigation (No.CIV.A. 12111)  
unreported 4 April 1997, on reargument (Del. Ch. 2 July 1997).....10.3.3

McGrath, Re (No.21 MJ 5058 (PED)), (S.D.N.Y. 15 December 2021).....41.2.1

McGrory v. Applied Signal Technology Inc, 212 Cal. App. 4th 1510 (Cal. CA 2013).....13.2.5

Menaldi v. Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC, 277 F. Supp. 3d 500  
(S.D.N.Y. 2017) .....21.5.4

Menendez v. Halliburton, Inc (ARB Nos.09-002 and 09-003, ALJ No.2007-SOX-005)  
(ARB 13 September 2011)..... 6.2.3

Merrill Lynch & Co v. Allegheny Energy Inc, 229 F.R.D. 441 (S.D.N.Y. 2004).....19.7.1

Metro Storage International LLC v. Harron, 275 A.3d 810 (Del. Ch. 2022).....10.2.1

MFW Shareholders Litigation, 67 A.3d 496 (Del. Ch. 2013) .....10.3.3

Microfinancial, Inc v. Premier Holidays International, Inc, 385 F.3d 72 (1st Cir. 2004).....23.6

Mills Acquisition Co v. Macmillan, Inc, 559 A.2d 1261 (Del. SC 1989) .....10.3.4

Minn-Chem, Inc v. Agrium, Inc, 683 F.3d 845 (7th Cir. 2012) .....28.7

Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436 (1966) .....37.3

Mirchandani v. United States, 836 F.2d 1223 (9th Cir. 1988).....41.3.5

Monarch Asphalt Sales Co v. Wilshire Oil Co, 511 F.2d 1073 (10th Cir. 1975).....23.3.1

Morgan Art Foundation Ltd v. McKenzie (S.D.N.Y. 1 July 2020) .....21.3.1

Morrison v. National Australia Bank, Ltd, 561 U.S. 247 (2010)..... 28.1, 28.2, 28.2.1,  
28.2.2, 28.3, 28.4,  
28.5, 28.6, 28.7, 28.8

Morse/Diesel, Inc v. Fidelity & Deposit Co of Maryland, 122 F.R.D. 447 (S.D.N.Y. 1988).....23.2.2

Motorola Credit Corp v. Uzan, 73 F. Supp. 3d 397 (S.D.N.Y. 2014) .....11.5

Motorola, Inc v. Lemko Corp (No.08 C 5427), (N.D. Ill. 1 June 2010).....19.1.1

Motorola Mobility LLC v. AU Optronics Corp, 775 F.3d 816 (7th Cir. 2015) .....28.7

Mott v. Anheuser-Busch, Inc, 910 F. Supp. 868 (N.D.N.Y. 1995).....23.3.2

Muick v. Glenayre Electronics, 280 F.3d 741 (7th Cir. 2002).....11.3

MultiPlan Corp Shareholders Litigation, Re, 268 A.3d 784 (Del. Ch. 2022)..... 10.3.3, 10.4.2

Murray v. UBS Securities, LLC, (No.12 Civ. 5914), (S.D.N.Y. 27 January 2014) .....6.2.3

Mutual Funds Investment Litigation, Re, 566 F.3d 111 (4th Cir. 2009) .....10.3.5

Myspace LLC, Re (No.C-4369), (FTC 11 September 2012) .....31.5.3

Myun-Uk Choi v. Tower Research Capital LLC, 890 F.3d 60 (2d Cir. 2018)..... 28.2.2, 28.6

Narayanan v. Southern Global Holdings Inc, 285 F. Supp. 3d 604 (W.D.N.Y. 2018).....19.7

National City Golf Finance v. Higher Ground Country Club Management Co,  
641 F. Supp. 2d 196 (S.D.N.Y. 2009) .....6.2.3

National Labor Relations Board v. J. Weingarten, Inc, 420 U.S. 251 (1975)..... 13.2.7, 37.3

Natural Gas Commodity Litigation, Re (No.03 Civ. 6186 (VM) (AJP)),  
(S.D.N.Y. 21 June 2005) ..... 19.5, 21.3.1

Table of Cases

Navient Solutions., LLC v. Law Offices of Jeffrey Lohman (19-cv-461),  
 (E.D. Va. 20 April 2020) .....19.1.1

Nebraska Press Association v. Stuart, 427 U.S. 539 (1976).....35.1.2

NECA-IBEW Pension Trust Fund v. Precision Castparts Corp (D. Or. 27 September 2019) ....35.1.6

New Jersey Bell Telephone Co & Local 827, International Brotherhood of Electrical  
 Workers, Afl-Cio, Re (308 NLRB 277) (NLRB 1992).....37.3

New York Times Co v. Department of Justice (S.D.N.Y. 3 February 2021).....21.5.2

Ngai v. Urban Outfitters, Inc (No.19-1480), (E.D. Pa. 29 March 2021) .....6.1.1

Nielsen v. AECOM Technology Corp, 762 F.3d 214 (2d Cir. 2014) .....6.2.3

Ntakirutimana v. Reno, 184 F.3d 419 (5th Cir. 1999).....41.3.5

NXIVM Corp v. O’Hara, 241 F.R.D. 109 (N.D.N.Y. 2007).....19.7

OCA, Inc, Re, 552 F.3d 413 (5th Cir. 2008) .....23.3.3

Oen Yin-Choy v. Robinson, 858 F.2d 1400 (9th Cir. 1988).....41.3.3

O’Gorman v. Kitchen (No.20-CV-1404 (LJL)), (S.D.N.Y. 7 April 2021) .....13.3.1

Oil Spill by the Oil Rig “Deepwater Horizon” in the Gulf of Mexico, on April 20, 2010,  
 Re, (E.D. La. 9 February 2012) ..... 21.3.1

Oklahoma Press Publishing Co v. Walling, 327 U.S. 186 (1946).....43.1.3

O’Mahony v. Accenture Ltd, 537 F. Supp. 2d 506 (S.D.N.Y. 2008).....6.2.3

ONTI, Inc v. Integra Bank, 751 A.2d 904 (Del. Ch. 1999), as revised 1 July 1999 .....10.3.3

OSG Securities Litigation, Re, 12 F. Supp. 3d 619 (S.D.N.Y. 2014).....21.5.4

Ott v. Fred Alger Management, Inc (No.11 Civ. 4418), (S.D.N.Y. 27 September 2012)..... 6.1.1, 6.2.3

Pacific Pictures Corp, Re, 679 F.3d 1121 (9th Cir. 2012)..... 19.5, 21.3.1

Paradigm Capital Management, Inc, Re (Exchange Act Release No.72393, 109 SEC  
 Docket 430), (SEC 16 June 2014) ..... 6.2.3, 6.2.4

Parkcentral Global Hub Ltd v. Porsche Auto Holdings SE, 763 F.3d 198 (2d Cir. 2014) ... 28.2.2, 28.6

Pasquantino v. United States, 544 U.S. 349 (2005).....28.5

Pearson v. Rock (No.12-CV-3505), (E.D.N.Y. 24 July 2015) .....35.1.3

People v. Harris, 949 N.Y.S.2d 590 (N.Y. Crim. Ct 2012) .....35.2.1

People v. Uber Technologies Inc (No.2018-CH-000304), (Ill. Cir. Ct 2018).....31.1.3

Peralta v. Cendant Corp, 190 F.R.D. 38 (D. Conn. 1999) ..... 13.2.9, 19.3.2

Permian Corp v. United States, 665 F.2d 1214 (D.C. Cir. 1981).....19.5

Petrobas Securities, Re, 862 F.3d 250 (2d Cir. 2017).....28.2.2

Platinum & Palladium Commodities Litigation, Re, 828 F. Supp. 2d 588 (S.D.N.Y. 2011).....21.5.4

Polansky v. Executive Health Resources Inc (No.19-3810), (3rd Cir. 2021) cert.  
 granted, 26 January 2022 .....6.4

Premera Blue Cross Customer Data Security Breach Litigation, Re, 296 F. Supp. 3d  
 1230 (D. Or. 2017).....19.7

Premises Located at 840 140th Ave. NE, Bellevue, Washington, Re, 634 F.3d 557  
 (9th Cir. 2011).....17.2.3.2

Press-Enterprise Co v. Superior Court of California, 478 U.S. 1 (1986)..... 35.1.1, 35.1.4

Prime International Trading, Ltd v. BP Plc, 937 F.3d 94 (2d Cir. 2019) ..... 28.2.2, 28.6

Puerto Rico v. Sanchez Valle, 136 S. Ct. 1863 (2016).....1.2.2

Pugach v. Klein, 193 F.Supp. 630 (S.D.N.Y. 1961).....43.2.1.1

Quinn v. Robinson, 783 F.2d 776 (9th Cir. 1986) .....41.3.3

Qwest Communications International Inc, 450 F.3d 1179 (10th Cir. 2006)..... 13.4.3, 19.1.2, 21.3.1

Rabinovitz v. Shapiro, 839 A.2d 666 (Del. SC 2003) .....10.2.3.3

Ramchandani v. CitiBank National Association (19-cv-09124), (S.D.N.Y. 15 June 2022).....23.3.2

Requested Extradition of Kirby, Re, 106 F.3d 855 (9th Cir. 1996).....41.3.2

Restasis Antitrust Litigation, Re, 352 F. Supp. 3d. 207 (E.D.N.Y. 2019).....19.7

Revlon, Inc v. MacAndrews & Forbes Holdings, Inc, 506 A.2d 173 (Del. SC 1986).....10.3.4

Rex v. Owens, 585 F.2d 432 (10th Cir. 1978).....23.3.1



Table of Cases

Richard, Inc, Re, 68 F.3d 38 (2d Cir. 1995).....	19.1.1
Richmond Newspapers, Inc v. Virginia, 448 U.S. 555 (1980).....	35.1.1
Riddle v. First Tennessee Bank, National Association, 497 F. App'x. 588 (6th Cir. 2012).....	6.1.1
Ridenour v. Kaiser-Hill Co, 397 F.3d 925 (10th Cir. 2005).....	6.4.1
Rio Tinto Plc v. Vale S.A. (S.D.N.Y. 17 December 2014).....	23.3.3
Rio Tinto Plc v. Vale S.A., 306 F.R.D. 125 (S.D.N.Y. 2015).....	8.6.3
Rissetto v. Clinton Essex Warren Washington Board of Cooperative Education Services (N.D.N.Y. 25 July 2018).....	37.4
Rita v. United States, 551 U.S. 338 (2007).....	43.3
RJR Nabisco, Inc v. European Community, 136 S. Ct. 2090 (2016).....	28.1, 28.3, 28.4, 28.5
Roberts v. Accenture, LLP, 707 F.3d 1011 (8th Cir. 2013).....	6.4.1
Ross v. Bernhard, 396 U.S. 531 (1970).....	23.3.1
Ross v. City of Perry, Georgia (11th Cir. 22 September 2010).....	23.3.2
Rossin v. Southern Union Gas Co, 472 F.2d 707 (10th Cir. 1973).....	23.3.1
Rough Rice Commodity Litigation, Re (N.D. Ill. 9 February 2012).....	21.5.4
Rowe v. Guardian Automotive Products (N.D. Ohio 6 December 2005).....	11.3
Rubenstein v. Cosmos Holdings Inc (S.D.N.Y. 20 July 2020).....	28.2.2
Ruhe v. Masimo Corp (No.SACV. 11-00734), (C.D. Cal. 16 September 2011).....	6.2.3
Rutter's Data Secrecy Breach Litigation, Re (No.1:20-CV-382), (E.D. Pa. 22 July 2021).....	19.7
Ryan, Re, 360 F. Supp. 270 (1973) (E.D.N.Y.), affirmed, 478 F.2d 1397 (2d Cir. 1973).....	41.3.4
Ryniewicz v. Clarivate Analytics, 803 F. App'x 858 (6th Cir. 2020).....	23.3.2
Salomon Forex Inc v. Tauber, 795 F. Supp. 768 (E.D. Va. 1992).....	28.6
Schoenbaum v. Firstbrook, 405 F.2d 200 (2d Cir. 1968).....	28.2.1, 28.7
Scott v. Beth Israel Medical Center, Inc, 17 Misc. 3d 934 (N.Y. Cty SC 2007).....	11.3
Scott v. Chipotle Mexican Grill, 94 F. Supp. 3d 585 (S.D.N.Y. 2015).....	35.1.6
Sealed Case, Re, 754 F.2d 395 (D.C. Cir. 1985).....	19.1.1
Sealed Case, Re, 932 F.3d 915 (D.C. Cir. 2019).....	28.11
Sealed Case, Re (No.19-5068), (D.C. Cir. 6 August 2019).....	28.1
Sealed Party v. Sealed Party (No.04-2229), (S.D. Tex. 4 May 2006).....	35.1.1
Search Warrant Issued June 13, 2019, Re, 942 F.3d 159 (4th Cir. 2019).....	19.6
Searcy v. Philips Electronics North American Corp, 117 F.3d 154 (5th Cir. 1997).....	6.4.1
Sears Holdings Management Corp, Re (No.C-4264, para. 4), (FTC 9 September 2009).....	31.5.3
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Ahmed, 308 F. Supp. 3d 628 (D. Conn. 2018).....	28.2.2
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Ahmed (D. Conn. 16 January 2021).....	21.5.1
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Aronson, 665 F. App'x 78 (2d Cir. 2016).....	21.4.1
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Baker (No.1:19-cv-02565), (N.D. Ga. 8 November 2021).....	26.3
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Balwani, (S.D. Cal. 14 June 2019).....	23.6
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Berger, 322 F.3d 187 (2d Cir. 2003).....	28.2.1
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Cavanaugh, 445 F.3d 105 (2d Cir. 2006).....	26.4
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Chicago Convention Center, LLC, 961 F. Supp. 2d 905 (N.D. Ill. 2013).....	28.2.2
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Citigroup Global Markets, 752 F.3d 285 (2d Cir. 2014).....	21.4.1
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Colello, 139 F.3d 674 (9th Cir. 1998).....	23.5.1
Securities and Exchange Commission v. CR Intrinsic Investors, LLC, 939 F. Supp. 2d 431 (S.D.N.Y. 2013).....	21.4.1
Securities and Exchange Commission v. DiBella (D. Conn. 18 July 2008).....	26.4
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Dresser Industries, Inc, 628 F.2d 1368 (D.C. Cir. 1980).....	23.6, 43.2.3
Securities and Exchange Commission v. Ficeto, 839 F. Supp. 2d 1101 (C.D. Cal. 2011).....	28.2.2

Table of Cases

Securities and Exchange Commission v. First Jersey Securities, Inc, 101 F.3d 1450  
(2d Cir. 1996) .....26.4

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Fraser (D. Ariz. 1 June 2009).....23.6

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Gallison (S.D.N.Y. 1 March 2022).....21.5.1

Securities and Exchange Commission v. GMC Holding Corp (M.D. Fla. 27 February 2009).....26.4

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Healthsouth Corp, 261 F.Supp.2d 1298  
(N.D. Ala. 2003).....23.6

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Herrera, 324 F.R.D. 258  
(S.D. Fla. 2017) ..... 13.4.3, 17.4.4, 19.5

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Huffman, 996 F.2d 800 (5th Cir. 1993).....26.4

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Kimmel (No.19-00113), (D. Colo. 28 May 2020)....17.2.1.2

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Kornman (N.D. Tex. 31 May 2006) .....23.6

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Liu, 549 F. Supp. 3d 1087 (C.D. Ca. 2021) .....28.2.2

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Marin, 982 F.3d 1341 (11th Cir. 2020) .....17.2.1.2

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Mazzo (C.D. Cal. 2013).....23.6

Securities and Exchange Commission v. McGinn, Smith & Co, 752 F. Supp. 2d 194  
(N.D.N.Y. 2010) .....39.3

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Montano (No.6:18-cv-1606-GAP-GJK),  
(M.D. Fla. 5 October 2020) .....28.2.2

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Morrone, 997 F.3d 52 (1st Cir. 2021) .....28.2.2

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Mulvaney (E.D. Wis. 20 November 2012).....21.4.1

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Nicholas, 569 F.Supp.2d 1065 (S.D. Cal. 2008) .....23.6

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Oakford Corp, 141 F. Supp. 2d 435 (S.D.N.Y. 1998) ....23.6

Securities and Exchange Commission v. One or More Unknown Traders in the  
Securities of Onyx Pharmaceuticals, Inc, 296. F.R.D. 241 (S.D.N.Y. 2013).....41.6

Securities and Exchange Commission v. O’Neill, 98 F.Supp.3d 219 (D.Mass. 2015) .....23.6

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Panuwat (No.21-cv-06322-WHO),  
(N.D. Cal. 14 January 2022).....10.4.3

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Rashid (No.17-CV-8223 (PKC)),  
(S.D.N.Y. 13 December 2018) ..... 13.2.7, 19.1.3

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Ripple Labs, Inc, (No.20-cv-10832-AT-SN),  
(S.D.N.Y. 11 March 2022).....28.2.2

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Saad (S.D.N.Y. 2005).....23.6

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Sandifur (W.D. Wash. 11 December 2006).....23.6

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Savino (S.D.N.Y. 16 February 2006).....26.4

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Scoville, 913 F.3d 1204 (10th Cir. 2019) .....28.2.2

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Spartan Securities Group, Ltd (8:19-cv-448),  
(M.D. Fla. 10 August 2022)..... 21.5.1, 26.4

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Straub, 921 F. Supp. 2d 244 (S.D.N.Y. 2013) .....28.8

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Teva Pharmaceutical Industries, Ltd  
(1:16-cv-25298), (S.D. Fla. 22 December 2016) .....21.6.1

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Unifund SAL, 910 F.2d 1028 (2d Cir. 1990).....41.6

Securities and Exchange Commission v. United Energy Partners, Inc (N.D. Tex.  
28 January 2003), affirmed, 88 F. App’x 744 (5th Cir. 2004) .....26.4

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Yuen (C.D. Cal. 4 October 2006).....23.6

Securities and Exchange Commission v. Yun, 148 F. Supp. 2d 1287 (M.D. Fla. 2001) .....26.4

Sharkey v. J.P. Morgan Chase & Co (No.10 Civ. 3824), (S.D.N.Y. 14 January 2011) .....6.1.1

Shearson/American Express, Inc v. McMahon, 482 U.S. 220 (1987) .....6.2.3

Sheppard v. Maxwell, 384 U.S. 333 (1966) ..... 35.1.2, 35.3.1

Sims v. Lakeside School (No.C06-1412RSM), (W.D. Wash. 20 September 2007) .....41.5.3

Sistek v. Department of Veterans Affairs, 955 F.3d 948 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....23.3.2

Table of Cases

Skaftouros v. United States, 667 F.3d 144 (2d Cir. 2011) .....	41.3.5
Skilling v. United States, 561 U.S. 358 (2010) .....	28.5
Slochower v. Board of Higher Education of the City of New York, 351 U.S. 944 (1956) .....	39.3
Smaggin v. Yegiazaryan, 37 F.4th 562 (9th Cir. 2022) .....	28.4
Small v. Nobel Biocare USA, LLC, 808 F. Supp. 2d 584 (S.D.N.Y. 2011) .....	21.3.1
Smith v. Technology House, Ltd (No.2018-P-0080), (11th District, Portage County, Ohio 28 June 2019) .....	13.2.7
Smith v. Van Gorkom, 488 A.2d 858 (Del. SC 1985) .....	10.2.1
Smyth v. Pillsbury Co, 914 F. Supp. 97 (E.D. Pa. 1996) .....	41.5.3
SolarWinds Corp Securities Litigation, Re (No.1) (1:21-cv-00138), (W.D. Tex. 2021) .....	31.5.3
Sonterra Capital Master Fund v. Credit Suisse Group, 277 F. Supp. 3d 521 (S.D.N.Y. 2017) .....	28.5, 28.7
Southern Peru Copper Corp Shareholder Derivative Litigation, 52 A.3d 761 (Del. Ch. 2011) .....	10.3.3
Southern Union Co v. United States, 567 U.S. 343 (2012) .....	26.2.1
Springfield Terminal Railway Co v. Quinn. <i>See</i> United States ex rel. Springfield Terminal Railway Co v. Quinn	
Steinhardt Partners LP, Re, 9 F.3d 230 (2d Cir. 1993) .....	19.5, 21.3.1
Stengart v. Loving Care Agency, 201 N.J. 300 (NJ SC 2010) .....	37.4
Stewart v. Doral Financial Corp, 997 F. Supp. 2d 129 (D.P.R. 2014) .....	6.1.1
Stockman v. Oakcrest Dental Center P.C., 480 F.3d 791 (6th Cir. 2007) .....	23.2.2
Stone v. Ritter, 911 A.2d 362 (Del. SC 2006) .....	10.2.2, 10.2.3.1
Stoyas v. Toshiba Corp, 896 F.3d 933 (9th Cir. 2018) .....	28.2.2
Strauss v. Credit Lyonnais, S.A. (No.06-CV-702), (E.D.N.Y. 6 October 2011) .....	35.1.2
Subpoena Duces Tecum, Re, 439 F.3d 740 (D.C. Cir. 2006) .....	23.4
Subpoenas Duces Tecum, Re, 738 F.2d 1367 (D.C. Cir. 1984) .....	19.5
Sullivan v. Barclays Plc (No.13-cv-2811-PKC), (S.D.N.Y. 21 February 2017) .....	28.6, 28.7
Summa Corp v. Trans World Airlines, Inc, 540 A.2d 403 (Del. SC 1988) .....	10.2.2
Swidler & Berlin v. United States, 524 U.S. 399 (1998) .....	19.1.1
Swift Spindrift, Ltd v. Alvada Insurance Inc (No.09 Civ. 9342 (AJN)(FM)), (S.D.N.Y. 24 July 2013) .....	19.4
Sylvester v. Parexel International LLC (ARB No.07-123, ALJ Nos.2007-SOX-039), (ARB 25 May 2011) .....	6.1.1
Synthes Spine v. Walden, 232 F.R.D. 460 (E.D. Pa. 2005) .....	19.8
Tafoya Extradition Request. <i>See</i> Extradition of Tafoya, Re	
Target Corp Customer Data Security Breach Litigation, 66 F. Supp. 3d 1154 (D. Minn. 2014) .....	31.5.3
Teamsters Local 237 Additional Security Benefit Fund v. Caruso (No.CV. 2020- 0620-PAF), (Del. Ch. 31 August 2021) .....	10.3.4
Teamsters Local 443 Health Services. & Insurance Plan v. Chou (No.2019-0816-SG), (Del. Ch. 24 August 2020) .....	10.2.3.1
Teleglobe Communications Corp, Re, 493 F.3d 345 (3d Cir. 2007) .....	19.4
Tellez v. OTG Interactive, LLC (No.15 CV. 8984), (S.D.N.Y. 3 June 2019) .....	6.1.1
Tezos Securities Litigation, Re (No.17-cv-06779-RS), (N.D. Cal. 7 August 2018) .....	28.2.2
Thompson v. United States, 444 U.S. 248 (1980) .....	1.2.2
Three Grand Jury Subpoenas Duces Tecum Dated January 29, 1999, Re, 191 F.3d 173 (2d Cir. 1999) .....	41.5.3
Tienda v. Texas, 358 S.W.3d 633 (Tex. Crim. App. 2012) .....	35.2.1
Tiffany (NJ) LLC v. Forbse (S.D.N.Y. 23 May 2012) .....	11.5
Tiffany (NJ) LLC v. Qi Andrew, 276 F.R.D. 143 (S.D.N.Y. 2011) .....	11.5
Tobia v. United Group of Companies, Inc (N.D.N.Y. 22 September 2016) .....	21.5.4

Table of Cases

Tokar v. Department of Justice (No.16-2410), (D.D.C. 29 March 2018).....24.4

Tri-Star Pictures, Inc Litigation, Re, 634 A.2d 319 (Del. SC 1993) .....10.3

Trump v. Thompson, 20 F.4th 10 (D.C. Cir. 2021).....17.2.1.2

Trump v. United States (No.22-13005), (11th Cir. 21 September 2022).....35.1.2

Turkey v. Christie’s, Inc, 326 F.R.D. 394 (S.D.N.Y. 2018).....21.3.1

Turkiye Halk Bankasi A.S. v. United States (No.21-1450), Docket of the Supreme Court (17 May 2022) .....30.4.1

Ulrich v. Moody’s Corp, 721 Fed.Appx. 17 (2d Cir. 2018) .....6.2.3

United Food & Commercial Workers Union & Participating Food Industry Employers Tristate Pension Fund v. Zuckerberg, 262 A.3d 1034 (Del. SC 2021).....10.2.1

United States v. \$1,071,251.44 of Funds Associated with Mingzheng International Trading Ltd, 324 F.Supp. 3d 38 (D.D.C. 2018) .....28.9

United States v. 4003-4005 5th Avenue, 55 F.3d 78 (2d Cir.1995) .....39.3

United States v. Abu Khatallah, 151 F. Supp. 3d 116 (D.D.C. 2015) .....28.3

United States v. Ackert, 169 F.3d 136 (2d Cir. 1999) .....19.7

United States v. Adlman, 68 F.3d 1495 (2d Cir. 1995) .....19.7

United States v. Ahmad, 101 F.3d 386 (5th Cir. 1996).....1.1.2

United States v. Aiyer, 433 F. Supp. 3d 468 (S.D.N.Y. 2020) .....35.2.2

United States v. All Assets Held at Bank Julius, 251 F. Supp. 3d 82 (D.D.C. 2017) .....28.3, 28.5, 28.9, 28.10

United States v. All Assets Held at Bank Julius, Baer & Co, Ltd, 315 F. Supp. 3d 90 (D.D.C. 2018).....28.3, 28.9, 28.10

United States v. Allen, 864 F.3d 63 (2d Cir. 2017) .....3.7.1, 17.2.1.1, 40.3.4, 41.4.3.1

United States v. Almeida, 341 F.3d 1318 (11th Cir. 2003) .....19.1.3

United States v. Alston, 609 F.2d 531 (D.C. Cir. 1979).....28.5

United States v. Aluminum Co of America, 148 F.2d 416 (2d Cir. 1945) .....28.7

United States v. Amirnazmi, 645 F.3d 564 (3d Cir. 2011).....28.9

United States v. Angevine, 281 F.3d 1130 (10th Cir. 2002).....11.3

United States v. Apple, Inc, 992 F. Supp. 2d 263 (S.D.N.Y. 2014) .....24.6

United States v. Apple, Inc, 787 F.3d 131 (2d Cir. 2015).....24.2.1, 24.6

United States v. Aramony, 88 F.3d 1369 (4th Cir. 1996) .....41.4.4

United States v. Armstrong, 517 U.S. 456 (1996) .....43.2.1.1

United States v. Austin, 416 F.3d 1016 (9th Cir. 2005) .....41.4.4

United States v. Automated Medical Laboratories, Inc, 770 F. 2d 399 (4th Cir. 1985) .....1.1.2

United States v. Bajakajian, 524 U.S. 321 (1998) .....41.6

United States v. Balsys, 524 U.S. 666 (1998).....39.3, 43.4

United States v. Bank of New England, N.A., 821 F.2d 844 (1st Cir. 1987) .....1.1.2

United States v. Bay State Ambulance, 874 F.2d 20 (1st Cir. 1989) .....19.1.3

United States v. Bernard, 877 F.2d 1463 (10th Cir. 1989).....13.4.3

United States v. Blanco, 861 F.2d 773 (2d Cir. 1988) .....41.3.2

United States v. Blanton, 719 F.2d 815 (6th Cir. 1983) .....35.1.3

United States v. Blumberg (D.N.J. 27 March 2017).....19.2

United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220 (2005) .....43.3

United States v. Boustani (No.18-cr-681-WFK), (E.D.N.Y. 3 October 2019) .....28.2.2

United States v. Bowman, 260 U.S. 94 (1922) .....28.3

United States v. Butler, 543 F. App’x 95 (2d Cir. 2013) .....41.6

United States v. Cacace, 321 F. Supp. 2d 532 (E.D.N.Y. 2004) .....35.1.3

United States v. Campa, 459 F.3d 1121 (11th Cir. 2006) .....35.1.3

United States v. Coburn (No.19-CR-120), (D.N.J. 1 February 2022).....8.6.3, 17.4.4, 19.4

United States v. Coburn and Schwartz (No.2:19-cr-00120), (D.N.J. 27 April 2022).....13.4.3

United States v. Coffman, 574 F. App’x 541 (6th Cir. 2014).....28.5

Table of Cases

United States v. Cohen (Dkt No.30, No.18-mj-3161), (S.D.N.Y. 27 April 2018) .....17.3

United States v. Connolly (No.16 Cr. 0370 (CM)) (ECF No.432),  
 (S.D.N.Y. 2 May 2019) ..... 16.3.6, 19.3.1, 41.4.2.2, 41.5.3

United States v. Cornelson (No.15-cr-516-JGK), (S.D.N.Y. 27 June 2022) .....28.2.2

United States v. Curtis, 344 F.3d 1057 (10th Cir. 2003).....43.2.1.1

United States v. Davis, 767 F.2d 1025 (2d Cir. 1985) .....11.5

United States v. De Leon-Perez (No.4:17-cr-00514), (S.D. Tex. 11 July 2022)..... 28.8, 28.10

United States v. Deloitte LLP, 610 F.3d 129 (D.C. Cir. 2010) .....13.4.3

United States v. Drogoul, 1 F.3d 1546 (11th Cir. 1993) .....23.4

United States v. Duperval, 777 F.3d 1324 (11th Cir. 2015).....28.10

United States v. Elbaz (No.20-4019), (4th Cir. 3 November 2022).....28.5

United States v. Elliot, 971 F.2d 620 (10th Cir. 1992).....43.3.2

United States v. Etkin (S.D.N.Y. 20 February 2008).....11.3

United States v. FedEx Corp (N.D. Cal. 18 April 2016)..... 1.1.2

United States v. First City National City Bank, 396 F.2d 897 (2d Cir. 1968).....11.5

United States v. Firtash, 392 F. Supp. 3d 872 (N.D. Ill. 2019)..... 28.8, 28.10

United States v. Fokker Services BV, 818 F.3d 733 (D.C. Cir. 2016) ..... 21.4.1, 24.4, 24.5.5

United States v. Galanis, 429 F. Supp. 1215 (D. Conn. 1977).....41.3.4

United States v. Gallego (Dkt No.65, No.4:18-cr-01537), (D. Ariz. 6 September 2018) .....17.3

United States v. Garlick, 240 F.3d 789 (9th Cir. 2001).....28.5

United States v. Gasperini, 729 F. App'x 112 (2d Cir. 2018) ..... 28.4, 28.5

United States v. Gel Spice Co, 773 F.2d 427 (2d Cir. 1985).....43.2.3

United States v. Georgiou, 777 F.3d 125 (3d Cir. 2015)..... 28.2.2, 28.5

United States v. Gerena, 869 F.2d 82 (2d Cir. 1989) .....35.1.1

United States v. Goldfarb (N.D. Cal. 5 September 2012).....21.5.4

United States v. Google LLC (No.1:20-cv-03010-APM, Docket No.335),  
 (D.D.C. 7 April 2022).....17.5.1

United States v. Gorski, 807 F.3d 451 (1st Cir. 2015) .....19.1.1

United States v. Grace, 439 F. Supp.2d 1125 (D. Mont. 2006)..... 13.2.9, 19.1.1

United States v. Graf, 610 F.3d 1148 (9th Cir. 2010) .....19.2

United States v. Grubisich (No.19-CR-102), (E.D.N.Y. Indictment 27 February 2019).....41.1

United States v. Harris, 991 F.3d 552 (4th Cir. 2021) .....28.4

United States v. Hawit (No.15-cr-252-PKC), (E.D.N.Y. 17 February 2017) .....28.4

United States v. Hayes, 99 F. Supp. 3d 409 (S.D.N.Y. 2015) .....28.5

United States v. Henke, 222 F.3d 633 (9th Cir. 2000) .....19.1.3

United States v. Ho, 984 F. 3d 191 (2d Cir. 2020) ..... 28.8, 28.10

United States v. Holmes (No.118-cr-00258-EJD-1), (N.D. Cal. 3 June 2021).....19.2

United States v. Hopkins, 53 F.3d 533 (2d Cir. 1995) ..... 1.1.2

United States v. Horvath, 731 F.2d 557 (8th Cir. 1984) .....19.1.1

United States v. Hoskins, 902 F.3d 69 (2d Cir. 2018)..... 28.1, 28.8

United States v. HSBC Bank USA, N.A. (E.D.N.Y. 1 July 2013) .....21.4.1

United States v. HSBC Bank USA, N.A. (No.12 CR 763 (JG)),  
 (E.D.N.Y. 28 January 2016).....24.5.5

United States v. HSBC Bank USA, N.A., 863 F.3d 125 (2d Cir. 2017)..... 21.4.1, 24.5.5

United States v. Hubbell, 530 U.S. 27 (2000) ..... 2.2.2.1, 39.3

United States v. Hui Hsiung, 778 F.3d 738 (9th Cir. 2015).....28.7

United States v. Hussain, 972 F.3d 1138 (9th Cir. 2020).....28.5

United States v. International Brotherhood of Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen &  
 Helpers of America, AFL-CIO, 119 F.3d 210 (2d Cir. 1997) ..... 19.2

United States v. Iossifov, 45 F.4th 899 (6th Cir. 2022) .....28.10

United States v. Jefferson, 674 F.3d 332 (4th Cir. 2012).....28.5

Table of Cases

United States v. JGC Corp (No.11-cr-260), (S.D. Tex. 6 April 2011).....28.8

United States v. Kordel, 397 U.S. 1 (1970).....23.2.1, 23.6, 43.2.3, 43.4

United States v. Kovel, 296 F.2d 918 (2d Cir. 1961).....19.7, 35.1.6

United States v. Kozeny, 493 F. Supp. 2d 693 (S.D.N.Y. 2007), affirmed, 541 F.3d 166  
(2d Cir. 2008) .....28.10

United States v. Lanza, 260 U.S. 377 (1922).....1.2.2

United States v. Laurins, 857 F.2d 529 (9th Cir. 1988) .....19.1.1

United States v. Leija-Sanchez, 820 F.3d 899 (7th Cir. 2016).....28.3

United States v. Lifshitz, 369 F.3d 173 (2d Cir. 2004).....35.2.1

United States v. Lloyds TSB Bank Plc, 639 F. Supp. 2d 314 (S.D.N.Y. 2009) .....28.10

United States v. Lomeli, 596 F.3d 496 (8th Cir. 2010) .....41.3.3

United States v. Lopesierra-Gutierrez, 708 F.3d 193 (D.C. Cir. 2013).....41.3.3

United States v. LSL Biotechnologies, 379 F.3d 672 (9th Cir. 2004).....28.7

United States v. Man (No.1:20-CR-00032), (N.D. Ia. Indictment 5 February 2020) .....41.1

United States v. Manafort (No.1:18-cr-00083-TSE), (E.D. Va. 17 July 2018).....35.1.2

United States v. Martin Linen Supply Co, 430 U.S. 564 (1977).....1.2.2

United States v. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 129 F.3d 681 (1st Cir. 1997).....19.5

United States v. Maxwell (20-CR-00330), Order, ECF No.28 (D), (S.D.N.Y. 23 July 2020) ....35.1.2

United States v. Maxwell, 510 F. Supp. 3d 165 (S.D.N.Y. 2020) .....41.2.1

United States v. McLellan, 959 F.3d 442 (1st Cir. 2020).....28.5

United States v. McVicker, 979 F. Supp. 2d 1154 (D. Or. 2013).....28.3

United States v. Meregildo, 883 F. Supp. 2d 523 (S.D.N.Y. 2012) .....35.2.1

United States v. Mikerin (No.14-cr-529-TDC), (D. Md. 31 August 2015) .....28.10

United States v. Molina, 530 F.3d 326 (5th Cir. 2008) .....43.2.1.1

United States v. Murta (No.22-20377), (5th Cir. 29 August 2022) .....28.8

United States v. Napout, 963 F.3d 163 (2d Cir. 2020) .....28.1, 28.5

United States v. Newman, 659 F.3d 1235 (9th Cir. 2011) .....26.2.1

United States v. Nicholas, 606 F.Supp.2d 1109 (N.D.Ca. 2009) .....13.3.1

United States v. Nobles, 422 U.S. 225 (1975) .....19.1.2, 19.3.1, 19.7

United States v. Nordean (No.21-cr-00175-TJK), (D.D.C. 24 June 2022) .....35.1.2

United States v. Odebrecht S.A. (No.16-cr-643 (RJD)), (E.D.N.Y. 29 January 2020).....24.5.2

United States v. Ojedokun (4th Cir. 26 October 2021) .....28.10

United States v. Oriho (No.19-10291), (9th Cir. 10 August 2020) .....41.6

United States v. Pacific Gas and Electric Co (N.D. Cal. 23 December 2015) .....1.1.2

United States v. Pacific Gas and Electric Co (N.D. Cal. 17 November 2016).....1.1.2

United States v. Parse, 789 F.3d 83 (2d Cir. 2015).....35.2.2

United States v. Patel (No.09-cr-335), (D.D.C. 12 August 2011).....28.8

United States v. Plaza Health Labs, Inc, 3 F.3d 643 (2d Cir. 1993).....28.3

United States v. Pomeroy, 822 F.2d 718 (8th Cir. 1987) .....41.3.2

United States v. Porcaro, 648 F.2d 753 (1st Cir. 1981) .....35.1.3

United States v. Powell, 379 U.S. 48 (1964).....17.2.1.2, 43.4

United States v. Prevezon Holdings, Ltd, 251 F. Supp. 3d 684 (S.D.N.Y. 2017).....28.10

United States v. Rafoi Bleuler (No.4:17-cr-00514-7), (S.D. Tex. 10 November 2021).....28.8

United States v. Rajaratnam, 708 F. Supp. 2d 371 (S.D.N.Y. 2010) .....35.1.1

United States v. Rhodes (No.18-CR-887 (JMF)), (S.D.N.Y. 16 July 2019) .....43.4

United States v. Rolls-Royce Plc (No.16-0247 (S)), (S.D. Ohio 20 December 2016) .....24.5.2

United States v. Rosemond, 841 F.3d 95 (2d Cir. 2016).....41.4.2.1

United States v. Ruehle, 583 F.3d 600 (9th Cir. 2009) .....8.4, 13.3.1, 19.3.1, 39.4

United States v. Saechao, 418 F.3d 1073 (9th Cir. 2005).....41.4.3.1

United States v. Saena Tech Corp, 140 F. Supp. 3d 11 (D.D.C. 2015) .....21.4.1

United States v. Santos, 553 U.S. 507 (2008).....28.10

Table of Cases

United States v. Sarshar (No.1:21-cr-202-GHW), (S.D.N.Y. 15 February 2022) .....10.4.3

United States v. Schwimmer, 892 F.2d 237 (2d Cir. 1989) ..... 19.1.3, 35.1.6, 41.4.4

United States v. Science Applications International Corp, 555 F. Supp. 2d 40  
(D.C. Cir. 2008) .....1.1.2

United States v. Scrushy, 366 F. Supp. 2d 1134 (N.D. Ala. 2005).....23.2.1

United States v. Security National Bank, 546 F.2d 492 (2d Cir. 1976).....1.2.2

United States v. Shkreli (No.1:15-cr-637), (E.D.N.Y. 4 August 2017) .....35.3.1

United States v. Siemens Aktiengesellschaft (08-CR-367-RJL), (DOJ Information,  
12 December 2008).....33.7

United States v. Singh, 518 F.3d 236 (4th Cir. 2008) .....1.1.2

United States v. Sitzmann, 893 F.3d 811 (D.C. Cir. 2018).....28.4

United States v. Sota, 948 F.3d 356 (D.C. Cir. 2020) .....28.3

United States v. Stein (No.93-cr-375), (E.D. La. 23 June 1994) .....28.10

United States v. Stein, 541 F.3d 130 (2d Cir. 2008).....37.1

United States v. Stepney, 246 F. Supp. 2d 1069 (N.D. Cal. 2003).....19.1.3

United States v. Stokes, 726 F.3d 880 (7th Cir. 2013) .....41.3.3

United States v. Stone (No.1:19-cr-00018-ABJ), (D.D.C. 15 February 2019).....35.2.2

United States v. Stringer, 521 F.3d 1189 (9th Cir. 2008) .....23.2.1

United States v. Stringer, 535 F.3d 929 (9th Cir. 2008) .....43.4

United States v. Suarez, 791 F.3d 363 (2d Cir. 2015) .....41.3.3

United States v. T.I.M.E.-D.C., Inc, 381 F. Supp. 730 (W.D. Va. 1974).....1.1.2

United States v. Transport Logistics International, Inc (No.8:18-cr-00011-TDC,  
ECF No.10), (D. Md. 2 April 2018) .....21.4.1

United States v. Treacy (No.S2 08 CR 366 (JSR)), (S.D.N.Y. 24 March 2009).....19.4

United States v. Tsarnaev (No.16-6001), (1st Cir. 31 July 2020) .....35.1.3

United States v. Tsarnaev, 142 S. Ct. 1024 (2022).....35.1.3

United States v. Tweel, 550 F.2d 297 (5th Cir. 1997) .....23.2.1

United States v. Ubaldo, 859 F.3d 690 (9th Cir. 2017) .....28.4

United States v. United Shoe Machine Corp, 89 F. Supp. 357 (D. Mass. 1950) .....19.1.1

United States v. US Bancorp (No.18-CR-150, ECF No.9), (S.D.N.Y. 22 February 2018) .....21.4.1

United States v. Valenzuela, 849 F.3d 477 (1st Cir. 2017).....28.4

United States v. Vasquez, 899 F.3d 363 (5th Cir. 2018) .....28.3, 28.4

United States v. Vilar, 729 F.3d 62 (2d Cir. 2013) .....28.2.2, 28.3

United States v. Walton, 814 F.2d 376 (7th Cir. 1987).....41.3.2

United States v. Weingold, 69 Fed. Appx. 575 (3d Cir. 2003) .....19.1.1

United States v. Weissman, 195 F.3d 96 (2d Cir. 1999)..... 19.1.3, 41.4.4

United States v. Weitzenhoff, 35 F.3d 1275 (9th Cir. 1993) .....1.1.2

United States v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 132 F. Supp. 3d 558 (S.D.N.Y. 2015).....19.1.1

United States v. West, 392 F. 3d 450 (D.C. Cir. 2004) .....19.1.1

United States v. Zarrab (No.15-CR-867), (S.D.N.Y. 16 July 2016) .....41.2.1

United States v. Zolin, 491 U.S. 554 (1989) .....19.1.1

United States v. ZTE Corp (No.17-0120-K), (N.D. Tex. 7 March 2017).....24.4

United States Department of Education v. National Collegiate Athletic Association,  
481 F.3d 936 (7th Cir. 2007).....19.7

United States ex rel. Doe v. Heart Solutions PC, 923 F.3d 308 (3d Cir. 2019) .....19.4.1

United States ex rel. Downy v. Corning, Inc, 118 F. Supp. 2d 1160 (D.N.M. 2000) .....6.4.1

United States ex rel. Hunt v. Merck-Medco Managed Care, LLC, 340 F. Supp. 2d 554  
(E.D. Pa. 2004).....19.3.2

United States ex rel. Jamison v. McKesson Corp, 649 F.3d 322 (5th Cir. 2011) .....6.4.1

United States ex rel. Karvelas v. Melrose-Wakefield Hospital, 360 F.3d 220 (1st Cir. 2004).....6.4.1

United States ex rel. Killingsworth v. Northrop Corp, 25 F.3d 715 (9th Cir. 1994) .....6.4.1

Table of Cases

United States ex rel. Mikes v. Straus, 78 F. Supp. 2d 223 (S.D.N.Y. 1999).....6.4.1

United States ex rel. Permison v. Superlative Technologies, Inc, 492 F.Supp.2d 561  
(E.D. Va. 2007)..... 6.4.2

United States ex rel. Pilon v. Martin Marietta Corp, 60 F.3d 995 (2d Cir. 1995).....6.4.2

United States ex rel. Saroop v. Garcia, 109 F.3d 165 (3d Cir. 1997) .....41.3.3

United States ex rel. Sequoia Orange Co v. Baird-Neece Packing Corp, 151 F.3d 1139  
(9th Cir. 1998)..... 6.4.1

United States ex rel. Springfield Terminal Railway Co v. Quinn, 14 F.3d 645  
(D.C. Cir. 1994) ..... 6.4, 6.4.1

United States ex rel. Wenzel v. Pfizer, Inc, 881 F. Supp. 2d 217 (D. Mass. 2012) .....6.4.2

United States ex rel. Williams v. Bell Helicopter Textron Inc, 417 F.3d 450 (5th Cir. 2005) ....6.4.1

Universal Standard Inc v. Target Corp, 331 F.R.D. 80 (S.D.N.Y. 2019)..... 35.1.6, 41.8

Unocal v. Mesa Petroleum, 493 A.2d 946 (Del. SC 1985).....10.3.4

Upjohn Co v. United States, 449 U.S. 383 (1981) ..... 8.4, 12.8, 13.3.1, 19.1.1,  
19.3.1, 19.3.2, 31.4,  
38.2.3, 39.4, 41.4.2.2

Upromise, Inc, Re (FTC File No.102-3116, No.C-4351), (FTC 27 March 2012).....31.5.3

Van Buren v. United States, 141 U.S. 1648 (2021).....31.2.2.1

Vannoy v. Celanese Corp (ARB No.09-118, ALJ No.2008-SOX-064),  
(ARB 28 September 2011)..... 6.1.1

Vegnani v. Medlogix, LLC (No.CV. 19-11291-LTS), (D. Mass. 21 September 2020).....13.3.1

Veon Ltd Securities Litigation, Re (S.D.N.Y. 30 August 2018).....21.5.4

Verble v. Morgan Stanley Smith Barney, LLC (No.3:14-CV-74), (E.D. Tenn.  
8 December 2015)..... 6.3.1

Vitamin Antitrust Litigation (No.MC 99-197 (TFH)), (D.D.C. 23 January 2002) .....19.7.2

Volkswagen ‘Clean Diesel’ Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability  
Litigation, Re (Nos.15-cv-6167, 15-cv-6168, 16-cv-190, 16-cv-184), (N.D. Cal.  
4 January 2017) .....28.2.2

Volkswagen ‘Clean Diesel’ Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability  
Litigation, Re, (3:15-mc-02672-CRB), (N.D. Cal. 17 May 2017).....21.6.1

Volkswagen ‘Clean Diesel’ Marketing, Sales Practices, and Product Liability  
Litigation, Re 480 F. Supp. 3d 1050 (N.D. Cal. 2020) .....28.2.2

Walsh Securities, Inc v. Cristo Property Management, Ltd, 7 F. Supp. 2d 523 (D.N.J. 1998).....23.6

Walt Disney Co Derivative Litigation, Re, 907 A.2d 693  
(Del. Ch. 2005) (Disney I) ..... 10.2, 10.2.1, 10.2.2, 10.3.2

Walt Disney Co Derivative Litigation, Re, 906 A.2d 27  
(Del. SC 2006) (Disney II) ..... 10.2, 10.3.1, 10.3.2, 10.3.3

Walters v. Deutsche Bank, (2008-SOX-70), (ALJ 23 March 2009) .....6.2.3

Wanamaker v. Columbian Rope Co, 108 F.3d 462 (2d Cir. 1997) .....6.2.3

Waterford Tp. Police & Fire Retirement System v. Smithtown Bancorp, Inc  
(E.D.N.Y. 18 July 2014).....21.5.4

Weinberger v. UOP, Inc, 457 A.2d 701 (Del. SC 1983) ..... 10.2.2, 10.3.3

Welch v. Cardinal Bankshares Corp (ARB No.05-064, ALJ No.2003-SOX-15),  
(ARB 31 May 2007) ..... 6.1.1

Welch v. Chao, 536 F.3d 269 (4th Cir. 2008) ..... 6.1.1

Welland v. Trainer (No.00 Civ. 0738 (JSM)), (S.D.N.Y. 1 October 2001) .....19.3.3

Wells Fargo & Co Shareholder Derivative Litigation, 282 F. Supp. 3d 1074  
(N.D. Cal. 2017)..... 10.2.3.1, 10.2.3.3

Wengui v. Clark Hill (No.19-3195), (D.D.C. 12 January 2021).....19.7

Westinghouse Electricity Corp v. Philippines, 951 F.2d 1414 (3d Cir. 1991)..... 19.5, 19.7.2

Wiest v. Lynch, 710 F.3d 121 (3d Cir. 2013).....6.1.1



Wilcox v. Commerce Bank of Kansas City, 474 F.2d 336 (10th Cir. 1973).....	23.3.1
Wirth v. Taylor (D. Utah 21 January 2011) .....	23.6
Wultz v. Bank of China Ltd, 304 F.R.D. 384 (S.D.N.Y. 2015) .....	8.4, 19.3.1
Wylie v. Marley Co, 891 F.2d 1463 (10th Cir. 1989).....	19.2
Xanthopoulos v. U.S. Department of Labor, 991 F.3d 823 (7th Cir. 2021).....	6.1.1
Yahoo! Customer Data Security Breach Litigation, Re, 313 F. Supp. 3d 1113 (N.D. Cal. 2018).....	31.5.3
Yang v. Bank of New York Mellon Corp (No.20-cv-3179), (S.D.N.Y. 31 March 2021) .....	6.1.1
Young v. United States ex rel. Vuitton et Fils S.A., 481 U.S. 787 (1987).....	43.2.1.1
Zanazanian v. United States, 729 F.2d 624 (9th Cir. 1984) .....	41.3.2
Zappos.com, Inc, Re (No.3:12-cv-00325-RCJ-VPC), (D. Nev. 9 September 2013) .....	31.5.3
Zuniga v. Bernalillo County (D.N.M. 10 January 2013) .....	23.3.1

## Australia

Baker v. Campbell (1983) 49 ALR 385 HC .....	18.2.4, 18.7.2
Bulk Materials (Coal Handling) Services Pty Ltd v. Coal and Allied Operations Pty Ltd (1988) 13 NSWLR 689 .....	18.5
Daniels Corp International Pty Ltd v. Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (2002) 213 CLR 543.....	18.7.2
Eso Australia Resources Ltd v. Commissioner of Taxation (1999) 201 CLR 49 HC .....	18.4.3
Grant v. Downs 135 C.L.R. 674 HC .....	18.4
Network Ten Ltd v. Capital Television Holdings Ltd (1995) 35 NSWLR 275 NSW SC.....	18.5
Newcrest Mining (WA) Ltd v. Commonwealth of Australia (1993) 113 ALR 370 Fed Ct.....	18.5
Ritz Hotel Ltd v. Charles of the Ritz Ltd (No.4) (1987) 14 NSWLR 100.....	18.3.2.1
Trade Practices Commission v. Sterling (1979) 36 FLR 244 Fed Ct .....	18.3.2.2
Waterford v. Commonwealth of Australia (1987) 163 CLR 54 HC .....	18.3.2.1

## Canada

Descoteaux v. Mierzwinski (1982) 141 DLR (3d) 590 SC.....	18.3.2.1
-----------------------------------------------------------	----------

## European Court of Human Rights

A and B v. Norway (24130/11 and 29758/11) unreported 15 November 2016.....	1.2.4.2
Grande Stevens v. Italy (18640/10, 18647/10, 18668/10 and 18698/10) unreported 4 March 2014.....	1.2, 1.2.4.1
Othman v. United Kingdom (8139/09) [2012] ECHR 56.....	44.3.5
Saunders v. United Kingdom (19187/91) 1996] ECHR 65 .....	40.3.4
Soering v. United Kingdom (A/161) (1989) 11 EHRR 439 .....	40.4.4.1

## European Court of Justice

Akzo Nobel Chemicals Ltd v. European Commission (C-550/07 P) EU:C:2010:512, [2011] 2 AC 338 .....	12.7, 17.5.2, 18.3.2.1
AY, Re (Arrest warrant – witness) (C-268/17) EU:C:2018:602. [2018] 4 WLR 156 .....	1.2.6
Criminal Proceedings against Gözütok (C-187/01) and Brügge (C-385/01) EU:C:2003:87, [2003] 2 CMLR 2.....	1.2.3
Criminal Proceedings against Kossowski (C-486/14) EU:C:2016:483. [2016] 1 WLR 4393.....	1.2.4.2, 20.1
Data Protection Commissioner v. Facebook Ireland Ltd (C-311/18) (Schrems II) EU:C:2020:559, [2021] 1 WLR 751 .....	11.1, 11.2.7
Di Puma v. Commissione Nazionale per le Società e la Borsa (Consob) (C-596/16 and C-597/16) EU:C:2018:192, [2018] 3 CMLR 10.....	1.2.4.2

Table of Cases

Garlsson Real Estate SA v. Commissione Nazionale per le Societa e la Borsa  
(Consob) (C-537/16) EU:C:2018:193, [2018] 3 CMLR 11 .....1.2.4.2

MasterCard Inc v. European Commission (C-382/12 P) EU:C:2014:2201,  
[2014] 5 C.M.L.R. 23 .....22.3.2

PJSC Rosneft Oil Co v. HM Treasury (C-72/15) EU:C:2017:236, [2018] Q.B. 1 ..... 27.5, 29.6.2

R. v. Gözütok and Brügge. *See* Criminal Proceedings against Gözütok (C-187/01) and Brügge  
(C-385/01)

Ricucci case. *See* Garlsson Real Estate SA v. Commissione Nazionale per le Societa e la Borsa  
(Consob) (C-537/16)

Schrems II. *See* Data Protection Commissioner v. Facebook Ireland Ltd (C-311/18)

**Hong Kong**

CITIC Pacific v. Secretary of State for Justice [2012] 2 HKLRD 701 CA ..... 18.3.2.2, 18.7.1, 18.8.1

**Netherlands**

Milieudefensie v. Royal Dutch Shell Plc (C/09/571932/HA ZA 19-379)  
ECLI:NL:RBDHA:2021:5339, unreported 29 January 2021 (Hague District Ct) .....32.4.5

**New Zealand**

Unilateral Investments v. VNZ Acquisitions Ltd [1993] 1 NZLR 468 HC .....18.5

**Singapore**

Skandinaviska Enskilda Banken AB (Publ), Singapore Branch v. Asia Pacific  
Breweries (Singapore) Pte Ltd [2007] 2 SLR 367 CA ..... 18.3.2.2, 18.9.1

# Table of Legislation

## UK LEGISLATION

### Statutes

1889	Public Bodies Corrupt Practices Act (c.69)	s.1(2).....25.15	s.14.....40.3.5
1906	Prevention of Corruption Act (c.34)	s.1.....20.4, 25.15	s.15(6).....40.3.5
1965	Criminal Procedure (Attendance of Witnesses) Act (c.69)	s.2.....12.11	s.19(5).....17.3
1967	Misrepresentation Act (c.7)	s.2(1).....22.5	s.24.....40.3.4
1968	Civil Evidence Act (c.64)	s.11.....22.7.1	s.67(9).....12.9
1972	European Communities Act (c.68)	Sch.2 para.1(1).....29.3.1	Sch.1.....40.3.6
1976	Bail Act (c.63).....40.4.2		Codes of Practice ..... 12.9, 38.4.1
1977	Criminal Law Act (c.45)	s.1.....20.4	Code B.....40.3.5
1979	Customs and Excise Management Act (c.2).....27.5, 29.4		Code C..... 38.4.1, 40.3.4, 40.4.1
1980	Magistrates' Courts Act (c.43)	s.8A.....34.5.2.2	para.6.....40.3.4
1981	Contempt of Court Act (c.49).....33.2.1	s.1.....34.5.2.2	para.10.1.... 12.9, 38.4.1
		s.2.....34.5.2.2	para.10.5.....38.4.1
		s.4(1).....34.5.2.2	Code D.....40.4.1
		(2).....34.5.2.2	Code G.....40.3.4
1981	Senior Courts Act (c.54)	s.37(1).....42.1.4	1985 Companies Act (c.6)
1981	British Nationality Act (c.61).....27.2.1		s.221.....20.2.1.3
1983	Representation of the People Act (c.2)	s.113.....25.15	s.225.....20.2.1.3
1984	Police and Criminal Evidence Act (c.60).....3.7.1, 12.9, 38.4.1, 38.4.2, 38.4.3, 40.3.4, 40.3.5, 40.4.1		1985 Prosecution of Offences Act (c.23)
			Pt II.....25.6, 42.1.7
			s.16.....25.6
			ss.16–19B.....25.6
			ss.16–21 .....42.1.7
			s.17.....25.6
			1985 Administration of Justice Act (c.61)
			s.33.....18.3.2.1
			1986 Drug Trafficking Offences Act (c.32)
			s.9.....40.3.3
			1986 Insolvency Act (c.45)
			s.423.....18.7.1
			1986 Company Directors Disqualification Act (c.46).....25.7
			s.2(1).....25.7
			ss.2–5A.....25.7
			s.5A.....25.7
			(2).....25.7

Table of Legislation

s.8.....	25.7	s.33.....	22.2.2
(2).....	25.7	s.34.....	22.2.2
s.21.....	25.7	s.45.....	22.2.2
1987 Criminal Justice Act (c.38)		s.103(3).....	22.6.6
s.1(3).....	1.2.3	1996 Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act (c.25).....	17.2.3.6, 18.9.3
s.2.....	1.3.2, 3.7.1, 3.7.2.2, 17.2.1.1, 17.6, 29.4, 38.3.2, 38.3.3, 40.3.4, 40.3.6	s.8.....	18.9.3
(3).....	1.3.2, 7.8.1, 7.8.4, 17.2.3.1, 27.6	s.37.....	34.5.2.2
(13).....	38.3.2	s.41.....	34.5.2.2
(16).....	7.8.1, 38.3.2	1998 Public Interest Disclosure Act (c.23).....	5.2.1, 22.6.4
s.2A.....	17.2.1.1	1998 Data Protection Act (c.29).....	31.2.1.1
s.11.....	34.5.2.2	1998 Crime and Disorder Act (c.37)	
1988 Criminal Justice Act (c.33)		s.51.....	34.5.2.2
s.78.....	40.3.3	s.51A.....	34.5.2.2
s.159.....	34.5.1.2	Sch.3 para.3.....	34.5.2.2
1988 Copyright, Designs and Patents Act (c.48)		1998 Competition Act (c.41).....	18.4.2, 22.7.1, 22.9
s.280.....	18.3.2.1	1998 Human Rights Act (c.42).....	25.10, 34.5.1.1, 34.5.1.2, 40.4.4.1
1989 Official Secrets Act (c.6).....	31.2.1.2	1999 Access to Justice Act (c.22).....	25.6, 42.1.7
s.1.....	31.2.1.2	2000 Powers of Criminal Courts (Sentencing) Act (c.6)	
1990 Computer Misuse Act (c.18).....	31.2.1.1	s.130.....	42.1.5
s.1.....	5.4.1, 31.2.1.1	2000 Financial Services and Markets Act (c.8).....	2.2.2.3, 3.4.4, 3.7.2.2, 5.2.4, 17.2.1.1, 22.3.3, 22.5, 33.3.1, 42.2
s.2.....	31.2.1.1	Pt 4A.....	25.12, 29.5.2.1, 42.2
s.3.....	31.2.1.1	Pt 11.....	17.2.1.1, 40.3.6
s.3ZA.....	31.2.1.1	s.19.....	25.12
s.4.....	31.2.1.1	s.26.....	22.5
1990 Environmental Protection Act (c.43).....	32.3.1	s.27.....	22.5
1994 Trade Marks Act (c.26)		s.30.....	22.5
s.87.....	18.3.2.1	s.31.....	17.2.1.1
1994 Criminal Justice and Public Order Act (c.33)		(1)(a).....	25.12
s.34.....	38.4.1	s.55J.....	25.12
1994 Drug Trafficking Act (c.37)		(1)(a).....	25.12
s.27.....	40.3.3	(b).....	25.12
1996 Police Act (c.16)		s.55L.....	25.12
s.88.....	40.2	s.56.....	25.13
1996 Employment Rights Act (c.18).....	5.2.1, 5.2.1.3, 5.2.1.4, 5.3.4.3, 5.3.4.5, 5.4.1	s.59ZA.....	9.2.5
s.43B(1).....	5.2.1.3	s.63E.....	9.2.5
s.43J.....	5.2.3	s.66.....	25.13
s.47B.....	22.6.4	s.66A.....	9.2.5
(1A)–(1E).....	5.2.1.4	(5).....	25.13
s.111A.....	12.12	s.90.....	22.5
s.230(3).....	5.2.1	s.90A.....	22.5
1996 Arbitration Act (c.23)		s.132.....	42.3
s.9.....	22.2.1		

Table of Legislation

s.138D.....	22.5	s.6.....	25.3, 42.1.4
s.158.....	33.4.1.3	(4)(a).....	25.3
s.165.....	17.2.3.1, 29.4, 38.3.2	(b).....	25.3
(1)–(6).....	17.2.1.1	(c).....	25.3
s.166.....	2.2.2.4	(5).....	25.3
s.169.....	17.2.3.2	s.7(2).....	25.3
(4).....	17.2.3.2	s.9.....	25.3
s.171.....	3.7.1, 29.4, 40.3.4	s.10.....	25.3
s.172.....	29.4	(6)(a).....	25.3
s.177(1).....	38.3.2	(b).....	25.3
(2).....	38.3.2	s.13.....	25.3
(3)(a).....	7.8.1	s.40.....	25.9
s.382(1).....	25.14	(2)(b).....	25.9
(2).....	25.14	(3)(b).....	25.9
(6).....	25.14	s.41(3)(a).....	25.9
(9).....	25.14	(b).....	25.9
s.384.....	22.3.3, 25.14	(4).....	25.9
s.393.....	42.3	s.75.....	25.3, 42.1.4
(1).....	42.3	(2)(a).....	25.3
(4).....	42.3	(b).....	25.3
(11).....	42.3	(c).....	25.3
s.404.....	22.3.3	s.76(2).....	25.3
ss.404A–404G.....	22.3.3	(4).....	25.3
s.404F(7).....	22.3.3	(5).....	25.3
Sch.10.....	22.5	s.241A.....	27.3.2
2000 Terrorism Act (c.11).....	3.4.1	s.242.....	42.1.3
Pt 3.....	12.3, 15.2	s.266(2).....	25.8
s.19.....	3.4.1, 29.5.2.1	s.267.....	25.8
s.21ZA.....	3.4.1, 7.3	s.282A.....	27.3.2
s.21A.....	3.4.1, 7.3	s.287.....	20.2.1.1
Sch.3A.....	7.3	s.294.....	25.8
2000 Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act		s.295.....	25.8
(c.23).....	18.7.2	(2).....	25.8
2000 Freedom of Information Act (c.36)		ss.297A–297E.....	25.8
.....	33.2.2	s.298.....	25.8
2001 Criminal Justice and Police Act (c.16)		s.303B.....	25.8
s.50.....	17.3, 40.3.5	s.303J.....	25.8
2001 Anti-terrorism, Crime and Security		s.303K.....	25.8
Act (c.24).....	27.5, 29.2.1	s.303L.....	25.8
2002 Export Control Act (c.28).....	27.5	s.303O.....	25.8
2002 Proceeds of Crime Act		ss.303Z1–303Z3.....	25.8
(c.29).....	3.4.1, 5.3.4.4, 15.2,	ss.303Z1–303Z19.....	27.3.2
	25.3, 27.3, 27.3.1,	ss.303Z9–303Z13.....	25.8
	27.3.2, 29.3.1, 33.4.1.4,	s.303Z14.....	25.8
	38.3.2, 40.3.2, 42.1.3	s.304.....	27.3.2
Pt 5.....	20.2.1.1, 25.8, 27.3.2	s.316.....	20.2.1.1
Pt 6.....	25.8	s.327.....	27.3.1
Pt 7.....	12.3, 27.3.1	(1)(c).....	27.3.1
Pt 8.....	40.3.2	(2A).....	27.3.1
s.2A.....	3.5, 20.5	ss.327–329.....	3.4.1, 27.3.1, 42.1.1

Table of Legislation

s.328.....	27.3.1	2003	Extradition Act	
(3).....	27.3.1		(c.41).....	27.6, 40.4, 40.4.4, 40.4.4.3, 41.3.4, 44.2, 44.4.4, 44.5.4
s.329.....	27.3.1		Pt 1.....	40.4, 40.4.1, 40.4.4, 40.4.4.1, 40.4.4.2, 40.4.4.3, 44.4.4, 44.5.2
(2A).....	27.3.1		Pt 2.....	40.4, 40.4.2, 40.4.3, 40.4.4, 40.4.4.1, 40.4.4.3, 44.4.1, 44.5.2
s.330.....	2.2.2.1, 3.4.1, 7.3		s.2.....	40.4.1
s.331.....	3.4.1, 7.3		s.3.....	40.4.1
s.333A.....	42.1.1		s.4.....	40.4.1
s.334.....	29.3.1		s.5.....	40.4.1
s.335.....	3.4.1, 7.3		s.6.....	40.4.1
s.336.....	3.4.1		s.8.....	40.4.1
s.338.....	7.3		s.11.....	40.4.4
s.340.....	7.3		s.13.....	41.3.4, 44.3.3
(2).....	27.3.1		s.19B.....	40.4.4.3
(3).....	27.3.1		(3).....	40.4.4.3
s.362.....	42.1.3		s.21.....	40.4.4.1
s.362A(7).....	27.3.2		(1).....	40.4.4.1
s.362J.....	42.1.3		s.21A.....	40.4.4.1, 40.4.4.2
s.362S.....	27.3.2, 42.1.3		(1)(a).....	40.4.4.1
s.414.....	27.3.2		s.26(4).....	44.4.4
s.444.....	40.3.1		(5).....	44.4.4
(1).....	40.3.1		ss.26–29.....	40.4.1
(a).....	40.3.1		s.28(5).....	44.4.4
s.447(2).....	40.3.3		s.32.....	40.4.1
(8).....	40.3.1		s.35.....	40.4.1
s.459(2).....	40.3.1		s.64.....	40.4.1
Sch.2.....	25.3, 42.1.4		s.65.....	40.4.1
Sch.7A.....	27.3.2		s.69.....	40.4.2
para.7(7).....	27.3.2		s.70.....	40.4.2
Sch.9.....	7.3		s.71.....	40.4.2
2002			s.72.....	40.4.2
Police Reform Act (c.30)			s.78.....	40.4.2
s.103.....	40.2		(2).....	40.4.2
s.104.....	40.2		s.79.....	40.4.4
2003			s.81.....	41.3.4, 44.3.3
Crime (International Co-operation)			s.83A.....	40.4.4.3, 44.4.2, 44.5.1
Act (c.32).....	17.2.3.2, 27.6, 40.2, 40.3.2, 40.3.5		(2).....	41.3.4
Pt 1.....	40.2		(b).....	44.5.1
s.7(2).....	17.2.3.2, 40.2		(3).....	40.4.4.3
(5).....	17.2.3.2		s.84(1).....	40.4.2
ss.7–9.....	17.2.3.2		s.87.....	40.4.4.1, 41.3.4
s.9.....	40.3.5		(1).....	40.4.4.1
s.15.....	27.6		s.103(10).....	44.4.4
s.16.....	40.3.5		s.105(5).....	44.4.4
s.17.....	40.3.5		s.108(4).....	44.4.4
(3).....	40.3.5		(7A).....	44.4.4
s.20.....	40.3.6			
s.24.....	40.3.6			
ss.32–36.....	40.3.2			
s.35.....	40.3.2			
s.36.....	40.3.2			
Sch.1.....	27.6			
para.5.....	40.3.4			

Table of Legislation

	s.137.....	40.4.2		s.1.....	25.10
	s.138.....	40.4.2		(1)(a).....	25.10
	ss.166–168.....	40.4.1		(b).....	25.10
	s.193.....	40.4.3		(3).....	25.10
	s.194.....	40.4.3		s.2(2)(a).....	25.10
	s.198.....	40.4.2		(a)–(c).....	25.10
2003	Criminal Justice Act (c.44).....	17.2.3.6		(b).....	25.10
	s.71.....	34.5.2.2		s.5(3)(a).....	25.10
2005	Serious Organised Crime and Police Act (c.15).....	5.4.2, 15.4, 18.2.5, 38.1, 42.1.1		(c).....	25.10
	s.62.....	38.3.2, 40.3.6		(e).....	25.10
	s.71.....	5.4.2, 40.5		(f).....	25.10
	(4).....	40.5		(5)(a).....	25.10
	ss.71–75.....	20.2.1.3, 40.5		s.11.....	25.10
	s.73.....	1.2.1, 5.4.2, 18.2.5, 20.2.1.3, 25.5, 40.5, 42.1.1		s.19(1)(a).....	25.10
	s.74.....	25.5		(b).....	25.10
	Sch.....	15.4		(5).....	25.10
2006	Fraud Act (c.35).....	42.1.1	2008	s.25.....	25.10
2006	Companies Act (c.46)....	3.1, 9.2, 9.2.1, 9.2.1.5, 9.2.4, 31.2.1.2		s.27.....	25.10
	Pt 11 Ch.1.....	22.4.1		s.52.....	27.6
	Pt 30.....	22.4.2		Sch.1 Pt 1.....	25.10
	s.171.....	9.2.1.1	2008	Sch.4.....	27.6
	ss.171–177.....	7.4, 9.2, 9.2.1.2		Finance Act (c.9)	
	s.172.....	3.1, 9.2.1.2, 9.2.2, 32.3.1, 32.4.5		Sch.36.....	27.6
	(1)(e).....	31.2.1.2	2008	para.1.....	27.6
	s.173.....	9.2.1.3		Counter-Terrorism Act (c.28).....	27.5, 29.2.1
	s.174.....	9.2.1.4, 32.4.5		Sch.7 para.12.....	3.4.1
	s.175.....	9.2.1.5	2009	Banking Act (c.1).....	5.3.1
	(4)(a).....	9.2.1.5	2010	Bribery Act	
	(b).....	9.2.1.5		(c.23).....	1.1.1, 1.3.4, 3.1, 3.5, 3.6.1.2, 5.3.2.1, 9.1, 20.2.1.1, 20.2.1.2, 20.4, 24.2.2, 25.4, 27.1, 27.2, 27.2.1, 27.2.2, 27.4, 33.2.3, 33.4.1.1, 33.4.1.2, 33.5, 33.7, 38.2.1, 42.1, 42.1.1
	s.176.....	9.2.1.6		s.1.....	1.1.1, 20.2.1.2, 25.4, 25.15, 27.2, 27.2.1, 42.1.1
	s.177.....	9.2.1.7		s.2.....	25.4, 25.15, 27.2, 27.2.1, 42.1.1
	s.180(4)(b).....	9.2.1.5, 9.2.1.7		s.6.....	25.15, 27.2, 27.2.1, 42.1.1
	s.182.....	9.2.1.7		s.7.....	1.1.1, 1.3.4, 3.6.3, 5.3.2.1, 12.5, 15.5, 15.7, 20.1, 20.2.1.2, 20.2.1.3, 20.4, 25.4, 25.15, 27.2, 27.2.2, 27.4, 33.2.1, 33.4.1.1, 33.6, 33.7, 38.2.1, 42.1.1
	s.250.....	25.7			
	s.252.....	9.2.1.5, 9.2.1.7			
	s.414CA.....	5.2.7			
	s.996.....	22.4.2			
2007	Corporate Manslaughter and Corporate Homicide Act (c.19).....	1.1.1			
	s.1.....	12.5			
2007	Serious Crime Act (c.27) ...	25.10, 27.5, 29.3.1			
	Pt 1.....	42.1.3			

Table of Legislation

	(1).....	33.2.1, 33.4.1.1	2015	Serious Crime Act (c.9).....	25.10
	(2).....	5.3.2.1, 33.2.1, 33.2.3	2015	Modern Slavery Act (c.30)	
	(5).....	33.2.1		s.54.....	5.2.7
	s.8.....	38.2.1	2016	Investigatory Powers Act (c.25) ..	11.2.9
	s.9.....	1.1.1, 33.2.3		s.3(1).....	5.4.1, 31.2.1.1
	(1).....	33.4.1.1	2017	Policing and Crime Act	
	s.11.....	42.1.1		(c.3).....	27.5, 29.3.1
	s.12(2)(b).....	27.2.1		s.144.....	27.5
	(c).....	27.2.1		s.145.....	27.5
	(4).....	27.2.1		s.146.....	27.5, 29.3.2
	(5).....	27.2.2		(1A).....	29.3.2
	s.14.....	42.1.1		s.147.....	29.5.3
2010	Terrorist Asset-Freezing etc.			s.149(2).....	27.5
	Act (c.38).....	27.5, 29.2.1, 29.4		s.150.....	27.5
	Pt 1 Ch.3.....	3.4.2		s.151.....	27.5
	s.17.....	29.2.3	2017	Criminal Finances Act	
	s.19.....	3.4.1, 29.4		(c.22).....	1.1.1, 3.6.3, 5.3.2.2,
2012	Legal Aid, Sentencing and				9.1, 15.5, 20.1, 27.3.2,
	Punishment of Offenders Act				27.4, 33.2.2, 33.2.3,
	(c.10).....	25.6, 42.1.7			33.4.1.2, 38.2.1, 42.1.3
	s.85.....	42.1.5		Pt 1.....	27.3.2
2012	Financial Services Act (c.21).....	42.2		Ch.3.....	27.3.2
	Pt 7.....	15.2		Pt 3.....	1.1.1, 27.4
2013	Crime and Courts Act			ss.1-9.....	25.8, 42.1.3
	(c.22).....	17.4.1, 24.2.2, 25.16,		s.3.....	27.3.2
		33.6, 40.4.4.3,		s.13.....	27.3.2
		40.5, 44.4.2		s.44(2).....	27.4, 33.2.2
	s.45.....	17.4.1, 18.2.5, 20.1		(3).....	27.4, 33.2.2
	s.48.....	27.3.2		(4).....	27.4
	s.50.....	44.5.1		(6).....	27.4
	Sch.17.....	17.4.1, 18.2.5, 20.2.1.2, 36.2.2.1		s.45.....	5.3.2.2, 12.5, 27.4,
	para.3(1).....	40.5			33.2.2, 33.4.1.2, 38.2.1
	para.4(1).....	40.5		(2).....	33.2.2
	para.5.....	20.2.3		s.46.....	5.3.2.2, 12.5, 27.4,
	(1).....	22.7.1, 25.16			33.2.2, 33.4.1.2, 38.2.1
	(3).....	20.2.1.2, 24.2.2		(2).....	33.2.2
	(4).....	3.6.2, 15.4,		(3).....	33.2.2
		20.2.1.2, 25.16		s.47(1).....	33.4.1.2
	para.6(1).....	15.3.3, 15.3.5	2018	Data Protection Act	
	para.7.....	20.1		(c.12).....	5.3.4.3, 11.1, 11.2,
	para.8.....	20.2.1.2			11.2.1, 11.2.5, 11.2.6,
	para.9.....	20.1			11.4, 11.6.2, 12.14,
	para.12.....	34.5.2.3			17.2.3.3, 31.2.1.1,
	para.13(6).....	15.2, 40.5			31.2.1.2, 34.5.4, 42.1
	Sch.20 para.6.....	44.5.1		Pt 2.....	31.2.1.2
2013	Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act			Pt 3.....	31.2.1.2
	(c.24)			Pt 4.....	31.2.1.2
	s.17.....	5.2.1.3		s.10.....	11.2.5
2013	Defamation Act (c.26).....	22.6.4		s.11.....	11.2.5
2013	Financial Services (Banking Reform)			s.45.....	11.6.2
	Act (c.33).....	9.2.5		s.67.....	7.3



Table of Legislation

s.170.....	5.4.1	2022	Economic Crime (Transparency and Enforcement) Act (c.10) .....	3.4.1, 27.1, 27.3.2, 27.5, 27.7.1, 42.1.3
(2)(c) .....	5.4.1		Pt 2 .....	27.3.2
ss.170–173.....	31.2.1.1		s.45 .....	42.1.3
s.196.....	5.4.1		s.47 .....	27.3.2, 42.1.3
Sch.1 .....	11.2.5		s.49 .....	42.1.3
Pt 2 .....	11.2.6		s.52 .....	42.1.3
2018	Sanctions and Anti-Money Laundering Act (c.13).....	27.5, 27.7.1, 29.2.1, 29.6.2	s.54 .....	27.5
	s.9(2) .....	25.7	(3) .....	29.3.2
	s.11 .....	29.6.2	2022	Police, Crime, Sentencing and Courts Act (c.32) .....
	s.12 .....	29.6.2		34.6
	s.15 .....	29.6.2	<b>Statutory Instruments</b>	
	s.21 .....	27.5	1986	Costs in Criminal Cases (General) Regulations (SI 1986/1335).....
	s.23 .....	29.6.2		25.6, 42.1.7
2018	European Union (Withdrawal) Act (c.16)		1990	Criminal Justice (Confiscation) (Northern Ireland) Order (SI 1990/2588)
	s.3.....	5.3.4.3, 31.2.1.2		art.14 .....
	ss.7A–7C.....	44.5.4	1996	Proceeds of Crime (Northern Ireland) Order (SI 1996/1299)
2019	Crime (Overseas Production Orders) Act (c.5).....	1.3.2, 17.2.3.2, 27.6, 40.3.6		art.32 .....
	s.2(1)(a).....	40.3.6	1998	Civil Procedure Rules (SI 1998/3132).....
	s.3 .....	40.3.6		22.2.1, 22.3, 22.4.1
	s.4 .....	40.3.6		r.3.1(2)(f) .....
2020	Coronavirus Act (c.7).....	41.3.2		Pt 31.....
	ss.53–57 .....	41.3.2		17.6, 18.8.3
2020	Sentencing Act (c.17).....	42.1		r.31.19(6).....
	s.55 .....	25.2		18.9.3
	s.59(1) .....	25.1		r.31.20 .....
	s.73 .....	25.5, 42.1		18.8.3
	(2) .....	42.1		r.31.22 .....
	s.74 .....	15.4, 42.1, 42.1.1		22.7.3
	ss.124–126.....	42.1.2		PD 51U.....
	s.125(1) .....	25.4		18.8.3
	(2) .....	25.4		PD 57AD .....
	s.133 .....	25.2		18.8.3, 22.7.3
	s.135(2) .....	25.2		para.14.3 .....
	(3) .....	25.2		para.19 .....
	(4) .....	25.2		para.19.1 .....
	s.388.....	42.1		para.19.2 .....
2020	Extradition (Provisional Arrest) Act (c.18).....	40.4, 44.5.3, 44.5.4		Practice Direction – Civil Recovery Proceedings
	Sch.A1 .....	44.5.3, 44.5.4		paras. 4.1–4.5 .....
2020	European Union (Future Relationship) Act (c.29) .....	40.4, 44.2	2003	Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (Financial Threshold for Civil Recovery) Order (SI 2003/175).....
2021	National Security and Investment Act (c.25).....	15.8, 27.7.2		20.2.1.1
2021	Environment Act (c.30) .....	32.3.1	2003	Privacy and Electronic Communications (EC Directive) Regulations (SI 2003/2426).....
				15.3.2, 15.4, 31.2.1.2

Table of Legislation

2003	Extradition Act 2003 (Designation of Part 1 Territories) Order (SI 2003/3333).....	40.4	(8).....	25.15
			(12).....	25.15
			(15).....	25.15
2003	Extradition Act 2003 (Designation of Part 2 Territories) Order (SI 2003/3334).....	40.4	2015 Criminal Procedure Rules (SI 2015/1490).....	20.4, 34.5.1.1, 44.4.4
2005	Extradition Act 2003 (Parties to International Conventions) Order (SI 2005/46).....	40.4.3	r.1.1.....	3.2
2005	Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (External Requests and Orders) Order (SI 2005/3181).....	40.3.1, 40.3.3	r.3.3.....	20.2.1.3
	art.6.....	40.3.1	r.5.8.....	20.4
	art.7.....	40.3.1	r.11.2(3)(c).....	20.4
	art.8.....	40.3.1	r.15.3.....	18.9.3
	art.18.....	40.3.3	r.15.5.....	18.9.3
	art.20.....	40.3.3	2016 Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations (SI 2016/1154).....	32.3.1
	art.21.....	40.3.3	2016 Companies, Partnerships and Groups (Accounts and Non-Financial Reporting) Regulations (SI 2016/1245).....	5.2.7
	(6).....	40.3.3	2017 Democratic People's Republic of Korea (European Union Financial Sanctions) Regulations (SI 2017/218).....	29.2.1
	art.22.....	40.3.3	2017 Money Laundering, Terrorist Financing and Transfer of Funds (Information on the Payer) Regulations (SI 2017/692).....	3.4.1, 33.2.4
	art.26.....	40.3.3	reg.3(1).....	33.2.4
	arts 202–207.....	40.3.1	reg.8.....	3.4.1, 33.2.4
2006	Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (Money Laundering: Exceptions to Overseas Conduct Defence) Order (SI 2006/1070).....	27.3.1	reg.19.....	3.4.1
2007	Money Laundering Regulations (SI 2007/2157).....	3.4.1, 3.4.4, 15.4, 33.3.1	reg.20.....	3.4.1
	reg.8(1).....	15.4	reg.86.....	33.2.4, 33.4.1.4
	(3).....	15.4	(3).....	33.2.4
	reg.14(1).....	15.4	2017 Criminal Justice (European Investigation Order) Regulations (SI 2017/730).....	1.3.2
2008	Export Control Order (SI 2008/3231).....	27.5	2017 Payment Services Regulations (SI 2017/752).....	22.5
	Pt 4.....	27.5	2018 Investigatory Powers (Interception by Businesses etc. for Monitoring and Record-keeping Purposes) Regulations (SI 2018/356).....	11.2.9
	Sch.1.....	27.5	2018 Network and Information Systems Regulations (SI 2018/506).....	31.2.1.2
2011	Electronic Money Regulations (SI 2011/99).....	22.5	2019 International Joint Investigation Teams (International Agreements) (EU Exit) Order (SI 2019/274).....	40.2
2012	Syria (European Union Financial Sanctions) Regulations (SI 2012/129)			
	reg.5.....	25.11		
2014	Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (External Investigations) Order (SI 2014/1893)			
	Pt 5.....	40.3.2		
	art.30.....	40.3.2		
2015	Public Contracts Regulations (SI 2015/102).....	20.2, 25.15, 25.16		
	reg.57.....	25.15		
	(1).....	25.15		

2019	Market Abuse (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations (SI 2019/310).....	15.2	
2019	Data Protection, Privacy and Electronic Communications (Amendments etc) (EU Exit) Regulations (SI 2019/419) Sch.1 .....	31.2.1.2	
2019	Russia (Sanctions) (EU Exit) Regulations (SI 2019/855).....	27.5	
	reg.28 .....	29.6.2	
	reg.37 .....	29.6.2	
	reg.44 .....	29.6.2	
	reg.52 .....	29.6.2	
2020	Extradition Act 2003 (Amendments to Designations) Order (SI 2020/265).....	44.4.1	
2020	Global Human Rights Sanctions Regulations (SI 2020/680).....	27.5, 29.6.2	
	reg.6(3).....	27.5	
2020	Criminal Procedure Rules (SI 2020/759).....	44.4.4	
	r.6.2(1).....	34.5.1.1	
2020	Protecting against the Effects of the Extraterritorial Application of Third Country Legislation (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations (SI 2020/1660).....	29.2.2, 29.2.4.2	
2021	Global Anti-Corruption Sanctions Regulations (SI 2021/488).....	27.5, 29.6.2	
2022	Russia (Sanctions) (EU Exit) (Amendment) (No.14) Regulations (SI 2022/850).....	27.5	
			<b>Criminal Practice Directions</b>
2015	Criminal Practice Directions 2015 [2015] EWCA Crim 1567 CPD VII Sentencing, Pt C .....	25.4	
			<b>UK Retained EU Law (European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018)</b>
2014	UK MAR (Market Abuse Regulation (EU) 596/2014).....	5.3.4.4	
	art.17 .....	7.4	
	(1) .....	5.3.4.4	
2016	UK GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679).....	5.3.4.3, 7.8.1, 11.1, 11.2, 11.2.1, 11.2.2, 11.2.3, 11.2.4, 11.2.5, 11.2.6, 11.2.7, 11.2.8, 11.2.9, 11.4, 11.5, 11.6, 11.6.2, 12.14, 17.2.3.3, 22.6.8, 31.2.1.2, 31.3	
	Recital 32 .....	11.2.3	
	art.5 .....	7.8.1, 12.7, 22.6.8, 31.2.1.2	
	art.6 .....	11.2.4, 22.6.8	
	art.7 .....	11.2.3	
	art.9 .....	11.2.5, 22.6.8	
	art.10 .....	11.2.5	
	art.13 .....	22.6.8	
	art.15 .....	11.6.2, 12.14	
	art.23 .....	22.6.8	
	art.28(3) .....	11.2.8	
	art.32 .....	22.6.8	
	art.33 .....	7.3	
	art.48 .....	11.4	
	art.49 .....	11.5	

## US LEGISLATION

### CONSTITUTION

Constitution of the United States.....	23.6, 35.1.1, 35.1.3
Amendment I.....	24.5.5, 35.1.1, 35.1.2
Amendment IV .....	35.2.1
Amendment V .....	1.2, 1.2.2, 2.2.2.1, 19.3.1, 23.2.1, 23.5.1, 23.6, 39.2, 39.3, 39.4, 39.5, 41.4.2.2, 41.4.3.1, 41.5.3, 43.4

Amendment VI.....	35.1.1, 35.1.3, 35.3.1, 37.3, 41.3.2
Amendment XIV ...	35.1.1, 35.1.2, 43.4

### FEDERAL LEGISLATION

#### Federal Acts

1863	False Claims Act (31 U.S.C. § 3729 et seq.).....	6.4, 6.4.1, 6.4.2, 16.1, 16.2.2, 16.2.2.4, 23.3.5, 26.1, 26.7.1
------	-----------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Table of Legislation

1890	Sherman Antitrust Act (15 U.S.C. § 1 et seq.).....	23.3.5, 24.2.1, 28.7, 33.4.2.3	1940	Investment Advisers Act (15 U.S.C. § 80b-1 et seq.).....	17.2.1.2
1911	All Writs Act (28 U.S.C. § 1651 et seq.).....	26.5	1950	Federal Deposit Insurance Act (Pub. L. 81-797, 64 Stat. 873).....	6.1.3
1914	Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. § 41 et seq.).....	17.2.3.3, 31.2.2.1, 31.5.3	1954	Atomic Energy Act (Pub. L. 83-703, 68 Stat. 919).....	6.1
1917	Trading with the Enemy Act (Pub. L. 65-91, 40 Stat. 411) .....	26.7.5	1957	Jencks Act (18 U.S.C. § 3500 et seq.) .....	23.4
1933	Securities Act (15 U.S.C. § 77a et seq.).....	10.2, 17.2.1.2, 26.3	1963	Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. § 7401 et seq.).....	6.1
1934	Securities Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78a et seq.).....	6.1.2, 10.2, 10.3.5, 17.2.1.2, 26.3, 26.4, 28.2, 28.2.2, 28.6	1964	Civil Rights Act (Pub. L. 88-352, 78 Stat. 241) .....	37.2
	s.10(b) .....	28.2, 28.2.1, 28.2.2, 28.3, 28.6	1966	Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552 et seq.).....	17.6, 21.5.2, 23.4, 24.5.5
	s.10A.....	8.2	1966	National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act (Pub. L. 89-563, 80 Stat. 718).....	6.1
	s.15(b)(4).....	21.5.3	1967	Age Discrimination in Employment Act (29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq.)....	37.2
	s.20(a).....	10.3.5	1968	Fair Housing Act (Titles VIII and IX of the Civil Rights Act 1968, Pub. L. 90-284, 82 Stat. 73) .....	26.3
	(b) .....	21.2	1968	Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act (Pub. L. 90-481).....	1.1.2
	s.21(a).....	8.2, 16.2.3, 19.4.1	1968	Wiretap Act (Title III of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act 1968) (18 U.S.C. § 2510 et seq.).....	11.3
	s.21C.....	8.2	1970	Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (18 U.S.C. § 1961 et seq.).....	26.1, 28.3, 28.4, 28.5
	(b) .....	17.2.1.2		s.1962.....	28.4
	s.21F .....	6.1.1	1970	Bank Secrecy Act (Pub. L. 91-508, 84 Stat. 1114-2) ....	4.2.1, 6.1, 6.1.3, 10.3.5, 16.3.1, 30.4.1, 33.5
1934	Federal Credit Union Act (12 U.S.C. § 1751 et seq.).....	6.1.3	1970	Fair Credit Reporting Act (15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.).....	17.2.3.3
1935	National Labor Relations Act (29 U.S.C. § 151 et seq.).....	37.2	1970	Occupational Safety and Health Act (29 U.S.C. § 651 et seq.).....	37.2
1936	Commodity Exchange Act (7 U.S.C. § 1 et seq.).....	6.1.1, 16.2.3, 28.2.2, 28.6, 37.2	1972	Clean Water Act (Federal Water Pollution Control Act) (Pub. L. 92-500, 86 Stat. 816).....	1.1.2, 6.1
	s.4b(a)(2).....	28.6	1973	Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. § 701 et seq.).....	37.5
	s.4o.....	28.6	1974	Employee Retirement Income Security Act (29 U.S.C. § 1001 et seq.).....	6.1, 16.3.2
	s.6(c)(1).....	28.6			
	s.9(a)(2).....	28.6			
	s.22.....	28.6			
	(a).....	28.6			
	s.23.....	6.1.2			
1938	Fair Labor Standards Act (29 U.S.C. § 203 et seq.).....	37.2			
1940	Investment Company Act (15 U.S.C. § 80a-1 et seq.) .....	17.2.1.2			
	s.9(a) .....	21.5.3			
	s.42(b) .....	17.2.1.2			

Table of Legislation

1974	Energy Reorganization Act (42 U.S.C. § 5801 et seq.).....	6.1	1986	Money Laundering Control Act (Pub. L. 99-570, 100 Stat. 3207).....	28.10	
1974	Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a et seq.).....	17.6		s.1956.....	28.10	
1974	Speedy Trial Act (18 U.S.C. § 3161 et seq.).....	21.4.1	1986	Anti-Kickback Enforcement Act (Pub. L. 99-634, 100 Stat. 3523) .....	4.2.1	
1977	International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. § 1701 et seq.).....	26.7.5, 28.9, 41.1	1986	Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (18 U.S.C. § 1030) .....	31.1.1, 31.2.2.1, 31.5.3	
1977	Federal Mine Safety and Health Act (30 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.).....	6.1	1989	Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act (Pub. L. 101-73, 103 Stat. 183).....	26.3	
1977	Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (15 U.S.C. § 78dd-1 et seq.).....	1.1.2, 1.2.1, 2.2.1.3, 2.2.2.1, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3.1, 4.3.1.1, 4.3.1.2, 4.4, 4.5, 8.2, 8.6.1, 8.7, 16.1, 16.2.1, 16.2.2, 16.2.2.2, 16.2.3, 16.2.4, 16.3.1, 16.3.3, 16.3.5, 16.4.2, 16.4.3, 17.2.1.4, 17.2.3.1, 17.4.1, 19.4.1, 20.2.1.2, 21.2, 21.6.1, 21.6.2, 24.3, 24.4, 24.5.1, 24.5.2, 26.1, 26.2.1, 26.4, 26.6, 26.7.2, 28.8, 28.10, 33.1, 33.4.2.1, 33.4.2.2, 33.4.2.4, 33.6, 33.7, 41.3, 41.3.4, 41.3.6, 41.4.2.1		1990	Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.).....	37.2, 37.5
1978	Airline Deregulation Act (Pub. L. 95-504, 92 Stat. 1705).....	6.1	1993	Family and Medical Leave Act (Pub. L. 103-3, 107 Stat. 6) .....	37.2, 37.5	
1982	Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvements Act (15 U.S.C. § 6a) .....	28.7	1996	Mandatory Victims Restitution Act (18 U.S.C. § 3663A et seq.) ..	16.3.3	
1982	Surface Transportation Assistance Act (Pub. L. 97-424, 96 Stat. 2097).....	6.1	1996	Iran Sanctions Act (Pub. L. 104-72, 110 Stat. 1541).....	28.9	
1984	Bail Reform Act (Pub. L. 98-473, 98 Stat. 1976).....	41.2.1	1996	Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (Pub. L. 104-191, 110 Stat. 1936) ...	8.6.2, 17.2.3.3, 31.2.2.1	
1984	Alternative Fines Act (18 U.S.C. § 3571).....	26.2.1, 26.7.2		s.1173.....	31.2.2.1	
1986	Electronic Communications Privacy Act (Pub. L. 99-508, 100 Stat. 1848).....	11.3, 37.4	1998	Children's Online Privacy Protection Act (15 U.S.C. § 6501 et seq.).....	17.2.3.3	
	Title I.....	37.4	1999	Financial Services Modernization Act (Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act) (Pub. L.106-102, 113 Stat. 1338).....	17.2.3.3, 31.2.2.1	
	Title II .....	37.4	1999	Foreign Narcotics Kingpin Designation Act (Kingpin Act) (Pub. L.106-120, 113 Stat. 1606).....	30.1.1	
1986	Stored Communications Act (Title II of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act 1986) (18 U.S.C. § 2701 et seq.).....	11.3, 37.4	2001	USA PATRIOT Act (Pub. L. 107-56, 115 Stat. 272).....	28.11	
				s.5318.....	28.11	
			2002	Sarbanes-Oxley Act (Pub. L. 107-204, 116 Stat. 745).....	4.2.1, 6.1, 6.1.1, 6.2.1, 6.2.3, 6.3.1, 8.2, 10.2, 10.2.3.2, 37.2	
				s.301.....	10.2.3.2	
				s.307.....	4.6, 8.2	
				s.806.....	6.2.4, 37.2	
				s.1107.....	37.2	

Table of Legislation

2002	Federal Information Security Management Act (44 U.S.C. § 3541 et seq.).....	31.2.2.1	2019	National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2020 (Pub. L. 116-92)	
2004	Antitrust Criminal Penalty Enhancement and Reform Act (Pub. L. 108-237, 118 Stat. 661)		s.7412.....	30.1.4.4	
	s.213(a).....	16.2.2.3	(2).....	30.1.4.4	
2009	Foreign Evidence Request Efficiency Act (18 U.S.C. § 3512 et seq.).....	17.2.3.2	2020	Families First Coronavirus Response Act (Pub. L. 116-127).....	37.5
2010	Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Pub. L. 111-148, 124 Stat. 119-1025).....	6.1	2020	Anti-Money Laundering Act (Division F, §§ 6001-6511 of the William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021, Pub. L. 116-283).....	4.5, 6.1, 6.1.3, 6.2.1, 10.3.5, 16.4.2, 17.2.3.2, 28.11
2010	Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Pub. L. 111-203, 124 Stat. 1376-2223).....	6.1.1, 6.1.2, 6.2.1, 6.2.3, 6.2.4, 6.3, 6.3.1, 6.3.2, 10.2, 10.3.5, 28.2.2, 28.6, 31.2.2.1, 37.2	s.6308.....	17.2.3.2, 28.11	
	s.748.....	37.2	2021	William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021 (Pub. L. 116-283).....	21.5.1, 26.4, 26.7.3, 28.11
	s.922.....	37.2	s.6501(a)(8).....	21.5.1	
	(h).....	17.4.3	(b).....	21.5.1	
	s.929P.....	28.2.2, 28.6	2021	Transnational Repression Accountability and Prevention Act (22 U.S.C. § 263b).....	44.5.3
	(b).....	28.2.2	2021	National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (Pub. L. 117-81).....	21.5.1
	s.1057.....	37.2	2022	Cyber Incident Reporting for Critical Infrastructure Act (Pub. L. 117-103).....	31.2.2.1
2012	Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (Pub. L. 112-141, 126 Stat. 405).....	6.1			
2012	Magnitsky Act (Pub. L. 112-208, 126 Stat. 1496).....	26.7.5, 27.3.2, 30.1.1			
2017	Countering America's Adversaries Through Sanctions Act (Pub. L. 115-44).....	30.1.4.6			
	s.228.....	30.1.4.6			
	s.231.....	30.1.4.6			
	(e).....	30.1.4.6			
	s.232.....	30.1.4.6			
2018	Clarifying Lawful Overseas Use of Data Act (CLOUD Act) (Pub. L. 115-141) ...	1.3.2, 17.2.3.2, 40.3.6			
2018	Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency Act (Pub. L. 115-278) ..	31.2.2.1, 31.5.4			

**United States Code (USC)**

Title 2: Congress	
s.192.....	17.2.1.2
Title 5: Government Organization and Employees	
s.552.....	17.6, 23.4
s.552a(b).....	17.6
Title 6: Domestic Security	
s.1501(7)(A)-(B).....	31.2.2.1
s.1503(a)(1).....	31.2.2.1
(b).....	31.2.2.1
(c)(1).....	31.2.2.1
(d)(1)-(2).....	31.2.2.1
Title 7: Agriculture	
s.1a(9).....	28.6
s.2(i).....	28.6

Table of Legislation

s.6(b)(2).....	28.6	s.78t(a) .....	10.3.5
s.9.....	17.2.1.2	(b).....	21.2
(a)(1).....	28.6	s.78u.....	6.2.3
s.13(a)(2).....	28.6	(b).....	17.2.1.2, 43.4
(3).....	17.2.1.2	(c).....	43.4
s.26.....	6.1.1, 37.2	(d).....	26.5, 43.4
Title 11: Bankruptcy (Bankruptcy Code)		(1).....	26.7.2
Ch.7.....	43.3.2	(3).....	26.7.2
s.523(a)(13).....	43.3.2	(7).....	21.5.1
Title 12: Banks and Banking		s.78u-1(a).....	26.3
s.1785(j).....	19.5	s.78u-2(b).....	26.3
s.1828(x).....	19.5	s.78u-6.....	6.1.1
s.1833a.....	26.3	(a)(6).....	6.1.1, 37.2
s.5567.....	37.2	(h).....	37.2
Title 15: Commerce and Trade		(1).....	6.1.1, 17.4.3, 37.2
s.1.....	28.7	s.80a-9(a)(1).....	21.5.3
s.6a.....	28.7	s.80a-41(b).....	17.2.1.2
s.15.....	23.3.5	s.80b-9(b).....	17.2.1.2
(a).....	16.3.5	s.1681.....	17.2.3.3
ss.41-58.....	17.2.3.3	ss.6501-6506.....	17.2.3.3
s.45.....	17.2.3.3	s.6801.....	31.2.2.1
(a)(1).....	31.2.2.1	ss.6801-6827.....	17.2.3.3
s.77s(c).....	17.2.1.2	s.7245.....	8.2
s.77t(b).....	26.5, 43.4	Title 18: Crimes and Criminal Procedure	
s.78(m).....	26.7.2	.....	43.3.2
s.78aa(b).....	28.2.2	s.371.....	21.3.2
(2).....	6.2.4	s.401.....	17.2.1.2
s.78dd-1.....	28.8, 33.4.2.4	s.981.....	26.7.5, 41.6, 43.3.3
(a).....	26.7.2	(a).....	26.2.1, 41.6
ss.78dd-1-78dd-3.....	28.8	(1).....	26.2.1
s.78dd-2.....	28.8, 33.4.2.4	s.982.....	41.6, 43.3.3
(a).....	26.7.2	(a).....	26.2.1
(d).....	26.7.2	s.983(a)(1).....	41.6
(g)(1).....	26.7.2	(4).....	41.6
(2).....	26.7.2	(j).....	41.6
(h)(1).....	33.4.2.4	s.983-5.....	43.3.3
s.78dd-3.....	28.8	s.984(a)(1).....	41.6
(a).....	26.7.2, 28.8	s.985(b)(1).....	41.6
(d).....	26.7.2	(c).....	41.6
(e)(1).....	26.7.2	s.1001.....	17.2.1.2, 21.5.2
(2).....	26.7.2	s.1030.....	31.1.1
s.78ff(a).....	26.7.2	s.1343.....	28.5
(c)(1).....	26.7.2	s.1467(b).....	43.3.3
(2).....	26.7.2	s.1513(e).....	37.2
(3).....	26.2.1, 26.7.2	s.1514A.....	6.1.1
s.78j(b).....	28.2.1	(a).....	6.1.1, 37.2
s.78j-1.....	8.2	(1).....	6.1.1
s.78m.....	28.8	(b).....	37.2
s.78o(b)(4).....	21.5.3	(1).....	6.1.1
s.78p.....	10.4.3	(2).....	6.1.1

Table of Legislation

(c).....	37.2	Title 21: Food and Drugs	
(e)(2).....	6.2.3	s.841(b)(1).....	43.3.2
s.1519.....	2.2.2.1	s.853(e)(2).....	41.6
s.1905.....	17.6	(h).....	43.3.3
s.1956.....	26.7.3	(p).....	41.6
(a)(1).....	28.10	s.881(e)(1).....	43.3.3
(2).....	28.10	Title 26: Internal Revenue Code	
(c)(7).....	26.2.1	s.6621(a)(2).....	26.4
(f).....	28.10	Title 28: Judiciary and Judicial Procedure	
(1).....	28.10	s.1651(a).....	26.5
(h).....	28.10	s.1781(b).....	17.2.3.2
ss.1956–1957.....	26.7.3	s.1782.....	17.2.3.2
s.1957.....	26.7.3	s.2241.....	41.3.5
s.1962.....	28.4	s.2461(c).....	26.2.1
(a)–(c).....	28.4	s.2462.....	21.3.2, 21.5.1
s.1963(a).....	28.4	Title 29: Labor	
(e).....	41.6	s.218c.....	6.1
(f).....	43.3.3	s.1132(a).....	6.1
s.1964(a)–(b).....	28.4	Title 30: Mineral Lands and Mining	
(c).....	28.4	s.815.....	6.1
s.2253(b).....	43.3.3	Title 31: Money and Finance	
s.2510(5)(a).....	37.4	s.3729.....	6.4
ss.2510–2522.....	11.3	(a)(1).....	6.4, 26.7.1
ss.2511–2522.....	11.3	(2).....	26.7.1
s.2701.....	11.3	(3).....	26.7.1
(a).....	11.3	ss.3729–3733.....	16.2.2.4
ss.2701–2711.....	11.3	s.3730.....	23.3.5
ss.2701–2712.....	11.3	(b).....	6.4, 6.4.1, 26.7.1
s.3013.....	43.3.2	(1).....	23.3.5, 26.7.1
ss.3121–3127.....	11.3	(4).....	26.7.1
s.3142(b).....	41.2.1	(b)–(c).....	6.4.1
(c)(1).....	41.2.1	(c)(2).....	6.4.1, 23.3.5
(e).....	41.2.1	(d).....	6.4
s.3161(c)(1).....	21.4.1	(1).....	6.4.1
(h)(2).....	21.4.1	(1)–(2).....	26.7.1
s.3292.....	28.11, 41.4.3.2	(3).....	6.4.1
s.3301.....	21.3.2	(4).....	6.4.2
s.3500.....	23.4	(e)(4).....	6.4.1
s.3512.....	17.2.3.2	(h).....	6.4.2
s.3553(a).....	43.3	s.3733.....	23.3.5
s.3554.....	43.3.2	s.5311.....	10.3.5
s.3571.....	26.2.1, 26.7.5, 43.3.2	s.5318(g).....	4.2.1
(b).....	43.3.2	(k)(3).....	16.4.2, 17.2.3.2, 28.11
(2)–(3).....	26.7.2	s.5321(f).....	26.7.3
(c)(2).....	26.7.2	(g).....	26.7.3
s.3663(a)(1).....	26.2.1	s.5322(e).....	26.7.3
ss.3663–3663A.....	43.3.2	s.5323.....	16.4.2
s.3663A(b)(4).....	16.3.3	(a)(1).....	6.1.3
(c)(3).....	21.5.1	(b)(1).....	6.1.3
Title 19: Customs Duties		(c)(1).....	6.1.3
s.1607.....	41.6, 43.3.3		



Table of Legislation

(g) .....	4.5, 6.1, 6.1.3	s.240.21F-3 .....	2.2.1.1, 6.3.2
(1) .....	6.1.3	(b)(3) .....	6.3.2
(2) .....	6.1.3	s.240.21F-4 .....	10.3.5
(3) .....	6.1.3	(b) .....	6.3.1
Title 33: Navigation and Navigable Waters		(4) .....	6.3
s.1367 .....	6.1	(7) .....	6.3.1
Title 42: Public Health and Welfare		s.240.21F-5(b) .....	6.3.2
s.1301 .....	17.2.3.3	s.240.21F-6 .....	6.3.2
s.1320d-2(d)(2) .....	31.2.2.1	(a)(4) .....	6.3.1
s.3614(d)(1) .....	26.3	(b)(1) .....	6.3
s.5851 .....	6.1	s.240.21F-7 .....	6.3.1
s.7622 .....	6.1	s.240.21F-17 .....	6.2.4
Title 44: Public Printing and Documents		s.248.30(a) .....	17.2.3.3
ss.3541–3549 .....	31.2.2.1	s.249 .....	31.2.2.1
Title 49: Transportation		Title 22: Foreign Relations	
s.30171 .....	6.1	Pts 120–130 .....	33.7
s.31105 .....	6.1	Title 28: Judicial Administration	
s.42121 .....	6.1	Pt 80 .....	33.4.2.4
(b)(2) .....	6.1.3	s.50.9 .....	35.1.2
Title 50: War and National Defense		s.80.1 .....	33.4.2.4
s.1701 .....	28.9	s.80.3 .....	33.4.2.4
ss.1701–1707 .....	28.9	s.80.4 .....	33.4.2.4
s.1705 .....	26.7.5, 30.2	s.80.10 .....	33.4.2.4
(a) .....	28.9	s.80.11 .....	33.4.2.4
(b) .....	28.9	s.80.12 .....	33.4.2.4
(c) .....	26.7.5, 28.9	Title 29: Labor	
<b>Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)</b>		Pt 2570 subpt B .....	21.5.3
Title 12: Banks and Banking		s.1980.104(e) .....	6.1.1
s.208 App.D-2 .....	31.2.2.1	(4) .....	6.1.1
Title 13: Business Credit and Assistance		s.1980.106 .....	6.1.1
ss.125.8–125.10 .....	19.1.1	s.1980.107 .....	6.1.1
Title 17: Commodity and Securities Exchanges		s.1980.109 .....	6.1.1
s.11.4(a) .....	17.2.1.2	s.1980.110 .....	6.1.1
s.165.7(f)-(1) .....	6.1.2	s.1980.112 .....	6.1.1
s.165.15(a)(2) .....	6.1.2	Title 31: Money and Finance: Treasury	
s.200.83 .....	17.6, 23.4	Pt 501 .....	8.2
s.201.600(a) .....	26.4	App.A .....	30.1.1
(b) .....	26.4	(I)(I) .....	30.4.3.1
s.201.1001 .....	26.3, 26.7.2	(III)(G) .....	30.4.3
s.205.3(b) .....	8.2	Pt 510 .....	30.1.4.3
s.229 .....	31.2.2.1	Pt 515 .....	30.1.4.1
s.230.251 .....	21.5.3	Pt 542 .....	30.1.4.4
s.230.405 .....	21.5.3	Pt 560 .....	30.1.1, 30.1.4.2, 30.4.1
s.230.501 .....	21.5.3	Pt 589 .....	30.1.4.5
s.240.10b-5 .....	10.4.3, 28.2	s.501 .....	26.7.5
s.240.10b5-1 .....	10.4.3	s.501.71 .....	26.7.5
s.240.21F-2 .....	6.1.1	s.501.603 .....	30.3
(d)(ii) .....	6.1.1	s.515.329 .....	28.9, 30.2
		ss.515.502–515.591 .....	30.1.4.1
		s.535.329 .....	28.9
		s.542.206 .....	28.9

## Table of Legislation

s.542.319 .....	28.9
s.560.215 .....	30.2
s.560.530(3)(ii).....	30.1.3
(4) .....	30.1.3
Title 45: Public Welfare	
Pt 160.....	8.6.2
Pt 164.....	8.6.2
ss.164.302–164.318.....	31.2.2.1
Title 48: Federal Acquisition	
Regulations System	
s.9.406-1(c) .....	26.6
s.9.406-2 .....	6.4.2
s.9.407-1(d).....	26.6
<b>Federal Rules</b>	
Federal Rules of Civil Procedure .....	19.8, 23.6, 35.1.4, 41.3.2
r.11 .....	6.4.2
r.17 .....	17.2.1.2
(g).....	17.2.1.2
r.23(a).....	23.3.1
(b).....	23.3.1
r.23.1(a).....	23.3.1
(b)(3).....	23.3.1
r.26 .....	19.8
(b)(1).....	21.3.1, 35.1.4
(3).....	19.1.2
(4).....	19.8
(5).....	19.4.2
r.30(b)(6).....	23.5.1
r.53 .....	24.4
Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.....	41.3.2
r.1(a)(5) .....	41.3.2
r.6(e).....	17.6, 23.4, 35.1.2
(2) .....	23.4, 35.1.2
(3) .....	35.1.2
r.15 .....	23.4
r.16 .....	19.8
(a)(1) .....	23.4
(b)(1).....	19.8
(2).....	19.8
r.21(a).....	35.1.2
r.26.2 .....	19.8, 23.4
(a).....	19.3.1
(f).....	19.8
(2).....	19.3.1
r.32.2(a).....	41.6
(b)(1).....	41.6
r.41 .....	35.1.2

Federal Rules of Evidence .....	41.3.2
r.408 .....	21.3.1, 23.2.2
r.502 .....	23.5.2
(a).....	17.5.1, 19.4, 23.5.2
(b).....	17.5.1, 19.4.2
(d) .....	19.4.2, 23.5.2
(e).....	19.4.2, 23.5.2
r.1101(d)(3).....	41.3.2

### Executive Orders

2012	Exec. Order No. 13608, 77 Fed. Reg.	
	26409 (1 May 2012) .....	28.9
2014	Exec. Order No. 13662, 79 Fed. Reg.	
	16167 (20 March 2014) .....	30.1.4.6
2014	Exec. Order No. 13662, 79 Fed. Reg.	
	16169-71 (24 March 2014).....	30.1.1, 30.1.4.6
2017	Exec. Order No. 13810, 82 Fed. Reg.	
	44705 (20 September 2017) .....	30.1.4.3
2018	Exec. Order No. 13850, 83 Fed. Reg.	
	55243 (2 November 2018) .....	30.1.4.7
2019	Exec. Order No. 13871, 84 Fed. Reg.	
	20761 (10 May 2019) .....	30.1.4.2
2019	Exec. Order No. 13884, 84 Fed. Reg.	
	38843 (6 August 2019) .....	30.1.4.7
2020	Exec. Order No. 13902, 85 Fed. Reg.	
	2003 (10 January 2020).....	30.1.4.2
2020	Exec. Order No. 13959, 85 Fed. Reg.	
	73185 (12 November 2020) .....	30.1.1
2021	Exec. Order No. 14024, 86 Fed. Reg.	
	20249-52 (15 April 2021).....	30.1.1, 30.1.4.6
2021	Exec. Order No. 14032, 86 Fed. Reg.	
	30145 (7 June 2021).....	30.1.1
2022	Exec. Order No. 14065, 87 Fed. Reg.	
	10293 (23 February 2022).....	30.1.4.5

## STATE LEGISLATION

### Arizona

Revised Statutes	
s.13-3005 .....	11.3

### California

Civil Code	
s.1798.81.5 .....	31.2.2.2
s.1798.82 .....	31.2.2.2
Code of Civil Procedure	
s.2034.270 .....	19.8

Code of Regulations	
Title 2: Administration	
s.7286.7(b) .....	11.3
Constitution	
art.I s.1 .....	37.4
Labor Code .....	37.6.2.2
s.980 .....	11.3, 37.4
s.1102.5 .....	6.1.4
s.2802 .....	37.6.2.2
(a) .....	37.6.2.2
Penal Code	
s.630 .....	11.3
s.632 .....	37.4
2010 California Transparency in Supply Chains Act .....	32.3.1
<b>Connecticut</b>	
General Statutes	
s.31-48d .....	37.4
s.52-570d .....	11.3, 37.4
<b>Delaware</b>	
Code	
Title 8: Corporations	
s.102(b)(7) .....	10.2.1
s.141(e) .....	10.2.1
s.145 .....	23.3.4
(a) .....	23.3.4
(c) .....	37.6.2.2
(e) .....	37.6.2.2
Title 19: Labor	
s.705 .....	37.4
s.709A(b) .....	11.3
General Corporation Law	
s.141(e) .....	10.2.1
<b>District of Columbia</b>	
Code	
s.23-542(b)(3) .....	11.3
<b>Florida</b>	
Statutes	
ss.934.01-934.03 .....	11.3, 37.4
<b>Illinois</b>	
Compiled Statutes	
Ch.720: Criminal Offenses	
s.5/14-1 .....	11.3, 37.4
s.5/14-2 .....	11.3, 37.4
Ch.820: Employment	
s.55/10(b)(1) .....	11.3
2008 Biometric Information Privacy Act (740 ILCS 14) .....	11.3
s.10 .....	11.3
<b>Iowa</b>	
Code	
s.715C.2 .....	31.2.2.2
<b>Maryland</b>	
Code	
Courts and Judicial Procedure	
s.10-402 .....	11.3, 37.4
Labor and Employment	
s.3-712(b)(1) .....	11.3
<b>Massachusetts</b>	
General Laws	
Ch.93A s.9 .....	23.3.5
Ch.93H .....	31.2.2.2
201 CMR 17.03 .....	31.2.2.2
Ch.272 s.99 .....	11.3, 37.4
<b>Michigan</b>	
Compiled Laws	
s.445.72 .....	31.2.2.2
<b>Montana</b>	
Code	
s.45-8-213 .....	11.3, 37.4
<b>Nevada</b>	
Revised Statutes	
s.200.620 .....	37.4
s.613.135 .....	11.3

Table of Legislation

**New Hampshire**

Revised Statutes  
 s.275:74 .....11.3  
 s.570-A:1 .....11.3  
 s.570-A:2 .....11.3, 37.4  
 2016 Laws Ch. 169 (H.B. 1353) .....11.3

**New Jersey**

Revised Statutes  
 s.2A:156A-4(d) .....11.3

**New York**

Business Corporation Law  
 s.722 .....23.3.4  
 s.724 .....37.6.2.2  
 Civil Rights Law  
 s.52-c .....37.4  
 Civil Service Law .....6.1.4  
 s.75-b .....6.1.4  
 Freedom of Information Law .....17.6  
 General Business Law  
 s.349 .....23.3.5  
 s.350-A .....23.3.5  
 s.352 .....17.2.1.2  
 s.899-BB .....31.2.2.2  
 Labor Law  
 s.740 .....6.1.4, 37.2  
 (2)–(3) .....6.1.4  
 s.741(2)–(3) .....6.1.4  
 Penal Law  
 s.250.00(1) .....11.3  
 Public Officers Law  
 Ch.47 art.6 .....23.4  
 ss.84–90 .....17.6

Southern District of New York Local  
 Criminal Rules  
 r.23.1 .....35.1.2

**Ohio**

Revised Code  
 s.2933.52(B)(4) .....11.3

**Oregon**

Revised Statutes  
 s.60.394 .....37.6.2.2

**Pennsylvania**

Consolidated Statutes  
 Title 18: Crimes and Offenses  
 s.5701 .....11.3  
 s.5702 .....37.4  
 s.5704 .....37.4

**Texas**

Penal Code  
 s.16.02(c)(4) .....11.3

**Washington**

Revised Code  
 Title 9: Crimes and Punishments  
 s.9.73.030 .....11.3  
 ss.9.73.030–9.73.230 .....37.4  
 Title 23B: Washington Business  
 Corporation Act  
 s.23B.08.520 .....37.6.2.2

**TABLE OF OTHER NATIONAL LEGISLATION**

**Austria**

1974 Labour Constitution Act  
 art.91 ..... 8.7  
 art.96 ..... 8.7  
 art.96a ..... 8.7

**Brazil**

2018 General Personal Data Protection Law  
 (Law 13,709/2018) ..... 8.7

**China**

Criminal Law  
 art.111 .....17.2.3.6  
 Law on Guarding State Secrets  
 art.8 .....17.2.3.6  
 Personal Information Protection Law  
 (Chairman’s Order No.91) ..... 8.7  
 2018 International Criminal Judicial  
 Assistance Law .....17.2.3.4



Table of Legislation

1966	Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (Washington Convention).....22.6.6	1996	Hong Kong-United States Extradition Treaty (T.I.A.S. 98-121) .....41.3.6
1970	Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Seizure of Aircraft art.8.....41.3.1	1996	Luxembourg-United States Extradition Treaty (T.I.A.S. 12804) art.2(1) .....41.3.4
1971	Canada-United States Extradition Treaty (27 U.S.T. 983).....41.3.4 art.6.....41.3.4 art.11(1) .....41.3.2	1996	Poland-United States Extradition Treaty (T.I.A.S. 99-917) art.4.....41.3.1
1976	Australia-United States Extradition Treaty (27 U.S.T. 957).....41.3.3	1997	Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Public Officials in International Business Transactions ..... 1.2.3, 20.2.1.1
1978	Additional Protocol to the 1959 European Convention on Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters .....40.2	1998	Austria-United States Extradition Treaty (T.I.A.S. 12916) art.2(6) .....41.3.4
1978	Germany-United States Extradition Treaty (32 U.S.T. 1485) art.7(1) .....41.3.1	1998	European Union-United States Mutual Legal Assistance Treaty (T.I.A.S. 12923).....41.4.3.2
1978	Second Additional Protocol to the 1957 European Convention on Extradition Ch.II art.2.....44.3.1	1998	Paraguay-United States Extradition Treaty (T.I.A.S. 12995) art.3 .....41.3.1
1983	Riyadh Arab Agreement for Judicial Co-operation .....44.2	1998	South Korea-United States Extradition Treaty (T.I.A.S. 12962) art.3 .....41.3.1
1988	Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances.....40.4.3 art.6.....41.3.1	2000	Belize-United States Extradition Treaty (T.I.A.S. 13089) art.3 .....41.3.1
1988	Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters ..17.2.3.5	2001	Peru-United States Extradition Treaty (T.I.A.S. 03-825) art.3 .....41.3.1
1990	Bahamas-United States Extradition Treaty (T.I.A.S. 94-922) .....41.3.4 art.2(4) .....41.3.4	2001	Second Additional Protocol to the 1959 European Convention on Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters ..... 40.2, 40.3.2
1994	Hungary-United States Extradition Treaty (T.I.A.S. 97-318) art.2(4) .....41.3.4	2003	United Kingdom-United States Extradition Treaty (T.I.A.S. 07-426) .....44.2 art.2.....41.3.4 (1) .....41.3.4 art.3 .....41.3.1 art.4(1)-(2).....41.3.1 art.5 .....41.3.4 art.6 .....41.3.4 art.7 .....41.3.4
1994	United Kingdom-United States Mutual Legal Assistance Treaty (T.I.A.S. 96-1202) art.19(2) .....41.6	2003	United Nations Convention Against Corruption .....17.2.3.2 art.46 .....17.2.3.2
1995	Jordan-United States Extradition Treaty (S. Treaty Doc. No.104-3) art.2(4) .....41.3.4	2007	Agreement for Handling Criminal Cases with Concurrent Jurisdiction between the United Kingdom and the United States .....20.2.2.2
1996	France-United States Extradition Treaty (T.I.A.S. 02-201) art.2(4) .....41.3.4 art.3(1) ..... 41.3.1, 41.3.3		

## Table of Legislation

<p>2011 Convention on Preventing and Combating Violence Against Women and Domestic Violence (Istanbul Convention).....44.5.1</p> <p>2016 Agreement between the European Union and the United States on the protection of personal information relating to the prevention, investigation, detection, and prosecution of criminal offences (EU-US Privacy Shield Agreement) ..... 11.1, 11.2.7, 41.5.2</p> <p>2019 Agreement between the United Kingdom and the United States on Access to Electronic Data for the Purpose of Countering Serious Crime (UK-US Bilateral Data Access Agreement) ..... 1.3.2, 11.4, 17.2.3.2, 27.6, 40.3.6          art.2(1) .....1.3.2          art.7 .....1.3.2              (1) .....1.3.2</p> <p>2019 Kosovo-United States Extradition Treaty (T.I.A.S. 19-613) .....41.3.6</p>	<p>2019 Serbia-United States Extradition Treaty (T.I.A.S. 19-423) .....41.3.6</p> <p>2020 Agreement on the Withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union and the European Atomic Energy Community .44.5.4          art.7 .....44.5.4          art.62(1)(b).....44.5.4          art.86 .....44.5.4          art.185 .....44.5.4</p> <p>2020 Albania-United States Extradition Treaty .....41.3.6</p> <p>2020 Trade and Co-operation Agreement between the European Union and the United Kingdom ..... 17.2.3.2, 40.2, 40.4, 44.2, 44.5.4          Pt 3.....44.2              Title V .....40.2              Title VII .... 40.4, 40.4.1, 44.5.4              Title XI.....27.6          art.LAW.SURR.77 .....44.5.4          art.LAW.SURR.83 .....44.5.4          art.524 .....40.3.3          art.661 .....40.3.2</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

## TABLE OF EUROPEAN LEGISLATION

### Treaties, Conventions and Agreements

<p>1957 Treaty establishing the European Economic Community (Treaty of Rome)          art.101 (ex art.81).....18.3.2.1          art.102 (ex art.82).....18.3.2.1</p> <p>1985 Agreement between the Benelux Economic Union, Germany and France on the gradual abolition of checks at their common borders (Schengen Agreement) .....1.2.4.2          art.54 .....1.2.3</p> <p>1990 Convention implementing the Schengen Agreement of 14 June 1985 between the Benelux Economic Union, Germany and France on the gradual abolition of checks at their common borders (Schengen Convention) [1990] OJ L239/19          art.54 ..... 1.2.1, 1.2.3</p>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<p>1992 Treaty on European Union (Maastricht Treaty).....44.4.1</p> <p>2000 Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union [2000] OJ C364/1 .....1.2.5          art.50 .....1.2.4.2</p>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

### Regulations

<p>1996 Reg.2271/96 protecting against the effects of the extra-territorial application of legislation adopted by a third country, and actions based thereon or resulting therefrom (Blocking Statute) [1996] OJ L309/1 ..29.2.2, 29.2.4.2          art.4 .....29.2.2          art.5 .....29.2.2          art.6 .....29.2.2</p> <p>2014 Reg.596/2014 on market abuse [2014] OJ L173/1 ..... 3.4.4, 15.2          art.7 .....2.2.2.3</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Table of Legislation

2016 Reg.2016/679 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (GDPR) [2016]  
 OJ L119/1.....3.4.2, 5.3.4.3, 8.7, 11.1, 11.2.3, 11.2.6, 11.2.7, 11.3, 11.4, 11.5, 11.7, 17.2.3.3, 31.1.3, 31.2.1.2, 31.4, 34.2.1, 34.3.1, 34.5.4, 41.5.2  
 art.5.....22.6.8  
 art.6.....22.6.8  
 art.9.....22.6.8  
 art.13.....22.6.8  
 art.23.....22.6.8  
 art.32.....22.6.8  
 art.48.....11.4  
 art.49..... 11.5, 41.5.2

2017 Reg.2017/1509 on restrictive measures against the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea [2017]  
 OJ L224/1.....29.2.1

2018 Reg.2018/1805 on the mutual recognition of freezing orders and confiscation orders [2018]  
 OJ L303/1.....27.6

2019 Reg.2019/2088 on sustainability related disclosures in the financial services sector [2019]  
 OJ L317/1..... 2.3

2020 Reg.2020/1998 on restrictive measures against serious human rights violations and abuses [2020]  
 OJ L410I/1 .....29.6.2

**Directives**

1995 Dir.95/46 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data [1995] OJ L281/31..... 8.7

2002 Dir.2002/58 on the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy in the electronic communications sector [2002]  
 OJ L201/37.....31.2.1.2

2014 Dir.2014/24 on public procurement [2014] OJ L94/65..... 20.2, 25.15

2014 Dir.2014/95 amending Dir.2013/34 as regards disclosure of non-financial and diversity information by certain large undertakings and groups [2014]  
 OJ L330/1.....5.2.7

2019 Dir.2019/1937 on the protection of persons who report breaches of Union law (EU Whistleblowing Directive) [2019]  
 OJ L305/17..... 2.2.1.1, 5.2.2, 11.6, 22.6.7

**Decisions**

2000 Dec.2000/365 on the request of the United Kingdom to take part in some of the provisions of the Schengen acquis [2000]  
 OJ L131/43.....1.2.3

2002 Dec.2002/584 on the European arrest warrant and the surrender procedures between Member States – Statements made by certain Member States on the adoption of the Framework Decision [2002]  
 OJ L190/1.....40.4, 40.4.1, 44.2, 44.4.1, 44.4.3, 44.4.4, 44.5.4  
 art.4(1) .....44.3.1  
 (2) .....44.3.1  
 (3) .....1.2.6

2003 Dec.2003/577 on the execution in the European Union of orders freezing property or evidence [2003]  
 OJ L196/45.....27.6

2006 Dec.2006/783 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to confiscation orders [2006]  
 OJ L328/59.....27.6

2009 Dec.2009/948 on prevention and settlement of conflicts of exercise of jurisdiction in criminal proceedings [2009]  
 OJ L328/42.....1.2.3

2016 Dec.2016/1250 pursuant to Dir.95/46 on the adequacy of the protection provided by the EU-US Privacy Shield (notified under document C(2016) 4176) [2016]  
 OJ L207/1.....11.2.7



# 42

## Individual Penalties and Third-Party Rights: The UK Perspective

Elizabeth Robertson, Vanessa McGoldrick and Jason Williamson<sup>1</sup>

### Individuals: criminal liability

42.1

The Serious Fraud Office (SFO) has agreed to a total of 12 deferred prosecution agreements (DPAs)<sup>2</sup> with corporates since their introduction in February 2014.<sup>3</sup> Terms of a DPA will likely require the company to co-operate on an ongoing basis, which may include co-operation in the prosecution of individuals. However, to date, the SFO has not been successful in prosecuting any

- 
- 1 Elizabeth Robertson is a partner, Vanessa McGoldrick is European counsel and Jason Williamson is an associate at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP.
  - 2 Deferred prosecution agreements (DPAs) are only available to corporate organisations.
  - 3 See *Standard Bank*, available at <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/2015/11/30/sfo-agrees-first-uk-DPA-with-standard-bank/>; *Sarclad Ltd*, available at <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/2016/07/08/sfo-secures-second-dpa/>; *Tesco*, available at <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/2017/04/10/sfo-agrees-deferred-prosecution-agreement-with-tesco/>; *Rolls-Royce*, available at <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/cases/rolls-royce-plc/>; *Serco Geografix*, available at <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/2019/07/04/sfo-completes-dpa-with-serco-geografix-ltd/>; *Güralp Systems Ltd*, available at <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/2019/12/20/three-individuals-acquitted-as-sfo-confirms-dpa-with-guralp-systems-ltd/>; *Airbus SE*, available at <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/2020/01/31/sfo-enters-into-e991m-deferred-prosecution-agreement-with-airbus-as-part-of-a-e3-6bn-global-resolution/>; *G4S Care & Justice Services (UK) Limited*, available at <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/2020/07/17/sfo-receives-final-approval-for-dpa-with-g4s-care-justice-services-uk-ltd/> (accessed 13 November 2020); *Airline Services Ltd*, available at <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/download/airline-services-limited-deferred-prosecution-agreement/>; *Amec Foster Wheeler Energy Limited*, available at <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/2021/07/02/sfo-enters-into-103m-dpa-with-amec-foster-wheeler-energy-limited-as-part-of-global-resolution-with-us-and-brazilian-authorities/>; and separate DPAs with two unnamed companies for Bribery Act offences, available at <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/2021/07/20/sfo-secures-two-dpas-with-companies-for-bribery-act-offences/>.

individual involved in the conduct related to a DPA and has dropped a number of its larger investigations into individuals. Two individuals, who had been charged in relation to conduct connected to the *Serco Geografix* DPA, were acquitted following evidence-disclosure errors by the SFO.<sup>4</sup>

Nevertheless, in April 2022, the SFO published its Strategic Plan, which reiterated its commitment to securing at least a 60 per cent conviction rate in its prosecution of defendants – including individuals.<sup>5</sup> Some of the most recent DPAs confirm that the SFO remains focused on pursuing individuals involved in corporate misconduct. For example, six months after announcing the *Airbus* DPA, the SFO charged Airbus subsidiary GPT Special Project Management Limited and three individuals with corruption offences between 2007 and 2012 in relation to a £2 billion contract for the Saudi military. Although the SFO has since abandoned the prosecution of one individual, the trial against GPT's former managing director and financial officer is due to commence in January 2023.<sup>6</sup> Elsewhere, two months after announcing the *G4S* DPA, the SFO charged three former G4S executives with multiple fraud offences in connection with a scheme to defraud the Ministry of Justice between 2009 and 2012.<sup>7</sup> That trial is also due to commence in January 2023.<sup>8</sup>

SFO guidance also indicates that the agency will continue to focus on the prosecution of individuals, while protecting the rights of third parties. The SFO's August 2019 Corporate Co-operation Guidance, which forms part of its Operational Handbook, outlines what the SFO expects of corporates seeking to gain co-operation credit when providing information in respect of individuals. On 23 October 2020, the SFO updated its Operational Handbook, publishing a new chapter on DPAs. The guidance emphasises the protection of the identity of individuals connected to the company entering the DPA, noting that consideration must be given to the 'necessity for and impact of the identities of third parties being published'. The SFO also notes that consideration should be given as to whether identifying a third party would comply with the Data Protection Act 2018 and the European Convention on Human Rights. Although the new guidance does not prohibit naming individuals in a DPA, it is a change to the DPA Code of Practice, which stood silent on the matter.

Despite the SFO's commitment to securing increased convictions against individual defendants, the agency has encountered recent setbacks – albeit unconnected to a DPA. Between December 2021 and July 2022, the convictions of two former Unaoil executives and a former SBM Offshore executive were overturned by the Court of Appeal, primarily on the basis that the SFO

---

4 <https://www.ft.com/content/769c8883-fdee-4251-a4c8-993b510a1b1b>.

5 <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/download/strategic-plan-2022-25/>, last accessed 21 November 2022.

6 <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/cases/gpt-special-project-management-ltd/>, 4 May 2022, last accessed 21 November 2022; <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/court-calendar/>.

7 <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/2020/09/08/sfo-charges-three-former-g4s-executives-with-fraud-against-taxpayer/>, 8 September 2020, last accessed 21 November 2022.

8 <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/court-calendar/>.

had failed in its disclosure obligations. The convictions were originally obtained in connection with a conspiracy to make corrupt payments to secure lucrative oil contracts in Iraq.<sup>9</sup> In July 2021, a report into the SFO's handling of the *Unaoil* case found that there had been 'fundamental failures' by the SFO, including in connection with the agency's resourcing, culture and decision-making.<sup>10</sup>

Potential reform of corporate criminal liability in England and Wales could lead to an increase in the prosecutions against individuals involved in corporate wrongdoing. In 2019, the acquittal of a former chief executive of Barclays by the Court of Appeal on the basis that he was not the directing mind of the bank<sup>11</sup> contributed to the renewed debate regarding the scope of corporate criminal liability in England and Wales. In June 2021, the Law Commission launched a consultation on corporate criminal liability,<sup>12</sup> which led to the Commission publishing an options paper in June 2022 outlining the possibility of expanding the 'failure to prevent' corporate criminal offences to fraud.<sup>13</sup> The Law Commission envisaged that the offence could apply where an associated person (who might be an employee or agent) commits an offence of fraud with intent to benefit the corporation, or to benefit another person to whom they provide services on behalf of the corporation.<sup>14</sup>

While DPAs in the United Kingdom are not available for individuals – and there is no indication that they will be any time soon – there is an increasing emphasis on incentivising individuals to enter an early plea. Section 73 of the Sentencing Act 2020 contains the statutory authority that compels the courts to consider a reduction in the sentence of an offender who has pleaded guilty to an offence. Subsection 2 obliges the court to take into account the stage in the proceedings at which the offender indicated an intention to plead guilty; and the circumstances in which this indication was given. The Reduction in Sentence for a Guilty Plea: Definitive Guideline guides the courts in establishing an

---

9 Ziad Akle, a former Unaoil Group manager, had his conviction overturned in December 2021 (*R v. Akle (Ziad)* [2021] EWCA Crim 1879), Paul Bond, a former SBM Offshore senior sales manager, had his conviction overturned in March 2022 (*R v. Bond (Paul)* [2022] EWCA Crim 427), and Stephen Whiteley, a former Unaoil territory manager, had his conviction overturned in July 2022 (*R v. Whiteley (Stephen)* [2022] EWCA Crim 1143).

10 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/1092872/DCS\\_report\\_-\\_FINAL\\_-\\_21\\_July\\_08.31\\_.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1092872/DCS_report_-_FINAL_-_21_July_08.31_.pdf), 21 July 2022, last accessed 21 November 2022.

11 *R v. Varley and others* [2019] EWCA Crim 1074.

12 <https://www.lawcom.gov.uk/law-commission-seek-views-on-corporate-criminal-liability/>.

13 As per the Law Commission's option paper, the fraud offences would include fraud by false representation, obtaining services dishonestly, the common law offence of cheating the public revenue, false accounting, fraudulent trading, dishonest representation for obtaining benefits, and fraudulent evasion of excise duty.

14 [https://s3-eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/lawcom-prod-storage-11jxou24uy7q/uploads/2022/06/Corporate-Criminal-Liability-Options-Paper\\_LC.pdf](https://s3-eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/lawcom-prod-storage-11jxou24uy7q/uploads/2022/06/Corporate-Criminal-Liability-Options-Paper_LC.pdf), 10 June 2022, last accessed 21 November 2022.

appropriate level of reduction for offenders.<sup>15</sup> Unless, on the facts, there is a sufficiently good reason for a lower amount, there is a presumption that for each of the following categories, the recommended reduction will be given. If the offender pleads guilty, the sentence should be reduced as follows:

- at the first stage of proceedings, by a maximum of one-third;
- after the first stage, by a maximum of a one-quarter; or
- after the trial has begun, by a maximum of one-tenth.<sup>16</sup>

The Sentencing Act 2020 also contains several provisions that can benefit an offender who assists in the investigation or prosecution of a crime. For example, if an offender provides or offers assistance in the investigation or prosecution of others, the court in return may reduce the offender's sentence.<sup>17</sup>

### 42.1.1 Imprisonment

The maximum sentence for an individual convicted on indictment of an offence by virtue of section 1, 2 or 6 of the Bribery Act 2010 is 10 years' imprisonment.<sup>18</sup> Furthermore, where a corporate commits an offence under section 1, 2 or 6, if the offence is proved to have been committed with the consent or connivance of a senior officer (or person purporting to act in such a capacity), that officer or person can also be punished.<sup>19</sup> An individual tried and convicted summarily of any of the aforementioned offences is liable to a maximum prison sentence of 12 months. An individual convicted following summary trial will (if the offence merits more severe sanction) be committed to the Crown Court for sentence.<sup>20</sup>

---

15 The Reduction in Sentence for a Guilty Plea: Definitive Guideline applies regardless of when the offence was committed, but where the first hearing was held on or after 1 June 2017. Prior to this, the Sentencing Guidelines Council guideline applies.

16 Where the guilty plea is entered during the trial, the reduction should normally be decreased further, even to zero.

17 Sentencing Act 2020, s.74. See also *ibid.*, s.388: A defendant, already serving a prison sentence, who provides or offers assistance in this regard could also benefit by having a sentence reviewed.

18 See Bribery Act 2010, s.11. An individual cannot be convicted of an offence under s.7 of the Bribery Act because the offence refers only to a 'commercial organisation' for which the only sentence available is an unlimited fine.

19 See *ibid.*, s.14.

20 The maximum sentence for individuals under the Bribery Act 2010 is identical for any of the fraud offences both at common law and under the Fraud Act 2006 but is far greater (up to a maximum of 14 years' imprisonment) for any of the substantive money laundering offences pursuant to the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (POCA), ss.327 to 329. Furthermore, an individual convicted of the tipping-off offence under POCA, s.333A is liable to a maximum of two years' imprisonment or a fine, or both.

In cases of financial crime, it is rare for defendants to be charged with only one count, and in the most serious cases, a judge can order the sentences for each individual count of which a defendant has been convicted to run consecutively.

Whether a judge perceives a concurrent or consecutive sentence as appropriate on the facts will be decided by reference to the same factors that judges tend to consider when deciding on the severity of a sentence, such as whether the defendant has any previous convictions, the magnitude of the offence<sup>21</sup> or where it can be established that the defendant failed to respond to warnings about his or her behaviour.

Despite the continued prominence of financial crime cases in the media and the apparent fervour of prosecutors and courts to ensure that convicted individuals receive long custodial sentences, suspended sentences may well be considered appropriate in some cases. In *R v. Dougall*,<sup>22</sup> an employee heading a company's corrupt Greek practice who pleaded guilty to conspiracy to corrupt, and who was a co-operating defendant under section 73 of the Serious Organised Crime and Police Act 2005,<sup>23</sup> had his 12-month custodial sentence suspended on appeal.<sup>24</sup> This case also demonstrates the risks individuals face when conduct spans multiple jurisdictions and no settlement or amount of co-operation provides an absolute guarantee against further proceedings being pursued in any jurisdiction.

The Attorney General's Guidelines on Plea Discussions in Cases of Serious or Complex Fraud (Attorney General's Guidelines) set out a process by which a prosecutor may discuss an allegation of serious or complex fraud with a suspect.<sup>25</sup> The implementation of the Attorney General's Guidelines, with the support of the judiciary and prosecuting authorities, has garnered a quasi-plea discussion system that can be advantageous to defendants. Although the Attorney General's Guidelines do not make any provision for a defendant to receive a greater discount on the sentence than is available for simply entering a guilty plea (as set out above), in a case brought by the FCA's predecessor, the Financial

See Chapter 40  
on individuals  
in cross-border  
proceedings

See Chapter 20  
on negotiating  
global settlements

21 In the Fraud, Bribery and Money Laundering Offences Definitive Guideline, it expressly states that: 'Consecutive sentences for multiple offences may be appropriate where large sums are involved.' <https://www.sentencingcouncil.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/Fraud-Bribery-and-Money-Laundering-offences-definitive-guideline-Web.pdf>, at p. 10, last accessed 21 November 2022.

22 [2010] EWCA Crim 1048.

23 Since 1 December 2020, sentence reductions for co-operating defendants are governed by the Sentencing Act 2020, s.74. Such reductions previously fell under the Serious Organised Crime and Police Act 2005 (SOCPA), s.73.

24 The Court of Appeal held that 'where the appropriate sentence for a defendant whose level of criminality, and features of mitigation, combined with a guilty plea, and full co-operation with the authorities investigating a major crime involving fraud or corruption, with all the consequent burdens of complying with his part of the SOCPA agreement, would be 12 months' imprisonment or less, the argument that the sentence should be suspended is very powerful'. [2010] EWCA Crim 1048, at para. 36.

25 The Attorney General's Guidelines came into force on 5 May 2009.

Services Authority (FSA),<sup>26</sup> against Paul Milsom, a senior equities trader, for disclosing inside information between October 2008 and March 2010, HHJ Pegden QC indicated, in passing sentence on 18 March 2013 at Southwark Crown Court, that he had given Mr Milsom full credit for pleading guilty at the earliest opportunity (i.e., a discount of one-third) and extra credit for entering into a plea agreement with the FSA.<sup>27</sup> The sentencing remarks of His Honour Judge Pegden QC convey the ‘clearest articulation to date that an individual can reasonably expect to receive in excess of one third discount on sentence in circumstances where he enters into early plea discussions with a prosecutor’.<sup>28</sup>

### 42.1.2 Fines

Fines for individual perpetrators of financial crime can be unlimited and are handed down either separately or in conjunction with a custodial sentence. Sections 124 to 126 of the Sentencing Act 2020 regulate the fixing of fines in criminal cases. Those sections require that any fine imposed must reflect the seriousness of the offence and require the court to take into account the financial circumstances of the offender.

### 42.1.3 Unexplained wealth orders

The Criminal Finances Act 2017 (CFA 2017) came into force on 30 September 2017 and created a new High Court power to make an unexplained wealth order (UWO), which can require a person who is suspected of involvement in, or association with, serious criminality or who is a politically exposed person (PEP) to explain the origin of assets that appear to be disproportionate to their known income.<sup>29</sup> Recent changes to the UWO regime mean that, where the respondent to the order is a corporate, a UWO can designate a ‘responsible officer’ (i.e., a director, manager or partner), in or outside the United Kingdom to comply with the UWO.<sup>30</sup> A failure to provide a response will give rise to a presumption that the property is recoverable, in order to assist any subsequent civil recovery action. UWOs are intended to alleviate the burden on enforcement authorities and come with wide-ranging powers to gather evidence in other jurisdictions and potentially support parallel enforcement actions.

The powers to make UWOs under the CFA 2017 commenced on 31 January 2018, and they enable a number of regulators and enforcement

---

26 As of 3 April 2013, the FSA became two separate regulatory bodies – the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA).

27 <https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130402175500/http://www.fsa.gov.uk/library/communication/pr/2013/022.shtml>, last accessed 21 November 2022.

28 Chris Dyke, *The Benefits of Early Plea Discussions*, <https://www.corkerbinning.com/corker-binning-solicitor-writes-about-the-benefits-of-early-plea-discussions-in-crimeline/>, last accessed 21 November 2022.

29 Under the Criminal Finances Act 2017, Part 1 ss.1–9, which amends POCA s.362.

30 s.45 Economic Crime (Transparency and Enforcement) Act 2022.

agencies, namely the SFO, the National Crime Agency (NCA), HM Revenue and Customs, the FCA and the Director of Public Prosecutions, to apply to the England and Wales High Court for a UWO, regardless of whether civil or criminal proceedings have been initiated against the respondent to the order or of where the respondent is located. It was initially expected that UWOs would be widely used by regulators and enforcement agencies to combat financial crime. The Home Office's impact assessment regarding the CFA 2017 noted that the UWO regime would 'enable more effective law enforcement of identifying and seizing the proceeds of crime'.<sup>31</sup> However, UWOs have seldom been used, with the NCA obtaining just nine<sup>32</sup> UWOs in connection with four cases since the CFA 2017 came into force. The NCA is the only enforcement agency to have obtained UWOs to date and none has been issued since 2019.<sup>33</sup> The House of Commons Foreign Affairs Committee recently described the regime as 'spectacularly unsuccessful',<sup>34</sup> pointing to the limited number of UWOs obtained, as well as the significant legal costs that followed a successful challenge against three in 2019.<sup>35</sup>

In contrast, the use of account freezing orders (AFOs), another tool introduced by the CFA 2017, has proved far more effective in tackling financial crime, particularly because of the comparative ease with which they can be obtained. AFOs allow enforcement agencies to freeze bank accounts to investigate the provenance of the funds they hold, provided that the enforcement agency has reasonable grounds to suspect that the funds have been obtained through unlawful conduct or are intended to be used for unlawful conduct. The NCA has made extensive use of this tool. On 14 August 2019, it announced that AFOs had been obtained at Westminster Magistrates' Court over eight bank accounts holding more than £100 million.<sup>36</sup> AFOs may also be followed by an account forfeiture order providing enforcement agencies with civil recovery powers to recover property in cases where there has been no conviction but

---

31 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/621192/Impact\\_Assessment\\_-\\_CF\\_Act\\_Overarching.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/621192/Impact_Assessment_-_CF_Act_Overarching.pdf), last accessed 21 November 2022.

32 A House of Commons Library Research Briefing on Unexplained Wealth Orders (UWOs), published on 14 April 2022, noted that, as of February 2022, the National Crime Agency (NCA) had obtained nine UWOs relating to four cases. In April 2020, three UWOs obtained by the NCA were discharged by the High Court in *National Crime Agency v. Baker & Ors* [2020] EWHC 822 (Admin). Recognising the impact the judgment would have in subsequent UWO applications, the NCA immediately sought to appeal the decision, but the Court of Appeal refused the application.

33 As of August 2022 and according to publicly available information, only the NCA has applied for UWOs.

34 <https://committees.parliament.uk/publications/22862/documents/167820/default/>, 14 June 2022, last accessed 21 November 2022.

35 *National Crime Agency v. Baker & Ors* [2020] EWHC 822 (Admin).

36 <https://www.nationalcrimeagency.gov.uk/news/100m-account-freezing-orders-are-largest-granted-to-nca>, last accessed, 21 November 2022.

where it can be shown, on the balance of probabilities, that property has been obtained by, or is intended to be used for, unlawful conduct.<sup>37</sup>

To obtain a UWO, there must be reasonable cause to believe that the respondent holds the property and that the value of the property is greater than £50,000. There must also be reasonable grounds for suspecting that the known sources of the respondent's lawfully obtained income would have been insufficient for the purposes of enabling the respondent to obtain the property, or that the property has been obtained through 'unlawful conduct' within the definition in section 242 of the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (POCA).<sup>38</sup> Respondents must also either be (1) a PEP or (2) someone for whom there are reasonable grounds for suspecting that they have been involved in serious crime. Under the CFA 2017, a person is considered to be involved in serious crime in the United Kingdom or another jurisdiction if the person would be so involved for the purposes of Part 1 of the Serious Crime Act 2007.<sup>39</sup>

The first UWO, obtained in February 2018, was made against two properties valued at approximately £22 million, connected to Mrs Zamira Hajiyeva, the wife of Mr Jahangir Hajiyev, a former banker imprisoned for fraud and embezzlement in Azerbaijan. Mrs Hajiyeva challenged the orders in the High Court on a number of grounds, including that her husband had been incorrectly classified as a PEP. However, the court dismissed these challenges, finding that Mr Hajiyev was a PEP from a non-European Economic Area country against whom a UWO could be granted, and that as his wife, Mrs Hajiyeva was herself also a PEP.<sup>40</sup> Having been unsuccessful in the High Court, Mrs Hajiyeva applied to the Court of Appeal on the basis that the court had, *inter alia*, erred in relying on Mr Hajiyev's conviction in Azerbaijan for fraud and corruption offences as evidence that his lawful income was insufficient to purchase the properties.<sup>41</sup> The Court of Appeal dismissed the appeal, agreeing with the High Court's reasoning. The consistent approach taken by both the High Court and the Court of Appeal demonstrates that a respondent seeking to discharge a

---

37 This is a lower threshold than that required for restraint proceedings under the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (POCA), where a criminal investigation or proceedings must have been commenced.

38 Economic Crime (Transparency and Enforcement) Act 2022, s.47.

39 This widens the category of potential respondents significantly to include persons who (1) have committed a serious offence, (2) have facilitated the commission of an offence or (3) conducted themselves in a way that was likely to facilitate the commission by themselves – or another person – of a serious offence, whether or not the offence was committed. The Criminal Finances Act 2017 (CFA 2017) widens the category of respondents even further to include anyone who is connected with a person who is or has been involved in serious crime, whether in the United Kingdom or in another jurisdiction.

40 *National Crime Agency v. Mrs A* [2018] EWHC 2534 (Admin).

41 *National Crime Agency v. Hajiyeva* [2020] EWCA Civ 108. It was also submitted that the High Court had erred in applying the statutory test to identify a politically exposed person and that the UWO itself conflicted with the rules against spousal privilege and self-incrimination.



UWO on the basis that the ‘income test’ is not satisfied will have to demonstrate evidence of lawful income sufficient to have purchased the property in question.

The most recent UWO against a respondent considered to be involved in serious crime was obtained on 24 July 2019 against six properties worth around £3.2 million in total belonging to a woman believed to be associated with criminals involved in paramilitary activity and cigarette smuggling.<sup>42</sup>

Interim freezing orders can also be granted by the High Court with each UWO, under section 362J of POCA, meaning that the assets subject to the UWOs cannot be sold, transferred or dissipated for the duration of the order.

Respondents are required by a UWO to provide certain information about the specified property, including the nature and extent of the respondent’s interest, how it was obtained and any other information specified in the order. Aside from contempt of court proceedings, the failure to respond to a UWO creates a presumption that the property is recoverable in civil proceedings, which reduces the burden imposed on enforcement authorities under the current POCA regime, to prove that property derives from criminal conduct or constitutes the proceeds of crime. Section 362S of POCA provides that when a UWO is issued, where the enforcement authority believes that the property is outside the United Kingdom, it may send a request for assistance in relation to the property to the Secretary of State, who in turn may forward the request to the government of the receiving country.

The Economic Crime (Transparency and Enforcement) Act 2022 recently introduced significant reforms to the UWO regime in an attempt to combat some of these concerns. In particular, enforcement agencies now have up to 186 days to review material received in response to a UWO before the underlying interim freezing order is discharged,<sup>43</sup> and they are also protected from unlimited liability to pay costs in legal proceedings related to UWOs.<sup>44</sup>

## Confiscation orders

### 42.1.4

It is becoming more common for courts to address the confiscation of the assets of a convicted individual, especially when the court is satisfied that the defendant was said to be living a ‘criminal lifestyle’.<sup>45</sup> Furthermore, Step 6 of the Sentencing Council Guidelines on Fraud, Bribery and Money Laundering Offences states that the court must proceed with a view to making a confiscation

<sup>42</sup> <https://www.nationalcrimeagency.gov.uk/news/nca-secures-unexplained-wealth-order-against-properties-owned-by-a-northern-irish-woman>, last accessed 21 November 2022.

<sup>43</sup> Economic Crime (Transparency and Enforcement) Act 2022, s.49.

<sup>44</sup> Economic Crime (Transparency and Enforcement) Act 2022, s.52.

<sup>45</sup> Pursuant to POCA, s.75, ‘(1) a defendant has a criminal lifestyle if (and only if) the following condition is satisfied. (2) The condition is that the offence (or any of the offences) concerned satisfies any of these tests – (a) it is specified in Schedule 2; (b) it constitutes conduct forming part of a course of criminal activity; or (c) it is an offence committed over a period of at least six months and the defendant has benefited from the conduct which constitutes the offence’.

order if it is asked to do so by the prosecutor or if the court believes it is appropriate to do so.<sup>46</sup> The FCA secured £1.9 million in confiscation orders on 11 May 2018, as part of Operation Tabernula,<sup>47</sup> and £2.2 million in confiscation orders in May 2017, as part of Operation Cotton.<sup>48</sup>

Confiscation orders, which are debts to the Crown, are available only after a defendant has been convicted. Where a confiscation order is not paid, the defendant will serve a period of imprisonment in default. This mechanism is highlighted in the case of Jolan Saunders, whose default prison sentence of 3,236 days (just under nine years) was activated in August 2021 after he failed to pay a confiscation order of £5,262,301.03, despite the SFO showing evidence of £4.5 million in hidden assets emanating from his fraud.<sup>49</sup> In May 2022, the default prison sentence of Spencer Steinberg, Jolan Saunders's co-conspirator, was activated after he too failed to pay his confiscation order. Steinberg was committed to prison for 2,420 days.<sup>50</sup>

The Supreme Court also recently clarified the position regarding the reduction of default sentences for partial repayment of sums ordered under a confiscation order. In *R (on the application of Gibson) v. Secretary of State for Justice*,<sup>51</sup> the Supreme Court held that the calculation of reductions in default terms should not take into consideration accrued interest. The ruling confirms that there is a continued incentive for individuals subject to confiscation orders to continue making contributions even after a default sentence has been triggered.

Confiscation orders derive from section 6 of POCA and are intended to deprive the defendant of the benefit of any proceeds of his or her crimes; they are not, however, intended to act as a fine or further punishment. They do not always involve the sequestration of the defendant's personal property. Instead, they usually entail the payment of a sum of money: 'Where, however, a criminal has benefited financially from crime but no longer possesses the specific fruits of his crime, he will be deprived of assets of equivalent value, if he has them.'<sup>52</sup> In accordance with the decision in *R v. Waya*,<sup>53</sup> prosecutors should ensure that

46 <https://www.sentencingcouncil.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/Fraud-Bribery-and-Money-Laundering-offences-definitive-guideline-Web.pdf>, last accessed 21 November 2022.

47 <https://www.fca.org.uk/news/press-releases/fca-secures-1-6m-confiscation-order-against-richard-baldwin>, last accessed 21 November 2022.

48 <https://www.fca.org.uk/news/press-releases/fca-secures-confiscation-orders-totalling-1-69-million-against-convicted-insider-dealers>, last accessed 21 November 2022; <https://www.fca.org.uk/news/press-releases/fca-secures-eight-confiscation-orders-totalling-almost-22-million>, last accessed 21 November 2022.

49 <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/2021/08/02/london-fraudster-jailed-for-failing-to-pay-confiscation-order/>, last accessed 21 November 2022.

50 <https://www.sfo.gov.uk/2022/05/27/second-fraudster-jailed-over-fake-investment-scheme/>, last accessed 21 November 2022.

51 [2018] UKSC 2.

52 *R v. May* [2008] UKHL 28, at para. 9.

53 [2012] UKSC 51.

the confiscation is proportionate, which entails an assessment of the ability of the defendant to pay the order in full.

The Attorney General's Guidelines provide no framework to regulate the discussions and agreement of confiscation orders as part of plea discussions. Should the prosecution and the defendant reach any form of agreement in relation to a confiscation order, that agreement would not bind a court. In Paul Milsom's case, however, the judge agreed to make a confiscation order at the sentencing hearing in the value of his personal benefit from his offending, which had been agreed between the prosecution and the defence within the basis of the plea and joint sentencing submission. This suggests that prosecutors could be willing to negotiate the terms of a confiscation order as part of a plea negotiation, and that the courts may be willing to accept the joint submission that 'provid[es] a defendant with greater certainty and control over his financial liabilities'.<sup>54</sup>

The burden of proof in criminal confiscation orders rests with the defendant, who must show, on the balance of probabilities, that his or her assets are not derived from criminal conduct.

Where it is reasonably foreseeable that a court will make a confiscation order, the prosecution may take steps in the High Court to ensure that the defendant's assets will remain available to meet the terms of the order. Such steps include, *inter alia*, an order requiring the defendant to disclose where assets are kept, an order appointing a receiver and an order restraining assets.<sup>55</sup>

### Compensation orders

### 42.1.5

Like a confiscation order, a compensation order is an ancillary court order and is designed to compensate a victim for personal injury or any loss or damage that may have resulted from the offence committed by the defendant and is made in addition, or instead of, other sentencing options under section 130 of the Powers of Criminal Courts (Sentencing) Act 2000.

In both a magistrates' court<sup>56</sup> and the Crown Court, the amount that can be awarded as compensation is now unlimited but is restricted to an amount that can feasibly be paid by the defendant. The court must have regard to the evidence of the defendant's financial means when deciding the level of compensation to award the victim and must prioritise the payment of compensation over any other financial penalty.

54 Chris Dyke, 'The Benefits of Early Plea Discussions', <https://www.corkerbinning.com/corker-binning-solicitor-writes-about-the-benefits-of-early-plea-discussions-in-crimeline/>, (last accessed 21 November 2022).

55 See Senior Courts Act 1981, s.37(1).

56 Before 12 March 2015, the amount that a magistrate could award as part of a compensation order was £5,000, but, under the Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act 2012, s.85, this limit has been removed.

### 42.1.6 Disqualification orders

Directors of companies are fiduciaries and there is consequently a high level of probity expected of them by the law. It is therefore expected that '[t]hose who are involved in bribery, whether as individuals or as part of their role as directors, are very likely to be disqualified from acting as a director for a lengthy period of time'.<sup>57</sup>

See Chapters 25 on fines, disgorgement, etc. and 9 on directors' duties

Directors disqualification orders (DDOs) are designed to help protect creditors and the public from those individuals who may act dishonestly and can bar a person from acting as a director of any UK company for up to 15 years. DDOs can be made where the defendant director of a company has been convicted of an indictable offence which, by virtue of the decision in *R v. Creggy*,<sup>58</sup> must have some relevant factual connection with the management of the company.

### 42.1.7 Costs

As in all criminal cases, cost orders are usually made against a convicted defendant, who will be required to pay the prosecution's costs as well as any court fees that materialise during the criminal proceedings.<sup>59</sup>

## 42.2 Individuals: regulatory liability

The FCA has continued the FSA's legacy of adopting a robust enforcement stance, underpinned by its 'credible deterrence' strategy. In furtherance of its policy of 'credible deterrence', the FSA had signalled a willingness to pursue criminal actions through the courts and to seek custodial sentences. For the FCA, the pursuit of criminal prosecutions, where appropriate, remains high on its agenda, particularly for market misconduct offences. This is supported by the FCA's Annual Business Plan 2022/23, which illustrates that tackling financial crime, including fraud, remains a priority. The UK government's Economic Crime Plan 2019-22 also lists strengthening the capabilities of law enforcement as one of its strategic priorities, 'to detect, deter and disrupt economic crime'.<sup>60</sup> The FCA has introduced further changes to the Senior Managers and Certification Regime, which came into force on 9 December 2019, extending

57 Eoin O'Shea, *The Bribery Act 2010, A Practical Guide*, Jordans, at p. 238.

58 [2008] EWCA Crim 394.

59 The legislative authority enabling a court to award costs in criminal proceedings is primarily contained in Part II of the Prosecution of Offences Act 1985 (ss.16 to 21); the Access to Justice Act 1999; the Legal Aid, Sentencing and Punishment of Offenders Act 2012 (in relation to funded clients); and in regulations that have since been made pursuant to these statutes, including the Costs in Criminal Cases (General) Regulations 1986, as amended.

60 [https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/816215/2019-22\\_Economic\\_Crime\\_Plan.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/816215/2019-22_Economic_Crime_Plan.pdf), last accessed 21 November 2022.

the regime to FCA solo-regulated firms<sup>61</sup> to make senior managers more responsible and accountable for their actions.<sup>62</sup>

See Chapters 25  
on fines,  
disgorgement, etc.  
and 9 on  
directors' duties

Under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (FSMA) as amended by the Financial Services Act 2012, the FCA has many tools at its disposal to punish non-criminal offences and breaches. This includes the issuing of public censures or statements, and imposing unlimited financial penalties. A number of other sanctions are available to the FCA.<sup>63</sup>

Chapter 6 of the FCA's Decision Procedure and Penalties manual (DEPP) contains the FCA's statement of policy in relation to the imposition and amount of penalties under the FSMA.<sup>64</sup> DEPP 6A sets out its policy in relation to imposing suspensions or restrictions on firms and on approved persons. Chapter 7 of the FCA's Enforcement Guide sets out specific guidance on the FCA's powers in relation to financial penalties and public censures. Further, in April 2017, the FCA published an Enforcement Information Guide, which should be read in conjunction with DEPP and the Enforcement Guide.

See Chapter 25  
on fines,  
disgorgement, etc.

### Other issues: UK third-party rights

**42.3**

Section 393 of the FSMA gives third parties certain rights in relation to warning and decision notices given to another person in respect of whom the FCA is taking regulatory action. Where a warning notice has been given, section 393(1) provides that a third party prejudicially identified in the notice must be given a copy and a reasonable period to make representations on it.<sup>65</sup> No equivalent regime exists in the criminal sphere, where the DPA process (which involves the agreed statement of facts detailing the conduct of individuals) enables individuals to respond prior to the DPA being entered into.

Section 393(4) gives third-party rights in relation to a decision notice. It provides that a third party prejudicially identified in the notice must be given

61 'Solo-regulated firms' are firms that are regulated exclusively by the FCA rather than dually regulated by the FCA and Prudential Regulatory Authority. The regime commenced for benchmark administrators on 7 December 2020 to allow the FCA to carry out a dedicated consultation for benchmark administrators before making final rules for the sector.

62 <https://www.fca.org.uk/firms/senior-managers-certification-regime/banking>, last accessed 21 November 2022; <https://www.fca.org.uk/publication/policy/ps18-14.pdf>, last accessed 21 November 2022.

63 Other sanctions available to the FCA include varying or cancelling a firm's permission under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (FSMA), Part 4A; intervening against an incoming European Economic Area or EU Treaty firm; suspending or restricting a firm's Part 4A permissions; suspending or restricting the approval given to an approved person; prohibiting an individual from performing regulated functions; withdrawing the approval of an approved person; imposing a penalty on a person who has performed a controlled function without approval; and issuing a private warning.

64 In July 2022, the FCA issued a new version of the FCA's Decision Procedure and Penalties manual.

65 Unless he or she has been given a separate warning notice in relation to the same matter.

a copy of it. Section 393(11) provides that a person who alleges that a copy of the notice should have been given to him or her may refer that alleged failure to the Upper Tribunal.<sup>66</sup>

The scope of the rights conferred by section 393(4) was reconsidered in *Macris v. FCA*.<sup>67</sup> On 22 March 2017, the majority of the Supreme Court stated that someone is identified in a notice if 'he is identified by name or by a synonym for him, such as his office or job title'. Such a synonym would need to be 'apparent from the notice itself that it could apply to only one person and that person must be identifiable from information which is either in the notice or publicly available elsewhere'. Information from other sources can only be used to interpret the language of the FCA's notice, rather than to supplement it, and must be easily ascertainable.

---

<sup>66</sup> In April 2010, the Financial Services and Market Tribunal, established by the FSMA, s.132 as an independent judicial body to hear decision notices issued by the FSA, was abolished and its functions transferred to the Upper Tribunal.

<sup>67</sup> [2015] EWCA Civ 490; [2017] UKSC 19.

# Appendix 1

## About the Authors of Volume I

### **Elizabeth Robertson**

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP

Elizabeth Robertson is a partner in Skadden's government enforcement and white-collar crime group in London. She advises on multi-jurisdictional business crime and regulatory matters around the world. Ms Robertson has played a role in many of the most important criminal and regulatory investigations in the United Kingdom over the past 20 years, giving her significant understanding of the priorities of the UK prosecuting authorities such as the SFO, the FCA, HMRC and the Competition and Markets Authority. She has particular experience in corruption, money laundering, economic sanctions and criminal tax cases. Ms Robertson has also advised on criminal cartel matters, and regularly assists with governance and compliance issues as well as proceedings brought by professional and regulatory bodies. She has successfully defended clients on enforcement actions brought by multiple agencies and responded to coordinated dawn raids in the UK and overseas. Ms Robertson also has experience in ancillary matters such as extradition and mutual legal assistance and applying to Interpol for access to information or for information to be amended or deleted.

She is also an accomplished speaker on international business crime.

## **Vanessa McGoldrick**

### **Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP**

Vanessa McGoldrick is European counsel in Skadden's government enforcement and white-collar crime group in London. She advises clients on a broad range of compliance and regulatory issues, including bribery, corruption and money laundering, fraud, compliance matters, and EU and UK sanctions. Her experience includes representing clients in civil and criminal law investigations and proceedings before a range of regulators, including the SFO, FCA, HMRC, CMA and OFSI, and advising clients facing parallel investigations and proceedings before the US Department of Justice, the US Securities and Exchange Commission, the French Parquet National Financier and various other international regulators.

## **Jason Williamson**

### **Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP**

Jason Williamson is an associate in Skadden's government enforcement and white-collar crime group in London.

He advises on the conduct of cross-border investigations into allegations of corporate misconduct, including fraud, corruption, money laundering, and other criminal and regulatory offences. His experience includes advising clients in relation to both civil and criminal proceedings, including actions commenced by the SFO, FCA, HMRC, CMA and US Department of Justice. He has also conducted cross-border investigations where allegations of civil wrongdoing have been made, including data privacy breaches.

He advises clients on anti-bribery and corruption matters and has successfully defended clients against enforcement actions commenced by multiple agencies. He regularly advises clients on governance issues, including compliance with EU and UK sanctions. He also has expertise in advising clients on the civil and criminal aspects of asset recovery and restraint proceedings.

### **Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom (UK) LLP**

40 Bank Street

Canary Wharf

London, E14 5DS

United Kingdom

Tel: +44 20 7519 7000

Fax: +44 20 7519 7070

[elizabeth.robertson@skadden.com](mailto:elizabeth.robertson@skadden.com)

[vanessa.mcgoldrick@skadden.com](mailto:vanessa.mcgoldrick@skadden.com)

[jason.williamson@skadden.com](mailto:jason.williamson@skadden.com)

[www.skadden.com](http://www.skadden.com)



