

November 3, 2016

Second Circuit Upholds District Court's Facebook Lock-Up Ruling: Underwriters Not Subject to Group Ownership, Short-Swing Profit Rules

This memorandum is provided by Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP and its affiliates for educational and informational purposes only and is not intended and should not be construed as legal advice. This memorandum is considered advertising under applicable state laws.

If you have any questions regarding the matters discussed in this memorandum, please contact the attorneys listed on the last page of this document or call your regular Skadden contact.

Four Times Square
New York, NY 10036
212.735.3000

On November 3, 2016, in *Lowinger v. Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC*, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 2nd Circuit upheld a district court finding that customary initial public offering (IPO) lock-up agreements do not render parties to those agreements a “group” of shareholders for purposes of applying “short swing” profit rules. The decision protects underwriters entering into industry standard lock-up agreements in connection with securities distributions from potential short-swing profit liability when engaging in ordinary course stabilization activities following such distributions.

Background

In connection with the IPO of Facebook, Inc. in May 2012, the lead underwriters of the offering entered into lock-up agreements with certain pre-IPO shareholders, restricting those shareholders from selling shares into the market for a specified period of time. The lead underwriters also engaged in standard stabilization trading activities following the offering designed to maintain the market price of Facebook's shares and profited from these trades.

The appellant, a Facebook shareholder, argued that these underwriters, together with certain pre-IPO shareholders, constituted a “group” of beneficial owners of more than 10 percent of the company's shares, thereby subjecting them to rules under Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act) requiring the disgorgement of profits realized in connection with trading activity within a six-month period. Under these rules, a beneficial owner may include “groups” of shareholders acting together under a common purpose to acquire, hold or dispose of securities. The appellant argued that the “group” was formed by virtue of entering into the lock-up agreements, which they contended were executed as part of the common purpose of controlling the market of Facebook stock.

Decision

The 2nd Circuit rejected this argument on the grounds that lock-up agreements, rather than being agreements to “act together” for a common purpose, are instead entered into for the legitimate goal of keeping certain shareholders out of the market for a period of time in order to facilitate an orderly trading market. The court also found that applying Section 16(b) to underwriters' lock-up agreements would impair the heavily regulated market for public offerings “by complicating the role of underwriters — adding tens of millions of dollars in legal exposure to the underwriters' costs.” The court was careful to note that its decision applies only to “standard” lock-up agreements, which may still “bear upon” the question of whether a group exists if other facts or circumstances exist outside of the agreements.

Corporate Finance Alert

Second Circuit Upholds District Court’s Facebook Lock-Up Ruling: Underwriters Not Subject to Group Ownership, Short-Swing Profit Rules

SEC Position

In rendering its decision, the court solicited the views of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The SEC advised the court of its view that “ordinary course” lock-ups do not implicate the definition of a “group” under the Exchange Act. The SEC also voiced a policy concern over applying the “group” definition in this context, noting that standard lock-up agreements can be an essential element of facilitating an offering, designed to assuage investor concern that the market may be flooded by large sales of preowned shares before newly offered shares have settled in the market.

Key Takeaway

The court’s decision should provide a significant level of comfort to underwriters who enter into industry standard lock-up agreements that they will be protected from short-swing profit liability in connection with stabilization activities following an offering, absent a showing of other non-standard arrangements outside the lock-up agreements.

New York

Gregory A. Fernicola
212.735.2918
gregory.fernicola@skadden.com

David J. Goldschmidt
212.735.3574
david.goldschmidt@skadden.com

Stacy J. Kanter
212.735.3497
stacy.kanter@skadden.com

Laura A. Kaufmann Belkhatay
212.735.2439
laura.kaufmann@skadden.com

Phyllis G. Korff
212.735.2694
phyllis.korff@skadden.com

Andrea L. Nicolas
212.735.3416
andrea.nicolas@skadden.com

Michael J. Schwartz
212.735.3694
michael.schwartz@skadden.com

Yossi Vebman
212.735.3719
yossi.vebman@skadden.com

Dwight S. Yoo
212.735.2573
dwight.yoo@skadden.com

Michael J. Zeidel
212.735.3259
michael.zeidel@skadden.com

Chicago

Richard C. Witzel, Jr.
312.407.0784
richard.witzel@skadden.com

Los Angeles

Michelle Gasaway
213.687.5122
michelle.gasaway@skadden.com

Jonathan Ko
213.687.5527
jonathan.ko@skadden.com

Palo Alto

Thomas J. Ivey
650.470.4522
thomas.ivey@skadden.com

Gregg A. Noel
650.470.4540
gregg.noel@skadden.com

Washington, D.C.

Andrew J. Brady
202.371.7513
andrew.brady@skadden.com

Brian V. Breheny
202.371.7180
brian.breheny@skadden.com

Frankfurt

Stephan Hutter
49.69.74220.170
stephan.hutter@skadden.com

Hong Kong

Z. Julie Gao
852.3740.4850
julie.gao@skadden.com

Jonathan B. Stone
852.3740.4703
jonathan.stone@skadden.com

London

James A. McDonald
44.20.7519.7183
james.mcdonald@skadden.com

Danny Tricot
44.20.7519.7071
danny.tricot@skadden.com

Pranav L. Trivedi
44.20.7519.7026
pranav.trivedi@skadden.com

Singapore

Rajeev P. Duggal
65.6434.2980
rajeev.duggal@skadden.com

Sydney

Adrian J. S. Deitz
61.2.9253.6015
adrian.deitz@skadden.com

Toronto

Riccardo A. Leofanti
416.777.4703
riccardo.leofanti@skadden.com

Counsel Benjamin K. Marsh assisted in the preparation of this alert.